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The deposition of PAULA RAE LEJEUNE, called as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, for purposes of discovery, pursuant to the applicable sections of Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure, taken by agreement on June 27, 2023, before Todd Humble, Licensed Court Reporter and Notary Public, at the Hilton Garden Inn, 6200 Papermill Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee, pursuant to the stipulation of counsel.

STIPULATIONS

It being agreed that Todd Humble, Licensed Court Reporter and Notary Public, may swear the witness, report the deposition in machine shorthand, afterwards reducing the same to typewriting.

All objections except as to the form of the question are reserved to on or before the hearing.

It being further agreed that all
formalities as to notice, caption, certificate,
transmission, et cetera, including the reading of the
completed deposition by the witness and the signature of the witness, are expressly waived.

VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay, we're on the record. The time on the camera is 9:07. You may swear the witness.

COURT REPORTER: I will ask you raise your right hand, please. Do you solemnly swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
COURT REPORTER: Let's start with
Preston and go that way with the identification, please.

MR. HAWKINS: This is Preston Hawkins.
I represent the Defendants in this case.
MR. LEJEUNE: Marc LeJeune.
MR. FIELDS: Scott Fields.
MR. VALLE: Dan Valle.
MR. WILKIN: Bob Wilkin.
MS. SERGENT: Georgia Sergent.
MS. SATZGER: Kim Satzger.
MR. HURLEY: My name is Scott Hurley and I represent the Plaintiffs in this case. PAULA RAE LEJEUNE, called as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, having first been duly sworn, was examined and deposed

1 as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. HURLEY:
Q Would you state your full name for the record, please?

A Paula Rae Fields LeJeune.
Q And Ms. Lejeune, have you ever given a deposition before?

A No, I have not.
Q Okay. Well, I am Scott Hurley. We met formally just a few minutes ago as you came in and I do represent the Plaintiffs in this case. Today we're giving what is called a deposition, and you have not given one before?

A I have not.
Q So you've got an outstanding attorney and I'm sure he's explained this process to you, but let me mention just a couple of things here at the start. I'm going to be asking you questions today for the purpose of trying to learn some things about this case and especially from the Defendants' perspective. If any of the questions that I ask you today are unclear or if they don't make sense to you, will you stop me and let me know?
A Yes.

Q And I'll try to rephrase or repeat or we'll work on it until we're on the same page, okay? A Okay.

Q Secondly, if during the deposition you need a break to go to the restroom, to get a drink of water -- we've got some water set up over here and I think there's some coffee just outside the door, so if you need a break at any time to do that don't be uncomfortable, let me know, and we'll accommodate that, okay?

A Okay.
Q Third, during my questioning today, if it comes to your mind that there's some kind of document that exists that would help you or assist you in answering the question, if you will tell me that, if we've got it, we'll try to look it up and make it available at some point so that you can refer to it, okay?

A Okay.
Q And then finally, you were sworn at the start of this procedure today, and a deposition is the giving of official testimony just as if you were sitting in a courtroom with the Judge nearby, and you understand that, correct?
A Yes.

Q
So during the questioning today you do understand that your questioning -- the answers that you give will be under oath to the questions that you're given?

A Yes.
Q All right, okay. You reside, as I understand it, in Deerfield; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And how long have you lived there?
A Since 1989.
Q All right. And you might want to speak up just a little bit for all of us. This is a big room and it kind of swallows noise because of that. So just speak up as best you can.

One other thing, and I do this, if I'm doing this you let me know and I'll let you know otherwise, we here in East Tennessee in particular have a practice sometimes of saying uh-huh and huh-uh or just answering with head nods. So if I'm doing that with you, you let me know. If you're doing that I'll let you know because it's very important for the court reporter to be able to get down an actual answer so that he's got that correct, and sometimes uh-huh and huh-uh is hard to distinguish, okay?

A
Okay.

Q Just to touch on one thing early on since I mentioned you're living in Deerfield. It's my understanding that there is a larger tract of property of some 80 some acres that has recently been acquired that adjoins or touches part of Deerfield, and that that acquisition has been by you and your husband or perhaps some entity in your name; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And have you all acquired that parcel individually or did you acquire it through an entity that you had formed?

A For now it's individual.
Q Individual, okay. And I have had the chance to talk with your attorney about that just a little bit. It's my understanding from what $I$ have learned that it is not your intention at this time to develop that as some type of residential community, other than you and your husband having a personal residence on that tract?

A That is our plan at this time.
Q Okay. And it would be your intention then, as I understand it, to move from the location that you currently reside at to that home once it's built -has it been started?

$$
\text { A } \quad \text { No. }
$$

Q -- at some point in time in the future; is that correct?

A Probably.
Q Okay, all right. Is there a plan for that parcel, which I've not been up there and I've not seen it, is there a plan for that parcel to be connected to the road network of Deerfield Resort as we know it?

A We plan to have a driveway coming off of the Deerfield Road to our house so that we can come back and forth. We have a hangar at the airstrip.

Q Right.
A So we plan to access our hangar through that property where it adjoins.

Q Okay, all right. So it would be a driveway off of Deerfield Lane?

A Airstrip Road.
Q Airstrip Road, okay.
A With a gate, we would have a gate there.

Q Okay. For entry to the residence area?

A Right, to keep people from driving on it.

Q Okay, all right. Is there any present plan as to the timing of the construction of that home?

A Probably within the year.
Q Okay. But no other, other than perhaps some appurtenant or accessory outbuildings, garages, storage buildings, or things of that nature, no other type of residences other than one personal residence?

A Not that we plan at this time, but it's private property so we might do something different.

Q Will there be any intention to make that property part of what we know as Deerfield Resort?

A That's not our plan.
Q Okay. I want to talk with you just a minute about Deerfield Resort, and when I use the phrase Deerfield Resort, I'm referring to the residential, generally residential community in Campbell County that consists of a large residential development with a lot of lots for homes. As I understand it, there are also -- there is property that is dedicated to condominiums, there are small or tiny homes on some parcels. Are there villas?

A There's -- I mean we call them villas. I don't know that there's an official designation as villas, but that's what we call the homes in Deerfield Village.

Q Okay, Deer Hill Village?
A Uh-huh.
Q And then there is an airstrip there?
A Yes.
Q That also has along the airstrip
various hangars that house airplanes and related parts and equipment and things of that nature, correct?

A
Correct.
Q
There are, and we'll talk about this a little later, a very few properties that have commercial type buildings or businesses on them, correct?

A That's correct.
Q
But we can collectively, can you and I today collectively, when we say Deerfield Resort, what we've just discussed, residential homes, villas, the condos, the tiny homes, the airstrip and hangars, we're talking about Deerfield Resort, correct?

A Correct.
Q
Can we also agree today, and as I've gotten to get familiar with this case and go through a lot of documents, $I$ see a lot of references to statements that Deerfield Resort is a private community; would you agree with that statement?

A I would agree with that statement because we have -- our roads are not maintained by the

1 county. Our roadways are not maintained. In the past we had a gate, but it's not functional at this point, so
$\qquad$

Q I've been up there a few times and as you approach the Deerfield area there is what $I$ would kind of designate as an entrance area.

A Yes.
Q There's a sign there, correct, that has Deerfield on it?

A That's correct.
Q
There is a guard shack there, for lack of a better term. Is that the way you refer to it?

A Yes.
Q The guard shack. And there are there at the guard shack arms or mechanisms that if operating and operational --

A No.
Q They're not there?
A No.
Q They're not there, okay.
A There's nothing to block the entrance at this time.

Q Okay. Were those items there previously?

A We had a gate many years ago. It's
been a long time since we've had that.
Q But now it is not, while it's a private community, it's not formally a gated community?

A Technically.
Q All right. And with the guard shack there, are there times when the guard shack is actually occupied by security type personnel?

A Yes.
Q Can you give me a general indication as to the timeframes? I mean, is it $24 / 7$, is it weekdays, is it weekends? Give me a general indication of when that guard shack is actually occupied by someone serving in some type of security capacity.

A Monday through Fridays there's someone there ten hours a day, usually 7:00 a.m. to -- 7:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m., and on Saturdays and Sundays then there's someone there throughout the day as well on weekend days during high traffic time.

Q Let me make sure I heard that right. During the weekdays it's kind of a nighttime occupation of the guard shack and generally starting about 7:00 p.m.?

A Typically. In the wintertime it will be 6:00 p.m.

Q It gets dark earlier. And then

1 continuing on until approximately 5:00 a.m. in the mornings?

A Yes.
Q But during what we would generally call the daylight hours on weekdays it's not occupied?

A Correct.
Q And then on weekends we have a situation where it is occupied.

A Typically 8:00 or 9:00 a.m. until the nighttime guard comes on. So there's about a two hour gap in the morning when there isn't someone there on Saturday or Sunday.

Q And that gap would typically be from about 5:00 a.m. to 7:00 or 8:00 a.m.?

A Right. The guards run in ten hour shifts.

Q And when the guard shack is occupied by someone in a security type role, is it just one person that's there or more than one person?

A It's supposed to be just one. We just hire one per shift.

Q One at a time. Now, when the guard goes into the guard shack to fulfill his or her duties there, I would assume that the guard shack, number one, has electricity to it?

A Yes.
Q And does it have any type of communication system or just cell phones used?

A It has a telephone and internet.
Q So there's telephone, internet, electricity there for communication purposes if needed for any reason. And is that guard shack and the costs that are associated with the electricity that's provided to it, the internet that's provided to it, the phone service provided to it, I would assume, and you correct me if $I^{\prime \prime m}$ wrong, that those costs are part of the costs that are absorbed by the security and maintenance funds that are kept by Deerfield?

A That's correct.
Q All right. Now, I did something just now and I want to stop a second and mention this. Sometimes I've read a lot of stuff. There's a long history of Deerfield and it's a beautiful and impressive community and I've studied it a lot over the last few months. Sometimes I will say I'm assuming or I have assumed, or would you agree with me, and I'll state a fact and ask you if that's true. I'm not trying to get you to agree with me. If I'm wrong you tell me, okay?

A Okay.
Q But sometimes that helps us make what
might be many, many hours a much shorter deposition, if I can just do that some during the day, but I want you to feel free at any time, if I misstate something, you let me know.

A Okay.
Q All right. My goal today is to learn. My goal today is to get information. One of my goals today is to make sure that $I^{\prime} m$ not mistaken about any information, and one of my goals today is to let you, on behalf of the Defendants, tell your perspective of Deerfield and of this case. I want you to be able to feel free to do that, okay?

A Okay.
Q All right. Who started Deerfield?
A
My father, Paul Fields, and my mother, Madeline Fields.

Q When did they start it?
A Approximately 1988 -- `85.
Q All right, and that's fine. Sometimes we don't know something, there may be somebody in the room that does. I don't have a problem with that. I just want it to be your testimony --

A Right.
Q Today. So there will be a time when I get a chance to depose Scott Fields who is here, and

1 also your husband, Marc, who is here. But getting the 2 information is fine today, so that was okay.

A Right.
Q So starting in about 1985, you said?
A Yes.
Q At the time that it was started as a community that had some residential construction first starting, had your father already bought all of the real estate that we know as Deerfield Resort or did he acquire parcels over time as residential construction started and grew?

A To the best of my recollection, he bought the original parcel from Sam Claiborne, but that did not extend to the guard house and what is part of the golf course. So within a very -- at the very early stages he purchased that from one of the Chapmans, I think, and that was pretty early on. And then around 2000 he purchased what we call the Deer Hill section from Carlot Myers.

Q Okay. So it sounds like two or three different purchases or acquisitions, three or four maybe purchases and acquisitions of property that now constitute what we are referring to as Deerfield Resort, correct?

A
Correct.

Q Were you always involved in the business from the very inception or did you get involved at some point later on?

A I moved back from school in May of 1989 and I have been involved to some extent for the most part since then.

Q What school did you go to?
A Vanderbilt.
Q And did you graduate there?
A I did.
Q And what kind of degree?
A Psychology and human development.
Q And what year did you finish at Vanderbilt?

A $\quad 1989$.
Q So once you finished there, the starting of Deerfield Resort would have preceded you about four years. So it was going on while you were down in Nashville, correct?

A Correct.
Q And when you finished and had your degree in psychology in 1989, you came back to Campbell County?

A Yes.
Q And did you start working, I'm going

1 to say for Deerfield, for the resort or the furtherance of the resort at that time?

A Yes, I did.
Q And when you came back in 1989, did you work full-time?

A Yes.
Q Have you continued to work full-time for or about Deerfield since 1989?

A There was a period from about 2000 to 2010 where $I$ was not in the office every day, but I still worked from home some, but $I$ would still call that full-time because we were always on call. We always worked nights and all holidays.

Q So from 1989 until approximately 2000, you were working absolutely full-time, getting up and going to work, so to speak, every day. From 2000 until about 2010, you worked from home some, correct?

A Right.
Q Did you consider yourself still a full-time employee during that timeframe?

A Yes.
Q $\quad 2000$ to 2010?
A Yes. I don't think I was taking any sort of salary from that time period, if I recall correctly, but I still worked for Deerfield.

Q Okay. And then from 2010 to the present have you worked full-time related to Deerfield?

A Yes. I would say I'm not full-time in the office, but I would say it's very full-time. When we say full-time, that's not 40 hours for us. That's 60 or 70 hours, so that's the minimum.

Q I'm kind of the same way. I understand the concept. When you first came back to Campbell County from Vanderbilt in 1989, what position did you have, what was your job title?

A My very first job title was -- I was just helping with the community and the resort, but then pretty early on they put me in the rental program. They had a vacation rental business that was separate from Deerfield and I pretty much worked there until around 1998, 1999, somewhere in that timeframe.

Q What was the vacation rental business called?

A I think they just called it Deerfield Rentals. I don't really recall.

Q Was the rental business related exclusively to rentals at Deerfield or did it include --

A Only in Deerfield.
Q Only in Deerfield. And this gives me an opportunity to ask you a couple of other questions
down a little bit of a different path and then we'll come back to your job duties. When we talk about Deerfield Resort, I think synonymous with Deerfield Resort for many, many people is the Fields family name and it's my understanding -- would you agree to that?

A I wouldn't know.
Q Your father and your mother, and I believe your uncle has also had some involvement. He has the golf course; does he not?

A Correct.
Q Is the golf course part of Deerfield Resort?

A We don't know. We've been discussing that. We would say yes, that the original plat included that so that it would be part of Deerfield, but that is something that is in discussion at this time.

Q Okay. And so there was your father, Paul, your mother, Madeline, and your uncle is Jim; is that correct?

A Uh-huh.
Q Any other family members that were owners or involved in the development of the Deerfield concept?

A Well, Jim's wife, Diane.
Q Okay, Jim and Diane. Any other family
members?

```
A No.
Q Any other non-family members that were originally owners of Deerfield, or originally owners of parts of it?
A No, he's not had any other partners -oh, Elmer Hoskins.
Q Elmer Hoskins?
A Yes. He did have Elmer Hoskins as a partner and that was before Jim came in.
Q So that was back very early?
A Very early.
Q And do you know about when Elmer
```

Hoskins would have ceased to be in any ownership capacity related to Deerfield?

A I just know that Jim came on when they were building the golf course and that was in the early '90s. So I would think Elmer would have been gone at least before 1992.

Q Okay. So in the first, less than the first decade, he was already out and since that time it's been your father and your mother and perhaps Jim and Diane, but that's been the ownership, so to speak?

A Correct.
Q In addition to what I would call the

1 umbrella, Deerfield Resort, it's my understanding that there are a number of companies that are owned or operated by various of your family members, and I want to talk about those for just a second and then we're going to go back to your duties with the companies. As I understand it, there is a company called Fields Development Company, Incorporated, correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, what does it do?
A That has been the development company. It's my understanding that is the company that at least, from the initial purchase from Sam Claiborne, I'm not sure if that was in the name of Paul and Madeline or if it was always in Fields Development, but that was the primary development company from the inception.

All right. And I'm going to ask you questions today that many of the questions $I$ don't now the answer to, some of them I may think $I$ know the answer to, but I need to find somebody that knows more than I do about it, okay. So I'm going to come to one of those questions right now.

The actual ownership of the dirt, the land, the lots, as they ended up being subdivided, who owned or owns the dirt that was being developed?

A Fields Development Company.

Q Okay. So Fields Development Company was actually the ownership vehicle and the development company, generally speaking, for Deerfield Resort, correct?

A
Correct.
Q
When we go back then and look at the history of deeds, and as Deerfield Resort moved from being the dream of your father and mother to them actually owning the real estate, and then it moved even further in them starting to sell the real estate to people who would build homes there, typically speaking, the sale of a piece of real estate to an individual or a family would be from Fields Development Company to whoever it was that was buying a piece of that property to build their home on?

A That's my understanding from my recollection of deeds that $I$ have seen.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with any other development company, holding company, real estate holding company, or other entity that would have held part of the property for the purpose of developing it or selling it to individuals that would come to Campbell County to buy land?

A You mean once we have established what

```
is Deerfield?
```

Q Yes.

A I think -- did Fields Real Estate buy -- there's a possibility, I don't remember for sure, that the new parcel, the Deerfield area in 2000 , it's possible that that was Fields Real Estate, but I cannot remember for sure.

Q Okay. And we'll come to Fields Real Estate in just a moment, okay?

A Okay.
Q That's kind of on my list to also ask you about. So Fields Development Company then as the developer of the real estate there at Deerfield owned the dirt and typically would sell the dirt, or the lots, or the properties to individuals who were interested in coming in and buying land within Deerfield Resort, perhaps building a home, whatever their plans were.

A Correct.
Q Was there any requirement, based on your knowledge, and $I$ know part of this was going on while you were in Nashville, but based on your knowledge, was there any requirement if Scott Hurley came to Campbell County and bought a piece of property at Deerfield that $I$ had to or was required to construct a residence or a home within a given timeframe?

A No, there's no time limit.

Q
So, if I just wanted to come and buy a lot and kind of, maybe I was just speculating on the land, or I just wanted to have a lot up there close to the lake and just own it and let it sit there, I could do that?

A Yes.
Q But it looks like over the years many people chose to build there?

A Correct.
Q Do you know how many homes there are within what we call Deerfield Resort as of now, and I'm not asking for an exact number unless you know it, but just an approximation?

A I don't know it off the top of my head. I know that when we were putting together the list we were separating out what were homes, what were condos, hangars, but $I$ just don't recall from memory what those numbers are.

Q Okay. Now, I have seen various documents during my involvement in this case where there has been indications as to the number of lots and, of course, over time it varies. More people buy, some people sell, that type of thing's always going on, but I've seen numbers I believe in the 700 s, I've seen some numbers in the 800s. I think maybe the most recent

1 numbers that $I^{\prime} v e$ seen are in the 990 s, just short of a thousand. Does all that sound generally familiar to you?

A Yes, it does.
Q And would it be your belief as you sit here today, without getting tied down to an exact number, that in all likelihood there is somewhere close to a thousand separate divided lots in Deerfield?

A It seems to me that the last number we came up with was around 992, 993.

Q I saw that number in some of the documentation. I believe that came from right around maybe August of last year, 2022.

A Correct.
Q Does that sound familiar?
A Yes, it does.
Q And as I understand it, when we talk about approximately 992 lots, those lots may be owned in some instances by one person owning multiple lots?

A Yes.
Q Or an entity owning multiple lots, correct?

A That's correct.
Q Did Fields Development do any of the building of homes for purchasers of lots at Deerfield,
itself acting as the builder or the contractor?
A In the very early stages there were probably a couple of spec homes they would call them, just to get some activity going, but I can't say that that was under Fields Developments. Most likely that was probably personally in Paul and Madeline Fields.

Q Okay. Your father and mother would serve, perhaps if somebody needed a contractor they might take on the task of building the home for them?

A No, no, they were never a contractor.
Q Okay.
A They would just maybe hire a contractor to build the home to sell, but they tried not to do that.

Q Was there ever a requirement, to your knowledge at any time that if I came to Deerfield and bought a lot for the purpose of building a vacation home or a permanent residence there that $I$ was required to use any particular builder?

A No.
Q Or any particular type of building plan?

A No. There was some minimum square footage requirements, but there was no restrictions on who could be used or any sort of style floor plan.

Q I've been around a lot of developments where there were those types of restrictions, and the folks that would come into the subdivision were told here's an approved contractor's list. To your knowledge, there was never such a thing at Deerfield?

A We have a vendors list that we would put out for anything people asked for in the community, window washing, grass mowing, and they would have builders that they've not had complaints about on that list. So there would usually be three or four names, maybe five at the most. And they would also have a different set of people who would do remodeling or that sort of thing.

Q But there was never an absolute requirement that someone use the names from that list?

A No.
Q And was there ever any arrangement by which if one of those builders or contractors or renovators was used, that they paid money to Fields Development or to your mother or father as a result of that referral or that connection?

A Never.
Q That was always a solely, absolutely independent type thing?

A That's correct.

Q
All right. I think $I$ know the answer to this, but let me ask you just to be sure so $I^{\prime} m$ not assuming too much. There is a phrase in the real estate industry called spec homes or spec building and that's where a developer, in addition to having a subdivision where they're going to build property, they come in and they' ll take some of those lots and they'll build what we call a spec home or a speculation home. They don't necessarily have a buyer for it when they start, but they're building one on the hope that someone will come and buy that home. Did Fields Developments do any of that?

A They did the condominium buildings that we called A, B, C, and D and I assume those were Fields Development, but I don't know for sure. By the time they did the Skyline Condos, I think that may have been Fields Development as well. That could have possibly been Paul and Madeline Fields.
$Q \quad$ So you believe, you're not absolutely certain, that condo buildings $A, B, C$, and $D$ were developed by Fields Development?

A I believe.
Q And you believe that those condos were built without necessarily having a buyer ready for every unit?

A Correct.
Q And those sales of those condos happened over time, maybe some during the preconstruction, some during construction, and some after?

A Correct.
Q You believe that the Skyline Condos -which is a separate condominium project, correct?

A It's still part of the Condo Association though. It's still in the Condo Association umbrella, so --

Q Okay. They're under the same Association umbrella?

A Right.
Q All right. And you believe that those may have either been built by Fields Development or possibly by your mother and father in their individual names?

A Right. And then there was, I know of one house on Deerfield Way that they built as a spec home just to sell. If there's more, I don't remember. That's the only one $I$ can specifically recall.

Q Do you know which house that was or who bought it?

A We call it the Fleet house. I think

Marty Baumhauer owns it now.
Q You call it the Fleet house, F-l-e-e-
t?
A Yes, but I think Baumhauer is the current owner.

Q
So we've got the buildings A, B, C, and D, what we call the Skyline Condos; are there any other condos up there?

A Not that Fields Development would have built.

Q Okay. Are there other condos up there that someone other Fields has built?

A There's what we call the Deer Lake Condos. Those are the lakefront condominiums and my husband, Marc, and I built those as Rae Alan Properties.

COURT REPORTER: What was the name of
the properties?
THE WITNESS: Rae Allen Properties.
Q $\quad \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \mathrm{A}-1-1-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{n}$ ?

A $\quad A-l-a-n$.
Q A-l-a-n. And Rae Alan Properties is you and your husband, Marc; is it an LLC or an Inc.?

A $\quad I t^{\prime} s$ an LP.
Q An LP. And obviously those condos -how many of them are there now?

A 52 or 54.
Q
Those condos sit on dirt and at some point did you and your husband through Rae Alan Condos, LP purchase that dirt from Fields Development?

A We bought it from either Fields Development or Fields Real Estate. That was another -that was the original parcel of land owned by Sam Claiborne and he held onto that land when he sold dad the rest of Deerfield, and as he was aging he decided to sell that as well.

Q So did he sell it first to Fields
Development or Fields Real Estate and then you and your husband through your entity bought it from them?

A That's correct.
Q All right. And are you and your husband the only owners of Rae Alan Properties?

A Well, the general partner is Lejeune Custom Homes, his building company, it was one of his older building companies.

Q So Lejeune Custom Homes, is that an Inc. or an LP or --

A That's an Inc.
Q An Inc., okay. And who owns Lejeune Custom Homes?

A Marc and Paula Lejeune.

Q
And is Lejeune Custom Homes, has it ever been one of those builders that might show up on the builder's list that would be given to people who would inquire about possible buyers?

A Yes.
Q And has Lejeune Custom Homes, Inc. built a significant number of homes within Deerfield Resort?

A I couldn't recall the exact number. I wouldn't know if it's significant or not. I wouldn't say it's anywhere up to half, but they've built custom homes for people.

Q And when you said up to half, up to --
A No, I said would not be --
Q Would not be up to half?
A -- not even close to half.
Q Okay. Do you think it would be over a hundred?

A $\quad$ I don't think so.
Q Something less than a hundred?
A Less than a hundred.
Q Any other condos at Deerfield?
A The Deerfield Village villas, those are technically classified as condominiums.

Q Who owns that development?

A That is PARC Properties, P-A-R-C.
Q And who owns PARC Properties?
A Marc and Paula Lejeune, and there's one other condominium building in Deerfield that was just built by an individual. That wasn't the developer for Lejeune Homes or Rae Alan.

Q Who was that person?
A His name is George Vincent.
Q Is that $V-i-n-s-a-n-t$ ?
A $\quad V-i-n-c-e-n-t$.
Q How many units are in it approximately?

A Six.
Q Did Mr. Vincent then buy a piece of property?

A Yes.
Q And he had provided the announced intention before he bought that he intended to build some condos there?

A I'm not sure at what point he decided to build condos. I just know that he originally bought the parcel just as a purchase and then decided to build some.

Q Did he have to get permission from anyone to do that?

A
Well, it was an agreement. So he would have had permission from the developer in order to allow him to do that, because the restrictions state that if you have more than two acres you can subdivide. Less than -- and you can have -- and that the square footage minimum and it's not required if it's more than two acres and it's going to be that sort of condominium development. But otherwise, you can do it with the permission of the developer. And so there was an agreement and understanding and permission that he could have put the condominium there.

Q So his building of the six unit condominium complex, is it one single building?

A Yes, it is.
Q That was with the agreement of Fields Development Company as the developer of Deerfield Resort?

A Yes.
Q Did he pay anything extra or special
for that agreement other than just buying the dirt?
A He just bought the lot.
Q Okay. Any other condos?
A As far as my remembrance for what is classified as a condominium, those are the only structures that are classified as condominiums in

Deerfield.
Q All right. We will look later today at various restrictions, sometimes called covenants and restrictions, that have been in existence at Deerfield for various times.

A Okay.
Q I think there was an original set maybe in '90 -- excuse me, in '85 or '86, maybe one in each of those years. There was one right around 2000, an amended one, and then there was one around 2018, and maybe another one. We'll look at them here shortly.

A Okay.
Q I've got copies of them. To your knowledge, as you sit here today, are all of the condos, all of the villas, all of the parcels of property that would be occupied by what $I$ would call multi-family residential, more than one family living within a building or complex, are all of those projects, to the best of your knowledge as you sit here, in compliance with the covenants and restrictions of Deerfield Resort?

A They all have equal requirements to be under the restrictions, but again, as I just previously mentioned, one thing that has been brought up on Facebook is the square footage minimum requirements and that does not apply if it's multi-housing, or if it's
condominiums, or if it has been anything that has been -- two acres has been subdivided into a smaller section. So any of the patio homes or villas or condominiums would not be subject to those requirements. But otherwise, they should all -- all of their deeds say that they are under the restrictions of Deerfield Resort.

Q As I understand your answer then, you believe that all of those multi-family type structures that have condos, villas, tiny homes, or whatever they're referenced as would be within compliance with the covenants and restrictions?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Any other types of properties, parcels within Deerfield Resort, as we're referring to it, that would be occupied by buildings that would have multi-residential facilities within it?

A I don't know. There may be some other duplexes within Deerfield, but nothing's coming to mind. I can't recall anything like that. I don't know if we have any situations where people are doing Airbnb out of their basement, or a garage apartment, or something along those lines, that's possible.

Q Is Airbnb and VRBO, for example, within compliance with the covenants and restrictions as

1 you understand them to exist?

A To my recollection of the Deerfield Resort restrictions there's no restriction that it has to be managed by a Tennessee licensed management company. That would be -- there are restrictions like that in the condominiums in Deerfield Village, but Deerfield itself, there's no restrictions against doing self-managed rental properties.

Q Is there any restriction to your knowledge of Deerfield Resort that restricts the parcels to one residence per lot?

A Not that I'm aware of. I can't say that because $I$ know that a lot of -- not a lot of people, but there have been instances where people have built a separate garage and put an apartment above it and there didn't ever seem to be any concern about that sort of activity.

Q Are you aware of any instances where people have built two residences on one lot?

A Well, there's one that I wasn't aware of that $I$ found when we were putting the list together, Terry Swihart. I thought those were two separate lots and two separate houses, or that he had divided the lot, but it appears that it's two houses on one parcel.
Q You said that was Terry --

A Swihart, S-w-i-h-a-r-t.
Q Okay.
A And for the purposes of the list we counted that as two parcels. It's my understanding he's billed for both houses for as far as the security and maintenance.

Q So he has been counted in that 992 or three number as two lots and, to your knowledge, he's actually paying as two?

A That's my understanding.
Q
But you didn't know that the situation actually existed of two residences on one lot until you got to looking?

A I just assumed he had split the two lots formally.

Q All right. And if someone buys a parcel within Deerfield Resort and wishes to subdivide it, obviously there can be requirements of the county or the city or the state that a project is in. Deerfield Resort is not in a city, is it?

A No.
Q It is in Campbell County though?
A Yes.

Q
And if a lot within Deerfield Resort is going to be subdivided, to the best of your
knowledge, is it required that the person that's going to do the subdividing has to get the approval of some government affiliated agency or group?

A They would have to have approval of the Planning Commission.

Q For Campbell County?
A Campbell County. And the restrictions say that you have to have held it for five years before you subdivide it without the permission of the developer, or you could do it sooner with the permission of the developer.

Q So if I buy a parcel of property that's capable of being subdivided, if I've held it for less than five years, I need to come to the developer and get the developer's approval?

## A Correct.

Q
If I've held it for more than five years, then the developer approval is not required?

A That's my understanding --
Q However -- I didn't mean to interrupt you. However, even if I'm outside the five year window, I would still have to get the approval of the Campbell County Planning Commission for that subdivision?

A Correct.
Q Now, any other parcels that have
multi-units on them? And I understand the VRBO, it's a hot topic in the law now and we see that a lot of places, but any other multi-resident units or parcels?

A Nothing is immediately coming to mind. There's nothing I can think of.

Q So Fields Development as an entity owned the real estate, generally speaking, at Deerfield Resort, correct?

A Correct.
Q And it sold lots as time passed to various purchasers?

A Yes.
Q Correct?
A Correct.
Q Now, you don't just put up a sign and say I've got a resort and I want to sell lots. There's a lot of stuff that has to be done to get there, correct?

A Yes.
Q Roads have to be built in, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Lots of infrastructure has to occur. For example, not only the roads have to be built in, but things have to be done in order to get electrical services inside the resort, right?

A Correct.
Q
Telephone services inside the resort, internet services, not in $\quad$ 85, but at least later inside the resort. And was the developer, Fields Development Company, responsible for all of that, what we call infrastructure development?

A Yes.
Q
And did Fields Development, to the best of your knowledge, and $I$ know some of this preceded you coming back from Nashville, but did Fields Development essentially pay to have that development done?

A It depends on the service and what it would be. They would have to pay the electric company to run lines. I don't know if they had to pay them to bring aboveground lines. I think it was only if it was going to be underground. Not every street has underground. Some streets have power poles. They ran the waterlines themselves in-house.

Q They being?
A Fields Development Company.
Q Okay.
A They would have hired excavators to grade the roads and then they would have hired a paving company to pay for them.

Q Those things cost money. Did your father and mother have sources of money, other than the sale of lots and properties within Deerfield Resort, to help pay for that work?

A The only thing $I$ know is they had some bank loans in the beginning and I don't know if those were land loans or if those were construction loans, infrastructure loans. I don't know.

Q All right. You weren't around handling that at that time, correct?

A No.
Q But typically what developers do in a situation like that is they acquire, and sometimes they have to borrow money to acquire the dirt. And then they've got a lot of infrastructure costs that they have to absorb, the roads, the paving, the utilities being brought in so that they have a product that they can sell to people and sometimes they borrow money to do that. Sometimes they may have money set aside to do that, or they may have other businesses and sources of income from which to take money to pay and absorb those costs. Do you know in the situation of your parents which of those it was?

A My understanding was that the sale of the lots were paying for any loans or infrastructure
costs that they had.

Q
So, as time went on, they had taken on perhaps debt, or were doing some of the work themselves and not necessarily getting paid for it as they did it, but as lots would be sold they would take the proceeds of those sales and pay down those loans and adjust things for the investment that they had made in the project, correct?

A I assume.
Q In looking at it and in having
developed a little bit of property myself, I know a developer has a dream about a piece of property from time to time. You look at it, you don't see it for what it is. You see it for what it could be. And in looking at Deerfield and in looking at all these documents, it looks to me like your father had a dream for Deerfield Resort.

A I would say he did.
Q And was your mother an active participant in having and working on that dream?

A Yes, she was.
Q When did your father pass?
A $\quad 2016$.
Q And as I understand it, after he passed your mother was very actively involved, perhaps

1 the principal person involved in continuing to run the business; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q And did so up until her passing and when was that?

A $\quad 2021$.
Q So she survived him by about five years?

A Yes.
Q
In the early years, and let me call the early years 1985 to 1995, did either of your parents have any other business, job, project, or anything that generated income for them, to your knowledge, other than Deerfield Resort?

A To the best of my recollection, they were fully focused on Deerfield at that time.

Q
There came a time when they did a have a few other, maybe one or more other things though, correct?

A Outside of Deerfield, I don't --
Q Did they ever have any involvement at
all in McCloud Mountain?
A Oh, they purchased that land some years later. I would say in the mid '90s, with the intent to develop it, but they didn't develop it until

1 about 2010.

A 2010 .
Q Do you know when it closed?
A 2021, we closed November of 2021 and we did not reopen it for the ' 22 season.

Q All right. So it was operating for about 11 years?

A Yes.
Q But it operated seasonally, did it not?

A That's correct.
Q During all those 11 years or so?
A Yes.
Q All right. And then when you closed it in 2021, you did not reopen it for 2022?

A As a restaurant. We just reopened it as an event rental facility.

Q Okay. So you still have -- are you still having events up there from time to time?

A Yes.
Q So it's a special event. It might be a wedding, it may be some type of meeting, family reunion, whatever the case may be, but it's an event center now?

A
Correct.
Q
Any other areas other than generally the McCloud Mountain area where they bought property and have developed things, they being your parents, or any entity controlled by them?

A He had Shanghai Landing in the late '80s, early '90s.

Q What was it?
A It was another lake development. It was by Shanghai Marina.

Q Where is it located in relation to Deerfield?

A By water it's maybe a mile away, by land it's about 30 minutes away.

Q Okay. So it's on the other side --
A
Yes.

```
    Q -- of the water?
    A It's still in LaFollette.
    Q Okay. It's still in Campbell County?
    A Campbell County, yes.
    Q And did they have any partners in
    Shanghai Landing?
    A He had Harry Vestal, was one partner.
    Jim was not a partner in Shanghai Landing.
    Q Jim, your Uncle Jim?
    A Right. He was not involved in
    Shanghai. It was just Paul, Madeline, Harry and his
    wife, Janice.
    Q Okay. And did they actually develop
homes or things of that nature there or did they just
own and sell dirt?
```

    A They built two condominium buildings
    there. There were homes in Shanghai, but I don't think
    they built them. I think they only sold off a few lots.
    Q So home lots were sold off?
    A Uh-huh.
    Q Anything else that they built or
    developed there?
    A No. It was considerably smaller,
        maybe a hundred acres at most.
    Q Do you know roughly how big it is --
    A I don't.
Q -- acreage wise?
A I don't.
Q Or number of lots?
A No.
Q Is it, for lack of a better term, done?

A Well, they turned it over early on and they -- I think they sold the rest of the property that they had to Carl Tindell and I think he finished selling off the rest of the property.

Q Do you, your husband, your brother, Scott, Deerfield, Fields Development Company, Inc., Fields Real Estate Company, Inc., or any other entity affiliated with you, your brother, or your husband, have any interest now in any property at Shanghai?

A No. We actually never did.
Q That was all done before your father passed?

A Oh yes, that was turned over and sold in the mid 90 s at the very latest, I would think.

Q All right. Now, let's go back to where we were a long time ago. When you came back to Campbell County, you told me that you had some full-time duties and responsibilities up until about year 2000.

Did your job titles or your job, scope of work, or duties change significantly at any point during that timeframe from when you graduated at Vandy until the time that you came back, until the time of 2000?

A I was with the rental program until '98 or '99 and then when I stopped doing that, then at that point I just sort of helped in Deerfield, however they needed help. I wasn't officially an employee during that time period, but $I$ would help mom however she needed help, just whatever that would be.
Again, we were always on call, so
anything after hours we'd take care of. I think at that point I was probably answering all the calls before hours and after hours. I know I did that for many years. I can't remember at what point we took it -- mom and dad had the phone in their house. So they would take the after hours calls, but I think we took that out of their house early on and $I$ took that over in the early '90s, as far as $I$ can remember. It's been a long time ago.

Whether I was on the payroll or not, I'm always usually the person -- Scott, I try to shield him from it, but he gets some of the calls. Any time there's a problem with the guard after hours, if there's hunters, anybody who should not be in the resort, one of

1 us has to go chase them down. It's not the guard who does that. And so I still do things like that from 1999 until I was officially back in 2010.

Q Does your brother, Scott, also live in Deerfield?

A Yes, he does.
Q Did your job duties and responsibilities grow over time, especially once we get to the 2010 timeframe and you're back? I know you had worked -- you continued to work, but partly from home. Was that related to kids, or anything, or --

A It was just with Marc's business. It was getting busier and so $I$ was just helping him and since I wasn't -- they didn't need me for the rental program any longer. So I just wasn't as necessary to be there full-time.

Q So you were able to help Marc with his business as well and continued to do that?

A Yes.
Q Continued to do that, correct?
A Correct.
Q And then 2010 forward, you got back more involved in Deerfield, true?

A Correct.
Q Did your job duties and
responsibilities tend to grow from 2010, related to Deerfield, to 2016 timeframe, when your father passed?

A Well, that's hard to define, you know. My job responsibilities seemed to change from year to year. It just depended on where $I$ was needed the most. It felt like it was always well over 40 hours and always life consuming and overwhelming, but to put it on a chart to say which year was harder than others, I couldn't really say.

Q Did your job title change any from 2010 until your father passed?

A No, I've never had a job title or a card, or anything of that type of description.

Q Including now?
A Including now.
Q Did your job duties and
responsibilities and the scope of work increase once your father passed?

A Definitely. I mean we just, you know, needed to do more.

Q I lost my father in February of last year and I know things change when that happens. It changes for all the family members. I would assume that when your father passed that the duties that he had carried out -- and he had been active from '85 forward,

```
    correct?
```

A Correct.
Q Did he pass suddenly or have a
lingering illness?
A He was ill for about a year.
Q Okay. Once he had passed, all the things that he had done had to be done by somebody else, right?

A Correct.
Q Part of that was your mother?
A Uh-huh.
Q And part of that was you?
A Yes.
Q Part of that was your brother and your husband?

A Correct -- well, just my brother.
Q Just your brother. And then your mother passed in 2021 and that's happened again. The things that she did have to be done by someone else, correct?

A Correct.
Q And has that load primarily been picked up by you and your brother?

A Some of it has. We also hired a third party, also a homeowner, to try to take over most of her
accounting responsibilities.
Q Is that Kelly Sjogren?
A Yes, it is.
Q Had Kelly worked for the business, Deerfield or any of its affiliated companies, before your mother passed?

A No, she did not.
Q And when basically did Kelly come on board?

A I would say officially it was around May of 2021. We started talking to her though as early as January of that year.

Q And once she came on, does she have a job title?

A We haven't given her one. I would say she just does all of the bookkeeping, all of the accounting, communications, and just primarily organizational things.

Q When one runs a business there's a lot that goes with that. Part of that is that bills come in, bills have to be processed, analyzed, made sure that they're in fact owed, make sure that they're in fact accurate, and then once all of that's determined, if there's money to pay them, they have to be paid, right?

A Correct.

Q
And is Kelly, since she came on board in May of 2021, generally the person that does that?

A It's divided. Kelly will pay things if they're not an immediate requirement. Scott will pay a few things. He does all the payroll. And then we also have Tyler Profit is in the office and he will primarily pay most of the immediate bills that are due.

Q So Tyler Profit handles any immediate type expenses, emergency things that need to be dealt with. Your brother, Scott, handles generally the payroll that's affiliated with Deerfield Resort and that would be your security maintenance people that occupy the guard shake. And I'm assuming, and we'll get to this a little later, there are multiple people that may do things around the resort to tend to and keep up things.

A Correct.
Q He's handling that payroll?
A
Yes.

Q
And then Kelly would handle the more routine, not necessarily mundane, but routine type bills that roll in regularly that don't have to be paid just on an immediate emergency basis; is that fair?

A If there are some that can be done online, $I^{\prime \prime m}$ perceiving that Tyler does the majority of
them.
Q
Okay. Once Kelly came on board in or about May of 2021, would it be fair to say that from an accounting and records processing standpoint that she kind of took over the duties that your mother had performed?

A Yes.
Q
Was she given access to the records that your mother had worked on and had kept and had access to?

A Eventually when she needed those, but she just immediately just started working with what was in front of her and then -- but once we started working with discovery, she was involved with that and so she has reviewed all of those records that we've submitted and she helped us prepare that.

Q How many lots as of the time we're sitting here right now, to the best of your knowledge, it doesn't have to be exact, just approximate, remain in Deerfield Resort that are owned by Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A I couldn't tell you off the top of my head. I would have to look at our list to see, but it's all listed out there, whatever's owned by Fields Development, Field's Real Estate, Paul and Madeline's

1 names, those are all detailed on that list that was submitted.

Q When your mother was still alive and carrying out the functions of record keeping and bill receiving and processing and paying, she was doing all of that, correct?

A Correct.
Q
Who, from an accountant or CPA or tax preparer standpoint, did Deerfield use? And when I say Deerfield, Fields Development Company, Fields Real Estate, did they use a common accountant or CPA firm?

A Jack Miller.
Q Jack Miller?
A Uh-huh.
Q And would Jack -- is Jack Miller a CPA?

A Yes, he is.
Q
And would Mr. Miller and/or folks in his firm actually do the tax return preparation for the various entities?

A Yes.
Q Did they also serve as the CPA's for your mother and father during their lifetime?

A Yes.

Q And did the Miller CPA relationship go

1 back many years from 2016 when your father passed?

A Yes. I believe Jack probably came on board around '97, '98, somewhere in that timeframe.

Q And has been the CPA that did tax return preparation generally since that timeframe?

A Correct.
Q For Fields Development?
A Yes.
Q Or Fields Real Estate, Inc.?
A Yes.
Q For your father and mother personally?
A Yes.
Q And is he also, he or his firm, Mr. Miller's firm, handling any tax and related duties and responsibilities, for example, for your father's estate?

A Yes.
Q And for your mother's estate?
A Yes.
Q Any other CPA firms that have generally been involved in doing work for tax or otherwise, financial or accounting type work, for Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, or even Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc.?

A Two of the years of the returns, '21 and '22, were prepared by Richard Rutherford.

Q So the 2021 and 2022 returns for --
A Or it may be '20 and '21. It's whatever we submitted for discovery. So it's possibly `20 and '21 for Fields Development Company.

Q And what was that accountant's name again?

A Richard Rutherford.
Q
Where is he located?
A
$H^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ in LaFollette.

Q
Was there any particular reason that there was a change made to him from Mr. Miller?

A It was just the time constraints that we had for discovery that Jack just -- he had too much other client load. He could not prepare it for us at that time.

Q So Mr. Rutherford was more available and agreed to take on tasks that related to, it sounds like, the discovery process in this case?

A Correct.
Q Has Mr. Rutherford done any of the tax return preparation or has that remained with Mr. Miller?

A Everything else is still with Jack.
Q Okay. So Mr. Rutherford has just helped in processing documentation and information related to this litigation?

A
Well, all he did was prepare the tax returns for those two years, that's it.

Q Okay. In our situation here today, you're here as a witness and you're testifying today as Paula Lejeune, individually. There may be a time here very soon when we take a deposition of an entity and you may be or someone else may be designated to testify on behalf of the entity. But as you sit here today, and here in the room with us is your husband --

A Yes.
Q -- Marc and also your brother, Scott --

A Yes.
Q -- as you sit here today, would it be fair to say that you probably are the person with the most knowledge historically about Deerfield?

A I couldn't say that. I think Scott's memory is better than mine. He's been there almost as long as I have. Between the two of us, I think we can come up with most of the answers.

Q Was he gone -- I'll get to talk to him tomorrow, but was he gone to college or anything during the Deerfield years like you were?

A
Scott was. He graduated high school in '89, so he would have been living in Deerfield in the

1 early days, and then he was gone to UT for four years and came back in -- my math is terrible, `93.

Q Okay. Did he live on campus at UT?
A Yes, but in Deerfield in the summer times.

Okay. So both of you had gone to school for a period of time, both of you came back and have worked ever since getting out of college?

A Yes.
Q And has Scott's employment at Deerfield been continuous since he got out of college?

A No. He worked for Shanghai Landing some. He worked for the golf course some. And I would have to let him answer anything beyond that. That's all I can remember and I don't remember the timeframe.

Q Would it be fair then to say that today, as we sit here in your deposition, that as far as the collective knowledge about Deerfield Resort, it's development, what it's done, what it's doing, that you and your brother would be the best sources for that information?

A I would say so.
Q
All right. Is there any other person that you would consider a right hand type person that would have intimate knowledge about the history of

Deerfield?
A Well, my Uncle Jim and Diane would have information, but they weren't really involved with the day to day operations of Deerfield much past when the golf course opened. So their history might be better than mine for the early days, but they haven't been as involved in the day to day for at least 20 years.

Q All right. So if I have questions about the historical perspective of Deerfield, what Deerfield's doing now, what Deerfield hopes to do in the future, the best two people for me to talk to are in this room, you and your brother?

A I think so, yes.
Q Do you have a -- I think you indicated you don't have an official job title now; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q You don't have a business card with a designation on it?

A No.
Q Would it be fair to say that you and your brother collectively would be the CEOs, the Chief Executive Officers, of Deerfield Resort?

A I think on the annual report Scott is

1 the president listed on both and I'm usually either the corporate secretary or something along those lines.

Q And I'm around corporations a lot, get to talk to people that are in corporations a lot, and titles don't often designate who's really doing the grunt work and the heavy lifting. Would it be fair to say that essentially you and your brother combined are the collective CEO of Deerfield Resort?

A I would say Scott does the heavy lifting, that he would be primarily the person who takes the brunt of most of the operations.

Q And when it comes to the finances, the knowing the operations, the finances, and the legal aspects of it, would you also be in that type of capacity as CEO?

A That would be more in my wheelhouse, but that's why we brought Kelly on was to handle most all of the financial ends of it.

Q As I understand it, Kelly helps administratively, handle, process, develop all of the documentation, the bill paying, a lot of the analyzing that we've talked about.

A Uh-huh.
Q But does she have any managerial responsibilities where she makes management decisions

1 without you or your brother having input into that?

A She has suggestions that she makes based on input from the community and then she brings them to us and we work together to try to accomplish whatever issues are at hand.

Q She's not in a position where she makes unilateral management decisions?

A That's correct.
Q Management decisions that affect the good of the company, the Deerfield companies, collectively are going to be made at the end of the day by you and your brother?

A Yes.
Q All right. Now, does Kelly have -- I may have asked this, I apologize. I don't mean to repeat myself. Does Kelly have a formal job title now?

A I would have to ask her. We haven't given her one. It's just been bookkeeping and communications.

All right. Now, when it comes to communications that I'm assuming includes a number of things, whatever communications may require, but part of that would be communications with property owners that have an issue that come up, she may do that?

A Correct.

Q
Part of that may be the publishing of general information about Deerfield Resort to the greater Deerfield community?

A Correct.
Q
I believe there's a Facebook page of some type that Kelly makes contributions to from time to time; is that done in her job capacity?

A That's hard to say. Sometimes it's personal for her, sometimes it could be something, answering something formal or official, but I couldn't say where she draws the line between what is her personal opinion and her personal feeling as a homeowner.

Q So sometimes, as I understand then when you're telling me, sometimes when Kelly engages in a communication that gets published, for example, to a greater community, or to certain individuals or an individual, sometimes that might be her speaking on behalf of Deerfield Resort and sometimes that might just be her personal opinion that she's expressing?

A It varies. Sometimes she'll say -she'll text us and say you should go read what I posted and she's already done it. And sometimes she'll say are these facts correct and am I conveying this correctly, so it just varies depending on what the issue is.

Q
All right. Does she, from time to time, come to you in advance of publishing something and say, for example, maybe not these exact words, but Paula, here's something I'm getting ready to publish unless you've got a problem with it?

A I know that before she put out something to do with the fees that were collected from 2016 forward, she sent those to me to make sure that those numbers were accurate. So there may be something along those lines that she might ask. So it would just -- I would have to look at each one individually to decide which one.

Q There was something that she published that did set forth the fees that had been collected from Deerfield property owners and I believe it was from 2016 to 2021?

A Uh-huh, I think so, I think so.
Q And so she brought some numbers to you and had she somehow accumulated the information for those lists, for that list of numbers?

A From our discovery.
Q
Okay. And she brought that to you and said I'm considering publishing this and asked you if it was accurate?

A She asked -- she said we feel like

1 they're asking for transparency, so we want to make sure the community knows and I feel like this would be a good way to let them know, because she's under the impression that the community is not aware of the discovery that we submitted, and that she was trying to find a way that they would have the same information that the rest of the community has.

Q All right. And when you looked at the information that she provided you, were you satisfied that in fact it was accurate?

A I really didn't take the time to review it like I should have in depth. I just was aware it looked to me like the numbers that we had compiled.

Q As you sit here today, do you believe it was accurate?

A I couldn't say for sure.
Q And I'm not asking to the penny, but do you believe it was generally reflective of what has happened historically regarding collection of funds from Deerfield property owners?

A Well, I believe that she did take it from the discovery documents and that would have reflected the deposits that were made, the funds that were collected. It would have been from the bank statements and from mom's records that we submitted. So

I think that it's the same information that $I$ would have reviewed before I submitted it.

Q Okay. And when you say submitted it, information that was turned over to your attorney to produce to us?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Has Kelly ever brought you anything in advance and said $I^{\prime} m$ intending to publish this, and you told her, and you don't have to go into what it is, and you told her no, don't publish that?

A I don't remember directly. I do know that there have been a couple of times that I've referenced Preston and said is it okay to say this, and he may say yes or no.

MR. HAWKINS: Let's not talk about the things that you and I discuss.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Try to answer the question without talking about that.

THE WITNESS: Okay, all right.
Q I'm not entitled to and don't want to ask you about any communications that you've had with Preston.

A Okay.
Q I'm just asking about Kelly. Has she
brought you anything where you've ended up saying no, don't publish that?

A It's possible. I can't remember anything directly at this time, but it's possible that has happened.

Q
Has she in fact published anything that has come to your attention after she published it that you have admonished her, or called her in to say you should not have done that?

A Not that I can recall. I don't think I've ever had to have that conversation.

Q Does she typically make you aware of anything that she publishes?

A Not always because I'm not on the Facebook page and so -- I mean I have access to it, but I don't stay on it. And so there's a lot of conversations that I'm not aware have happened. She'll usually just call it to my attention if there's something in particular going on that she thinks I need to read. So there's a lot of communication that's gone on that $I^{\prime \prime} m$ not aware of.

Q She last Thursday sent an e-mail to the receiver in this case. His name is Scott Reams from up in Morristown. Were you aware that she was going to send that communication to him before she sent it?

A After she sent it, she sent me the e-mail.

Q And have you admonished her for that?
A
I have not because $I$ don't -- I think
a lot of that is in her capacity as the homeowner.
Q In her capacity as a homeowner as opposed to speaking on behalf of Deerfield Resort or any of its companies?

A That's correct.
Q So you've not admonished her or
criticized her for that?
A No.
Q Have you ever had to in any way, have any way of discipline toward her for any communications she's made.

A I've had no reason to.
Q
All right. And we'll come back to communications just a little bit later on. Other than your brother and you, would there by anyone else that would -- well, let me rephrase that. Other than you, your brother, and perhaps your uncle and his wife, would there be any other person that would have anymore in depth knowledge about the history of Deerfield than those four people?

A I don't believe so.

Q All right. I want to give you a document that has been provided to me by various people in this case. It is a document that up at the top says Deerfield Home and Property Owners. I'm going to represent to you that this was given to me by some of my clients with an indication that this letter was sent out back in 1997, or thereabouts. It's a two page letter, it was from Pat Martin. And on the third page there is a document titled up at the top Deerfield Property Owners Association Interest Survey. Have you seen this document before?

A I have seen copies of this letter and I knew there was a survey and I believe -- but I don't think I've seen this one.

Q Well, I'm going to represent to you that this is the one that was sent to Robert Hilty.

A Okay.
Q And when you see on the third page the handwriting and the boxes checked yes and no, there's a date down at the bottom where Robert Hilty has signed and it looks likes 7/21/97; do you see that?

A I do.
Q
So I'm going to represent to you, and you had no reason to know that, I'm just telling you that this is where it came from, okay?

A Okay.
Q
So what you're telling me is you have seen a copy of the letter before, not necessarily this one, but you've seen a copy of the letter before?

A Yes.
Q And is it your understanding the letter went out from Pat Martin to Deerfield Resort community, basically property owners in Deerfield?

A To the current residents, whoever had property ownership at the time.

Q At that time. And was this letter, to your knowledge, sent out with the knowledge of your father?

A Yes.
Q And was it sent out -- it says right at the very top, Paul and Jim Fields asked me to implement the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. DRHA passing control to the residents; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And is that your understanding, that your father, Paul, his brother, Jim, asked Pat to send this letter out?

A Yes.
Q And as far as you know, it was sent
out for that purpose just to start a discussion, or as the word says, implement the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. being passed control wise to the residents?

A Correct.
Q All right. Now, have you ever heard your father or your mother or your Uncle Jim in any way be critical of the information that Pat Martin put in this letter?

A No. They were in cooperation with her doing it.

Q Okay. And have you ever heard from your father or your mother or from your uncle that any of the information in this letter was in fact factually inaccurate or wrong?

A I never heard them say that.
Q Are you aware of any factual inaccuracy in this letter?

A I would have to read it through again until I can say that for certain. Would you like for me to read it now?

Q If you don't mind.
A Okay.
Q I tell you what, let's --
MR. HAWKINS: It would be a perfect
time for a break.
MR. HURLEY: It would be.
Q I don't want to consume your break with reading the letter, okay. So if you want to go to the restroom, you're absolutely free to do that, whatever you want to do, but before we get back on the record $I$ do want to ask you to glimpse over or look at that letter so that you can respond to that question, okay?

A Okay.
Q All right, thank you.
VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time on the camera is 10:31, we're off.
(Short break.)

VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time on the camera is 10:50.

Q
All right, Rebecca, after a little
break have you had a chance to look at that letter?

A I have.
Q Let me ask you just to speak up a little bit more than what you are.

A Okay.
Q Sometimes I'm having a little bit of trouble hearing you. You're soft-spoken and that's a
wonderful trait to have, but in a deposition it makes it difficult sometimes, and I'm getting old enough that I don't hear quite as well as I used to.

So you've had a chance to look at the letter. Up in the very top portion of the letter it says, Paul and Jim Fields asked me to implement the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. passing control to the residents. I've read that sentence before; is that your understanding --

A Yes.
Q -- as to what happened? Do you know
Pat?
A Yes, I do.
Q Has Pat passed?
A She hasn't passed, but she's moved.
Q Okay, all right. Great, okay. Have
you spoken to her recently?
A Not in the -- no, not since she moved.
Q Okay. Since it says Paul and Jim
Fields asked me to implement, back at this time, back in the 1997 timeframe, do you know what interest, if any, Jim held ownership wise in Fields Development?

A I believe he was 50 percent owner.
Q
Do you know what interest, if any, he holds now in Fields Development?

A
There was an operating agreement where they would divide ownership for operating responsibilities. Jim would take the golf course and mom and dad would keep the Fields Development, the Deerfield part of it.

You've probably seen on the tax returns that legally Jim is still a 50 percent owner in Fields Development, but they function with the operating agreement.

Q So when the tax returns -- I'm sorry, I didn't --

A No, that's fine.
Q So when the tax returns are filed with the Internal Revenue Service they would continue to indicate, as best you know, that Jim Fields is still a 50 percent owner of Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A That's correct.
Q However, based on an operating agreement that was entered into between your father, Paul, and Jim?

A Right, Paul, Madeline, Jim, and Diane.
Q Between the four of them.
Effectively, at some point in time, Jim and his wife took the golf course and operated it. Your father and your mother took Deerfield Resort, as we know it, and
operated it and I would assume that based on that operating agreement, Jim and his wife keep the income or absorb the losses from the golf course. Your father and your mother took the income or absorbed any losses related to Deerfield Resort other than the golf course.

A Well, there were $\mathrm{K}-1 \mathrm{~s}$ and so if anyone gained, they all shared in the gain. If anyone lost, they all shared in the loss.

Q Would that include the dividing up of profits, if there were profits to divide up, from the sale of lots within Deerfield even after Jim took the golf course?

A That is my understanding.
Q Okay. Is that still the situation today, that gains and losses as would occur from Deerfield Resort property sales being through Fields Development Company, Inc., that those gains and losses would pass through to Jim and his wife on a 50/50 basis, and to your father and mother, or to you all as descendants now, on a 50/50 basis?

A In theory that's the way it should be. I don't recall seeing any sort of $K-1 s$ from the golf course. I'm not aware of that. So that's my understanding of how it's supposed to work.

Q By no means am I trying to imply or

1 assume anything, but would I would be correct in understanding what you're telling me, that gains and losses through Fields Development for the sale and operation of Deerfield Resort properties, if there are gains and losses, Jim shares in those 50/50?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q Even to today?
A Yes.
Q But on the converse, gains and losses from the golf course don't make their way to the tax returns of you and your brother?

A I don't believe so because it's a separate entity now.

Q Okay. And you don't consider yourself as owning any interest in the golf course?

A Not at all.
Q And to your knowledge, your brother, and I can ask him tomorrow, but he doesn't consider himself an owner of the golf course?

A No.
Q Have no role in it's management?
A None.
Q
The second paragraph says, Deerfield Resort consists of approximately 800 acres abutting the greens at Deerfield golf course and Norris Lake. That

1800 acres, is that generally accurate as best you know?

A That would have been before they added the Deer Hill acreage.

Q So it would have been accurate back at this time, 1997 --

A Yes.
Q -- but there's been the Deer Hill acreage addition since then?

A That's correct.
Q It goes on to say that approximately 50 acres of this land, referring to the 800 acres, including roads, rights of way, swimming pool, and tennis courts are common areas deeded to Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc.; is that accurate or was that accurate at that time?

A I don't -- I don't think so. I don't remember seeing any deeds for that because $I$ don't think the roads were ever in that name. You know, this was written by Pat Martin. So maybe she didn't have a complete understanding of the legalities of how the properties were owned. They would have been recognized as going to be common properties, but $I$ don't think that they were ever deeded into the homeowners name, to my recollection or my awareness.

Q Let me make sure I understand what

1 you're telling me.

A Okay.
Q You're saying that as far as ultimate intent, you believe that that 50 acres or whatever it comes to be, when referring to the various things that are in that sentence, you believe it was the intent that those areas would be common areas --

A Correct.
Q -- owned by the Homeowners Association at some point, but you do not believe that that was the case in 1997?

A That's correct.
Q And to take that even a step further and to run it for another 26 years, from 1997 to today, as you and I sit here today in this deposition talking with each other, can you tell me, is there any real estate, any property, any dirt that's actually deeded in the name of Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc.?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q So when it comes to the ownership of real estate, to the best of your knowledge, Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc. has no real estate today?

A Correct.
Q Would it be your belief and your understanding that there still is an intent for the

Homeowners Association to have common areas?
A Yes.
Q And would the common areas that you believe would still be the intent for the Homeowners Association to have include these areas that have been mentioned here in this sentence?

A Yes, the roads; yes, the right of ways. I would assume that would have to be part of that. The swimming pool, the tennis courts, and the guard house.

Q Okay. Now, I want to ask you
specifically first about the roads. To your knowledge has there ever been a survey or any type of depiction of, description of the roads?

A There is the map that we submitted just recently with the discussion of the bylaws and Scott Reams was asking about what is Deerfield. The digital maps that we submitted originated from a survey that -- Crutchfield Surveys did of all of Deerfield. That was something midstream that the tax assessor's office asked to have completed.

At that time he would have had to have identified some of the roadways, but I don't recall ever seeing any actual plats or maps with the calls and distances that would define the road, but he shot them

1 in and he would had to have had some information on that 2 based on defining where the lots are and where the road is. So that part I don't know. Scott may know more of that tomorrow, and we could also always contact Crutchfield's office to look at those original surveys that they did.

Q And when you say a moment ago, he shot those in, you're referring to Mr. Crutchfield?

A Yes.
Q And/or his people?
A Correct.
Q And his company is called Crutchfield Surveying?

A That's right. It would be Tony
Crutchfield.
Q Tony Crutchfield, and he's based in
Campbell County?
A Jacksboro.
Q Jacksboro.
A Uh-huh.
Q But as far as any depiction of the roads within Deerfield that would show calls --

A Yes.
Q -- distances, surveyed description, you're not aware of one?

A I'm not aware of any.
Q The closest to that would be the digital maps that have been shot by or prepared by Crutchfield Surveying?

A Yes.
Q And your indication is you believe that those were transmitted to Mr. Reams?

A Yes.
Q Okay, all right. Are there any other common areas other than the ones listed here that you believe would be included as intended to be common areas for Deerfield Resort?

A I'm not aware of any others that we haven't covered or discussed, any other locations that would be considered common.

Q Are you aware of any maps, photographs, depictions, models, concept drawings, any types of documents at all that would have been used for any purpose, including sales, marketing, advertising of Deerfield Resort in the past that would indicate other areas besides those listed in this letter as being common areas?

A Nothing that $I$ can recall seeing.
Q All right. Now, as we move on, it says currently there are 250 owners, 51 owners are

Deerfield residents. Of course, this would have been as of 1997. It says approximately 70 of the 250 owners own one or more lots. As of today, do you know how many owners own more than one lot?

A I do not.
Q And it says down toward the end of that paragraph, Deerfield Resort owns approximately 150 acres. As we sit here today, do you know approximately how much acreage Deerfield Resort owns? And when it says Deerfield Resort, I'm assuming we're talking about Fields Development Company, Inc.

A I assume that that's what she means here too, is we would be the developer property. We've discussed maybe 40 acres, somewhere in that vicinity, still left to be developed.
th
Q So, as we sit here on the 27 day of June, 2023, you believe there would probably be approximately 40 acres that would still be owned by Fields Development, Inc.?

A That's my guess, yes.
Q And would Fields Real Estate, Inc. own any acreage within Deerfield?

A That would be what I would consider developer properties, anything still maintained by the entities that are still, have left to build.

Q So you think the 40 acres would include both Fields Development and Fields Real Estate, Inc.?

A Yes.
Q Are you specifically aware of any acreage that is owned by Fields Real Estate, Inc. now? A I believe that the hangar lots are owned by Fields Real Estate.

Q When you say hangar lots, these are lots up near the airstrip --

A Yes.
Q -- but they are not currently occupied by hangars?

A That's correct.
Q Capable of being developed for potential hangars, but you think those lots would be in the name of Fields Real Estate, Inc.?

A Yes.
Q All right. Any others?
A There's still various properties owned in the name of Paul and Madeline Fields and some of those might still be considered developer properties. Some of those may be individual lots that they've bought or sold for some reason, but it's possible that some of the developer plan could be in Paul and Madeline's name
still.

Q Lots that would be in Paul and Madeline's name, would that be included in your estimate of 40 acres?

A Yes.
Q All right. There's mention here of a golf course. You've told me that there's a discussion that's been ongoing about whether or not the golf course is really deemed to be a part of Deerfield, correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, was the golf course on the property list that was submitted in August of 2022?

A Yes.
Q And at that time, for purposes of coming up to that 992 or 93 lot number --

A Yes.
Q -- the golf course was included?
A There were just two, two parcels.
Q Right.
A Because they're such large acreage.
Q And how are those parcels titled?
A I believe it's in the Greens at
Deerfield.
Q The Greens at Deerfield. So you're thinking there are two lots titled as the Greens at

Deerfield?
A Yes. There's a third property tax card for them, but that's just the personal property for the contents.

Q Okay. So two lots for the golf course, as best you know now, and those two lots were included in the 992 or three of August 2022 list and, therefore, based on that list and based on the way that we've all been discussing, voting being for the board and otherwise the golf course would get two votes?

A Correct.
Q Now, there's a discussion about whether that's proper or not and I understand, as I understand a decision has not been made within Fields Development Company, Inc. as to whether or not that's the way that it will be ultimately?

A Right.
Q But right now they're included in that number?

A Correct.
Q The next thing that's mentioned is a restaurant. Is there still a restaurant at Deerfield?

A No, that is now what occupies the
Fields Real Estate office.
Q That's what I was thinking. So the

Fields Real Estate office is there now. Who owns that lot?

A Paul and Madeline Fields.
Q And is that a single lot that that

```
office rests on?
```

A No -- yes. The office is on one and then there's another, a second lot adjacent to it.

Q Is it developed in any way?
A No.
Q Is it parking?
A No, it's just a vacant lot.
Q A vacant lot.
A Uh-huh.
Q And you think both those lots would be in Paul and Madeline's name?

A I believe so.
Q And so there would be two votes there for those lots, correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, that office that occupies the lot, what all functions or operates out of that office other than Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A Fields Real Estate.
Q How big is the building square footage wise approximately?

A $\quad 4,000$ square feet maybe.
Q Out of the 4,000 square feet, how much of it is utilized or occupied by Fields Development?

A It's just all one office. It would be hard to decide what part is Fields Development and what is Fields Real Estate.

Q Essentially there's not a segregation of space between the two entities. You've got a single 4,000 square feet. Fields Real Estate operates out of there, Fields Development operates out of there. Any other entity, business or person that operates out of there?

A
A portion of that is used for package room for the community. And then, I can't say for Scott, I would think his water, Deerfield Water, could operate out of there, although I don't know if that uses his home address or there too.

Q Okay. Now, there is a provider of water to residents in Deerfield and it's an entity; is it not?

A Deerfield Water, LLC.
Q You think it's Deerfield Water, LLC?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And who owns it?
A Scott Fields.

Q And do you have any ownership in it?

A
I do not.
Q
Do you know of anybody else that has
ownership in it?
A Possibly his wife, Lisa.

Q
Does it provide water to all of the properties within Deerfield?

A In theory, yes. I mean someone could have their own private well but there's no --

THE WITNESS: Did they bring city
water to Deerfield Village? Did that come
through the gate to Marina Ridge?
A
So, you know, there's a part, that's one of the problems we're having with the map, there's a parcel of land that comes inside of Deerfield, inside the gate, that's not technically Deerfield and that's called Marina Ridge and they have city, what we call city water that comes from LaFollette Utilities.

Q So technically Marina Ridge is not part of Deerfield Resort?

A Correct.
Q And it has city water?
A Yes.
Q Now, for the water services that are provided within Deerfield Resort by your brother's
company, tell me again what the name of that is.
A Deerfield Water System, LLC.
Q Does Deerfield Water System, LLC, to the best of your memory, best of your knowledge, and I'll ask him tomorrow, sell water?

A Well, there's monthly invoices or quarterly invoices for water usage.

Okay. And the charge for that, when the paperwork, I assume, bills go out for that to the various residents in Deerfield Resort, do you get one of those bills?

A I do not.
Q You do not. That's a great benefit of having a brother, right?

A I think he would prefer I pay though.
Q So for those that do get such an invoice in the mail, they would mail their check or payment, if they're sending it that way, where?

A To the 1235 Deerfield Way.
Q Which is the office that we've been talking about?

A The office address, correct.
Q Are there any employees separately designated or segregated as being employees of the water company?

A I don't believe there's any formal employees of the water company.

Q So would it be fair to say then that the people within the four walls of the office building, and we're going to talk about positions and who and how many shortly, but the people within there, are there employees that are designated as Fields Development Company, Inc. employees only?

A There are employees that would work in multiple capacities and then their hours are charted where they spend their time, whether it be for Deerfield or -- we would call it just Deerfield is what we would call Fields Development. Whether they be for the real estate, for anything else that they may have done outside of the water system, they all keep their time separately.

Q So does that keeping of time separately allow for the allocation of those costs in different components to the different entities?

A Yes.
Q When I look at, for example, some of the records that you have provided to us regarding payroll and employees, and things of that nature, and I see names and I see amounts, would it be fair to say that, generally speaking within the four walls of that
office complex, an effort is made to bill out the time and the salaries or the wages of the various people that work in that building on an allocated basis to the entities for whom they actually did the work?

A Yes, that is correct.
Q
So that if there are employees doing work for the water company, that's being allocated to the water company. If there are employees that are doing work for maintenance and security of the greater Deerfield Resort, that's being billed to their account. If there are employees that are doing administrative or other work for Fields Real Estate, Inc., then there is an allocation going to Fields Real Estate to help pay that.

A Correct.
Q So that everybody is paying their fair share?

A That's right.
Q That's the intent and the purpose,
correct?
A That's right.
Q All right. And that's the way, as far as you're concerned, it should be?

A Yes.
Q I asked you before we started back

1 here shortly ago to look through the letter and let me 2 know if you were aware of anything that was inaccurate in the letter or in error, and I noted as I came back into the room you made a few underlines or markings on the document. Did you find anything that was, first of all, inaccurate or in error?

A Well, there's some things I just, you know, wasn't completely aware of that may have been mentioned in the letter. And, of course, it was something I asked Preston about because I know this was in the discussion of the bylaws that we all had input that we didn't feel like non-owners, non-members should be a board member, because $I$ think they allowed in the beginning for a bank director or an attorney, or someone along those lines, to be in there.

Q Who didn't live at Deerfield?
A Exactly.
Q Okay.
A
But I think our intent was for it to be only Deerfield members. Is there something in particular you're wanting me to address?

A No. And when it comes to discussion of the bylaws or things of that nature, $I$ really want just from a factual standpoint of the information that's in the letter whether you saw anything, and you said
gee, that just factually is inaccurate.
A Well, I would just say that sending anything to the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association -- I mean clearly it wasn't functioning, it didn't operate, it didn't really exist at that point. But this was all in anticipation of getting it up and running and having their resort, the Association, take over and would have been the purpose of why she would have things being mailed to the Homeowners Association.

Q There is in the paragraph in the middle of the first page, the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., DRHA; do you see that?

A Uh-huh.
Q It says Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association was incorporated March 1, 1986; that's accurate, is it not?

A I would assume. Yeah, I think that's what I saw on the charter.

Q Do you believe that that's indicative of the fact that from virtually the very beginning of Deerfield, your father contemplated and in fact intended that there would some day be a functioning homeowners association?

A Yes.
Q It said in reference to your father

1 and Jim, your uncle, that they were current board members of that HOA, correct?

A Correct.
Q And that it was their intention to vacate their positions once the new board is elected?

A That's what it said.
Q All right. Now, there's been a lot go on in the litigation that we have, but as I understand the position that you as a defendant have individually, and as far as Fields Development Company, Inc. and Fields Real Estate Company, Inc. are concerned, it is your intent and with your approval now that there is going to be a homeowners association, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you have agreed to work towards that process?

A Yes.
Q
Now, the next paragraph says the purpose of the Association, as stated in the Articles of Incorporation, are to provide for the maintenance, preservation, and private control of common areas and roadways within the Deerfield Resort area and to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the residents within the property. Would you believe and state today for your own purposes that that's true?

A
Yes. And private control meaning that they' re not just public roads managed by the county so that we could have the gate or the security guard.

Q And I'm going to come to a document later that $I$ have been provided where the county basically says we have nothing to do with those roads.

A And we don't want them.
Q
And you don't really want them to. It is a private community and the intention has always been for the community, as a private community, to have control of the maintenance, the upkeep, and the security of its own road system?

A Yes.
Q Should there be at some point in the future a reason that the property owners collectively at Deerfield wanted to put those arms back up at the entrance, they could do so?

A Correct.
Q All right. What about the airstrip, who owns the airstrip now?

A It is -- it's a separate association that's called the Deerfield Flying Association.

Q Is that an entity or is it just a
name?
A It is an actual entity.

Q Do you know who controls or operates that entity now?

A Currently now it would be Scott and myself.

Q Is there an intention for that to be turned over to the Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc.?

A No, it's a separate entity.
Q Any of the ground, dirt or parcels surrounding that that are not currently privately owned by others, for example, John Doe comes in and buys property and puts a hangar on it, that person has the right to use that airstrip, correct?

A Yes.
Q By what means, by what document or means does that person have a right?

A Well, anyone in Deerfield could use it whether they have a hangar or not. If they have an airplane and they fly in, they could still use it. So they don't have to own property surrounding it.

Q Do they have to pay?
A At this time, there are no assessments.

Q Could there be assessments in the future?

A There could, yes.
Q If there were to be assessments in the future, who would make those assessments?

A It would be by a board.
Q Of that Association that you mentioned
to me --
A Correct.
Q -- just a moment ago?
A Yes.
Q And sometimes I'm thinking and not

```
writing. So tell me again what the name of that
```

    Association is.
    A Deerfield Flying Association.
Q And is that an Inc.?
A I'm not sure.
Q So if there were to be assessments in the future, those assessments would be made by Deerfield Flying Association, Inc.?

A Correct.
Q And if there came a time where assessments were made and there was a charge for having ongoing access or intermittent access, just maybe somebody flies in one time, then that charge would be payable to whom?

A Probably to the Deerfield Flying

Association $I$ would assume.
Q And, to the best of your knowledge, that Association is owned by you and your brother?

A Controlled or managed by it, yes.
Q Okay. Now, I used the word owned and you came back and said controlled or managed. I want to make sure we're on the same page.

A Okay.
Q
I get the controlled and managed part, but from the standpoint of sending out bills or an assessment or a charge for the use of the airstrip, that money would come to the Association?

A Correct.
Q And then what would happen to the money?

A Well, we would have to have the checking account for it and it would get deposited there and then it would take care of the maintenance of that area.

Q If there was overage, and that's always your hope typically in most instances, is that an entity will take in more than it pays out. If there was a profit generated, where would that profit go as you understand it?

A It would just stay in the Association
for future repairs or anything needed. It would just roll over and stay there, it wouldn't come out.

Q It would not ultimately be subject to being paid to you or your brother?

A No.
Q Do you or your brother, to your knowledge, and $I^{\prime} l l$ talk to him tomorrow, so I'm only asking you, have you all ever contemplated making any charges or assessments related to the airstrip?

A Well, right now the upkeep is on a voluntary basis, but there's going to be the necessity for probably repaving or, you know, refinishing it. So if there's something like that, it would be necessary to have some fees.

Q And again, those fees, if that happened, would go to the Flying Association?

A Correct.
Q
Does the Flying Association maintain any type of liability insurance related to the airstrip?

A At this time it's our understanding that private airstrips are not liable for accidents by private pilots on their land.

Q What about slip and fall or some type of unfortunate accident otherwise?

A Nothing that $I^{\prime} m$ aware of. That's not

1 to say there's not something included in one of the other policies. It's very possible that the overall Deerfield Resort policy does include the airport.

Q Okay. Regarding the airport then, the lots there that have existing hangars and planes coming in and going out, they're not paying anything for that?

A Not to be part of the airport, just the Deerfield fees, just the --

Q Security and maintenance fees?
A Exactly.
Q Just like any other lot owner --
A Exactly.
Q -- that doesn't have an airplane would, but there is no special assessment or segregated assessment related to that at all?

A Not at all.
Q If there is a pothole in the runway, or something that needs to be repaired, what source is there to pay for that out of now?

A In general, if there's been any repair, if bills have been submitted to Deerfield, and there have been some things I've noticed in discovery too, like there was -- I know Scott donated a mower. I saw some propane bills that went through for that. And as far as any other maintenance, I'm not sure if they

1 would submit it to Deerfield for reimbursement or if 2 they would take up any sort of collection amongst themselves for those sorts of repairs.

Q Being the hangar owner?
A Yes.
Q Operators of the planes?
A Right. So I'm really not sure what the complete answer would be for that.

Q To your knowledge has there been any expense or cost that's been incurred related to the airstrip that's been paid out of the Homeowners Association security and maintenance funds?

A There have been some mowing fees. I know I saw some receipts that Bob Lau turned in for gas and so --

Q Bob --
A Bob Lau, L-a-u. I saw some receipts there and I think there was some for propane that I think was for a propane mower and that's the one I thought was donated or given to the airstrip, to the airport. That's all I found, that's all I saw as I was looking through.

Q Okay. On page two there is a paragraph, I think it's the third paragraph down, second sentence, "Though some of us do not use the recreational
facilities, swimming pool, tennis courts, their availability and maintenance adds to general property values." Do you see that?

A You said page two?
Q Page two and third paragraph down, last sentence.

A Okay.
Q
Do you agree that in general the recreational facilities, specifically mentioned here is swimming pool and tennis courts, adds to the general property values?

A Yes.
Q As do common areas in general; do they not?

A
Right, yeah. I think -- I mean I
don't know for sure, but $I$ know there was some discussion. I think what she was addressing was, you know, several people were saying, well, we don't use those, therefore we shouldn't have to pay for them. So I think that was the point she was making.

Q For a person at Deerfield who owns a lot, perhaps they've built a home on a lot, but it's not a lake lot, do they have access to the lake in any way?

A There's really no common lake access in Deerfield except for through the main Deerfield

Marina.

Q So no common lake access. So from the standpoint of there being a common area, let's say I just wanted to have a picnic along the water, but I own a home that's in Deerfield Resort, not on the lake. So I would have to go somewhere to get that lake front experience. There's nowhere that is a common area where I could go, take my picnic basket, and walk a common area down close to the water and have a picnic?

A Not in Deerfield.
Q So, if I wanted to do that and stay within Deerfield, I am relegated to hoping to have a friend that has a lake lot or -- what about the marina, tell me about it.

A That's just -- it's owned by Brian Costello.

Q Brian?
A Brian Costello and it is I believe just called the Deerfield Marina. I'm not sure what the legal name is of it. He has a restaurant there, but no gas, and multiple Deerfield owners rent slips there. Some are lake view, some may be -- are lake front but need extra slips, but that's primarily for the Deerfield residents. However, it's the TVA marina, so residents -- people that don't live in Deerfield could rent slips
there.
Q People who don't live in Deerfield could rent slips there. it being a TVA marina?

A Correct.
Q It cannot be restricted or relegated just to Deerfield residents?

A That's right.
Q
Has Deerfield Resort ever been marketed as a community that provides access to all of its members to lake enjoyment?

A I think just being on the lake that it's possible they might have said lake access. It's possible that they said that. I can't possibly know what all the advertising has said for 35 years.

Q Who handles the advertising now?
A
Now I don't think we're doing any advertising. We just have -- the boat shows that we do and that would primarily be the majority of what's done for Deerfield at this point.

Q Who has handled that the advertising in the past when it was being done?

A Paul and Madeline.
Q Paul --
A Paul and Madeline.
Q Paul and Madeline, okay. Since your
mother passed has advertising ceased?
A That would probably be a question for Scott. I really don't know what exactly is being done in that respect.

Q And there's the decision to do advertising and there is the creation of advertising content, or the making of the decision where to spend the advertising dollar, or which magazine or billboard to pick, who makes those decisions?

A Primarily Scott.
Q All right. And is Scott the one that goes to the boat shows?

A Yes.
Q Do you go to the boat shows?
A I have in the past. I haven't in the last couple of years.

Q When you go, do you go in a capacity of working the boat show or just enjoying the boat show?

A Primarily working it.
Q Working it. Anything else that you see in the letter that you marked or noted or wanted to talk to me about, during the time that you've had to look at it, that we've not already spoken about?

A Nothing in particular. I mean there's notes that $I^{\prime} v e$ made based on historical information and
historical discussions, but nothing $I$ would bring up.
Q Can I see the notes that you've made? So what you've done generally -- well, let me go back to the first page and take it from there. On the first page you've underlined some things, primarily have underlined. There's one place that you put in a box where it was the directors need not be members and we talked about that.

A Right.
Q But otherwise you just underlined some things, you've not made any actual notations yourself of narrative, correct?

A Correct.
Q The second page is very similar, you've underlined just a few things, and you circled the return date for the survey as 1997.

A Just to reinforce when that was actually done.

Q Yes. And then the third page you've underlined a couple of things, but I don't see that you have made any notations, correct?

A Correct.
Q All right. So your attorney and I discussed during the break that we would detach the survey page which you had never seen before today with

Mr. Hilty's comments on it, right?
A Correct.
Q Since you hadn't done that, we're going to mark that for identification, but the two page letter itself will be Exhibit No. 1 to your deposition, okay?

A Okay.
(Exhibit No. 1, filed.)
(Exhibit No. 2, for I.D.)
Q
Now next I want to hand to you a st
letter that is dated December 31, 2011 and it is from, or at least a line at the bottom, Paul and Madeline Fields, and it appears to bear their signatures, or at least a signature of somebody signing for them; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with this letter?
A $\quad$ I am.
Q Did you have anything to do with drafting this letter?

A I did. I would have drafted it and that's my signature for them.

Q So you signed for them?
A I did.
Q Now, I've got a business where I've
got family members in my business and they sometimes sign for me and that's just the way we do things. You had, I take it, their authorization to sign their names to documents from time to time?

A Yes.
Q And you have done so?
A Yes. They would have given me this letter to draft for them and mail it out for them.

Q
When you say they would have given it to you to draft for them, would they write it out and you would type it up or have it typed up, or would they tell you generally what they wanted in it and you would actually compose it?

A They would have it written up.
Q They would have it written up.
A I might try to correct some grammar or something along the lines, but for the most part it would be their words.

Q It would be their letter. To all Deerfield Resort owners. After 27 years it has come time to ask our owners to help maintain Deerfield Resort. That's the way the letter starts, correct?

A Correct.
Q And I take it that this was the result of some consideration and discussion on the part of your
parents?
A Yes.
Q To do this at this time, right?
A Correct.
Q And were you involved in those discussions or just kind of a witness to them?

A I was not.
Q And they go on to say it's imperative to keep Deerfield in a well groomed condition to keep our property values escalating. And it goes on to discuss in the next paragraph the only true, and that was in quotation marks, resort on Norris Lake, and it says with an 18 hole golf course, paved roads, paved airstrip, marina, tennis courts, volleyball court, and children's playground; do you see that?

A I do.
Q And it goes on then to reference a maintenance fee, an estimated maintenance fee of $\$ 250$ per home or condominium, or $\$ 125$ per lot owner will be sufficient at this time, correct?

A Correct.
Q
It says we will continue to manage the operation and disperse the monies and will furnish all itemized accounts at the end of the year; do you see that?

A I do.
Q Was that done?
A Yes.
Q And has it consistently been done st
since -- this was December 31 , 2011. So I'm taking it that the plan was to implement this in 2012 and forward?

A Yes. I believe in the two years where she was ill, I don't think she sent them out for '19 or '20, but I've seen at least in discovery where she had every year -- done them through the '16, through the '18, and then Kelly has sent them out for the last two years.

> Q All right.

MR. HURLEY: So let's make this the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 3.
(Exhibit No. 3, filed.)
THE WITNESS: You failed to read the last sentence though where it said Deerfield owners will be welcome to take over the operations at any time if this is not satisfactory.

Q Yes. And to the extent that as I show you these documents you want to read anything --

A Okay.

Q -- you're welcome to do that. I've got things $I^{\prime} v e ~ g o t ~ q u e s t i o n s ~ a b o u t, ~ b u t ~ i f ~ y o u ~ w a n t ~ t o ~$ read or speak of or bring to my attention anything in those letters, you feel free to do that, as you just did.

Generally speaking from that time, December 31, 2011, did a process start -- we did mark that, right, that's three. Did a process start by which property owners at Deerfield received on an annual basis an invoice or a statement, or some type of piece of paper to remind them to send in money for the maintenance and upkeep of Deerfield?

A Yes. There would be a yearly invoice that would go out that would say for security and maintenance.

Q And was the intent, to the best of your knowledge, of your mother and father, and then after they had passed, you and your brother in fact for the money that came in from the various property owners, whether it be the 250 that we see here, or some greater amount later on, to be used for maintenance and security?

A Yes.
Q Was there ever a communication made, to the best of your knowledge as you sit here and
testify today, to the property owners at Deerfield Resort that the money that they were sending in started at $\$ 250$, from 2012 forward, would be used for something other than maintenance and security at Deerfield Resort?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Do you know as you sit here today, th
from January 1, 2012 to June the 27 , 2023, how much money has been paid by property owners at Deerfield Resort as a result of these maintenance and security fees, or invoices, or dues, or whatever they were referenced as from time to time?

A I've only looked back as far as 2016 and I saw the figures that Kelly put together from that time forward that she put in her post, that it was from 2016 forward, it was around 1.7 million, somewhere in that vicinity.

Q Now, I believe that was from 2016 through 2021, wasn't it?

A Okay, possibly.
Q
We'll come to it in a minute. I'm not trying to trap you and $I^{\prime} m$ not trying to get trapped either.

A Okay.
Q We're both working together here just to get the facts out, okay?

A Okay.
Q
But for whatever period it was that Kelly analyzed, it started in 2016, I think we can agree on that.

A Yes.
Q We'll find out for sure the end date
in a moment, but it was about a million seven?
A I think she said a million seven.
Again, my memory is not very good for --
Q We'll come to it in a minute.
A Okay.
Q Would it be your understanding that the entirety of that money represented by that time period for which Kelly did the calculations should have been used for maintenance and security?
A Yes.

Q Was it enough to do the maintenance and security?

A My understanding is no, it was never enough.

Q And when it wasn't enough, what happened?

A Then we would in some form put funds back into the account either from -- what I found, Madeline did it either from Fields Real Estate, personal

1 accounts, personal savings accounts, or sometimes they would sell property and leave the proceeds in the account. So there was always money going into the account to support it.

Q Now, when you say the account, I have seen records that there came a time, but I don't think it was 2012, when there was actually a Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc. account that was set up; is that your understanding?

A For the condos, that was a condo account.

Q It was a condo account?
A Yes. That was Exhibits 1 through 3 of my original discovery to show that that was for the Deer Lake condos.

Q All right. And what bank was that account set up with?

A I think it was First Volunteer.
Q All right. So that account was supposed to be a condo account?

A Yes.
Q Was it used as a condo account?
A Yes.
Q Was it used for general purposes of paying for security and maintenance for non-condo
related things?
A For all of the bank statements that I submitted, that was all condo expenses.

Q And I believe that account was established around 2016 or so?

A I don't know the original date.
Q Were those -- was there a separate account -- let's go back, let me -- I'm thinking and talking at the same time, that's dangerous sometimes. Was there -- the money that went into that account, the First Volunteer account, was that dues or assessments paid by property owners for the condos only or for all the property owners at Deerfield?

A It was the Deer Lake condo owners. It would be their condo dues and it would be their condo insurance, and that would be just for the maintenance and upkeep of that condo section of the lakefront condos.

Q So if I was an owner of a piece of property that was not a condo but was just a lot within Deerfield, I was getting -- I would be getting from 2012 forward a dues or assessment invoice as well, correct?

A Correct.
Q And if I wrote my, for example, in 2012 or ' 13, my $\$ 250$ check for a single lot, what

1 account did that check go into?

A That would be the Deerfield Resort account.

Q Which was maintained with which bank?
A That one is Home Federal. I believe that's a Home Federal account. Well, it's Hearthside now.

Q It was Home Federal originally, correct, and then at a point in time it became Hearthside Bank?

A Correct.
Q And would all of the checks that came from property owners go into that account?

A There would just be one account for security and maintenance.

Q So if I wrote the $\$ 250$ check for my single lot, sent it in 2013, 2014, 2015, whatever year, it would go into that account?

A Somewhere in the past I saw that she had a Deerfield maintenance account that she called that, so I don't know why she switched to Hearthside. Maybe she just wanted to go to a different bank, but I have seen a Deerfield maintenance account from the very beginning. So back in 2011 it's possible that she had a separate account.

Q With someone other than Home Federal?
A
No. Well, it's possible. It could have been Home Federal. It could have been Deerfield -or First Volunteer.

Q Do you remember where you saw that at?
A No, I don't.
Q
Do you have any records or bank account statements or anything indicating the existence of that account?

A I haven't found anything other than just $I$ saw one check somewhere along the line that said Deerfield maintenance was the only thing I saw.

Q So the check that you saw was a check written by Deerfield to pay for something?

A I think it was like a voided check or something like that. It wasn't -- I haven't seen the statements, but they were older. I know that was probably the original account that she had. I don't think that it was called Deerfield Resort from the beginning. I think it was just Deerfield Maintenance.

Q So the owner of the account is indicated on the check that you remember seeing. It may have been a voided check, but you remember seeing a check and the check owner or the person or the entity that was writing the check you believe was Deerfield

Maintenance?
A Maintenance.
Q You don't recall for sure what bank the check was written on?

A No.
Q And I'm going to make a couple of assumptions here from what you've just told me, but if I'm wrong you let me know.

A Okay.
Q You never found bank account
statements related to that account?
A I haven't looked because it was old, you know, that was outside of what $I$ was digging for and I was on a timeframe, so --

Q All right. So do you know where you would look if you were going to look?

A We had trouble finding anything back as far as 2016, so I don't know. I still have not found boxes or files for anything older than 2016.

Q From your dealings with your mother and your father leading up to his passing, I believe you said in 2016, and her passing in 2021 --

A Yes.
Q -- did they ever talk to you about where they kept the security and maintenance monies that

1 were collected other than the Hearthside bank account?

A No.
Q Did you ever see --
A Are you asking if there were multiple accounts?

Q Yes.
A No, there were never multiple accounts. There was one account.

Q All right. So what we know is that from 2016-ish on there was the Home Federal, then Hearthside, account, right?

A Right.
Q And really that's just a change in the name of the bank, right?

A Right.
Q The account didn't move from one bank to another. It stayed at the same place, but the name of the bank changed.

A Correct.
Q So that account is the account that you're familiar with that you've been telling me that from whatever time that account was set up, all the deposits that came from property owners at Deerfield went into that account?
A
Correct.
 would come into Deerfield property owners would go into that account that was originally Home Federal and later Hearthside?

A Yes.
Q And you also believe that when it comes to the -- what's the name of the other one? First

Volunteer --
A Yes.
Q -- that that was only the checks being deposited into that account were only the fees or dues, payments being made by the condo owners?

A For the Deer Lake condos, yes.
Q Can you tell me, just as a matter of curiosity, why that account, the one you had at First Volunteer, was titled Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc. as opposed to Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc.?

A I don't know why they did that. I really don't know how it got set up that way. It did cause us a couple of problems along the line when we were using checks for the condos and it said something other than condos on it. But no, I don't know how it got set up or why it got set up that way.

Q Is there a Deerfield Condo Association Owners, Inc.?

A There is, but it was two different budgets because they were lake view condos and lakefront condos. So they had different expenses, so their budgets were always separate and their checking accounts were always separated.

Q So you kept two separate accounts for
them?
A Yes.
Q
Now, I have from the documents that were subpoenaed from First Volunteer some records and I gave your counsel a copy of those. So that checking account that I have the copies -- actually the subpoena was answered before $I$ ever got involved in the case. It was something that was turned over to me and I looked at it and I saw Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc., but what I have, as I understand it then, is an account, it's a single account. All the records I've got are the same account number and, as $I$ understand it, that would be an account that consists of the monies received from the condo owners for one of the two classes of condo owners that you just mentioned to me.

A Yes, yes.
Q But not both?
A That's right, correct.
Q Okay. Now, I've also got, and they were originally provided, but there are these statements and, of course, this is in hard copy for them. I don't know if you've looked at them like this, but I printed off what was provided to us either earlier in May or on st May the 31 . I think they came in two batches, and something similar to this, but not like this, was

1 provided even earlier, but there was kind of, I'll call it a software issue that made it hard to piece together what they were.

But in any event, when I get these statements from you all in May of 2023, these statements, as $I$ understand it, would depict only the monies that have come from property owners at Deerfield, not the condo owners. These would be the folks at Deerfield that own properties, lots that are paying security and maintenance fees based on invoices or due statements that they're receiving.

A That is just expenses. There were separate documents for deposits.

Q Right, correct. But these documents that depict those expenses do not include any condo association fees coming in?

A There were -- condo insurance would be a flow in and a flow out. I've seen there were deposits in and out of there that could have been condo insurance.

Q Why would that be?
A I don't know. I do know that -- the only thing $I$ know for sure is that in the early days she had treated the lake view condos as a trust account, that she did not write checks out of it, so that if

1 someone needed to get paid for mowing the grass they had to go to the bank to get a bank check for that. I don't know what her reasons were for that, if she believed that as a trust account she couldn't write checks on it.

But I did notice, because one of the first things I looked for were the large insurance payments, and I saw that there would be condo insurance that was a flow through and I saw her notes to the accountant where she was balancing that out to say this much came in for condo insurance and this much went out for condo insurance.

Q Are you telling me then -- when you say it was kind of a pass through or flow through, that when I see on here that payments have been made, and there is one in fact on the very first page and it shows as being a January 10, 2016 payment to Nationwide and the entry on it says condo insurance, the amount is $\$ 4,259.45$, what you're telling me is yes, that amount did go out of this account but the condo association put money in to cover that?

A Correct.
Q And there will be a corresponding deposit to show that, correct?

A That's correct.

Q
single time?
A
It should be. I just noticed when I was looking at the working papers from the accountant that she would give them a summary at the end and she would show him that was the income and that was the expenses for that and she would just show that as flow through.

```
    How was that handled on the tax
    returns, if you know?
    A I think it was just a net zero. It
```

        would have been the net, I mean the gross receipts and then the gross expenditures.
    Q You also mentioned to me earlier that there were times when the money that was getting paid out of this account for the Homeowners Association was not enough -- was too much, that there wasn't enough money to cover it and that money would be put into the account to cover those shortfalls?

A The expenses would be too much.
Q Yes. So in those instances would the money to cover it always be put into this account and then written out of this account?

A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
Q Sometimes things similar to that can
occur and Fields Development is going to cover the shortfall, and instead of writing a check to Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc., Fields Development might just write the check direct to whoever it's supposed to go to and just make a paper entry; are you aware of that occurring?

A It's possible, but I'm not aware of it.

Q You can't point me to a specific instance where that's occurred?

A Correct.
Q Your understanding of the way it was normally done and the way that it was procedurally done as a regular process was that the money would be deposited into the Homeowners Association, Inc. account?
A No.

Q Into the Deerfield Resort account?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And then written out from there?

A Correct.
Q When someone, whether it be Fields Development Company, Inc. or Fields Real Estate Company, Inc. or Paul Fields and Madeline Fields, would put money into the Deerfield Resort account to help it cover its
shortfalls, what documentation would be made or prepared to evidence that?

A That I'm not aware of.
Q Were promissory notes ever done?
A We did one recently for `21 and `22
for the overages that we put in from Fields Real Estate to get us through, but I haven't come across any that were written earlier than that.

Q
So when it was done, other than what's been done as you called it recently -- and that money came from Fields Real Estate, Inc.?

A For the promissory note that we have currently, yes, Fields Real Estate.

Q But other than that you're not aware of promissory notes being done?

A Not that $I^{\prime}$ ve seen.
Q You've not found a promissory note file, a note file, a loan file, or any IOU file, or anything of that nature to document that?

A The tax -- just the working papers with the accountant. He sent me, and I tried to find it to bring for this morning, he sent me his ledger that shows all of the funds that went to Deerfield from the various entities. He just had it on a written ledger note that showed his running totals through the years,
because $I$ was asking him about on the tax returns the number that showed Deerfield Resort was 1.7 million of other entities that had loaned it money and I asked him if that number was actually true and he said yes, and then he sent me this form to show all of the monies that they had put in from all of the accounts through the years.

Q Let me make sure I understand that.
A Okay.
We had the 1.7 mentioned a while ago as the number from 2016 until '21 or '22 that Kelly had tabulated --

A Yeah, separate number.
Q -- as a separate number and that's money coming from the property owners in payment of dues or fees, whatever we call it, right?

A Yes, right.
Q And then you're saying to me that for some period of time and what period are we talking about, from the start?

A Yes, from -- at least from his running
totals from when he had been taking care of their returns, at least that's what my understanding was.

Q He being the CPA?
A Jack, yes.
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Q
That either Paul, your father, and your mother, Madeline, or Fields Development Company, Inc. or Fields Real Estate, Inc., or any other entity that they controlled, had put in a million seven?

A Yes.
Q Over the entire period of time had it been paid back?

A
No, it didn't look like it had been paid back.

Q
So essentially what you're telling me then is that based on your understanding and your knowledge, which in part comes from what Jack told you --

A Yes.
Q -- once you asked him, is that the money collected from the homeowners wasn't enough to cover maintenance and security?

A Correct.
Q And that in fact it was only approximately half because the property owners that paid -- well, we don't know, do we? We don't know how much they contributed before 2016, do we?

A I've not been able to find those. I'm sure the records are somewhere, but I have not been able to find them.

Q Based on your understanding, what we can say is that over the entire period of time, 2012 to the present, based on your understanding, partly coming from Jack, is that the shortfall has come to a million seven?

A Yes.
Q And that million seven shortfall has been covered in one way or another by your father, your mother, Fields Real Estate, Fields Development?

A Correct. And we know that prior to 2012 they paid everything. There was no fees or dues paid in. So from ' 86 to 2011 they paid all of the expenses.

Q Have you ever been involved in any other development of a real estate project yourself? And I know you've mentioned a couple of things here about what you've done with your husband in particular, the condos I think that you mentioned, but ever a real estate development involving a large piece of property like this?

A No. Just the small pieces inside of Deerfield.

Q So as a general rule, is it your understanding that when a developer takes a large piece of property, maybe ultimately intending to turn it over
to a property owner, that in the early stages of that project the developer owns most or all of the property until they start selling it.

A Uh-huh.
Q And over the time that transpires from the time that they start the project until they have sold enough that they're ready to turn it over to the Homeowners Association, they are paid for all those costs related to maintenance and upkeep. Is that your understanding as to the way it's normally done?

A It seems to me like it could be done both ways, but there could be fees from the beginning for ongoing upkeep.

Q And the developer is paying part of those expenses or maybe all of those expenses out of the proceeds from the sale of the property that's being sold as lots?

A Yes.
Q In this case residential lots, correct?

A Correct.

Q
Do you know how much the shortfall is, or did Jack give you any information as to the amount of the shortfall for the period of time between the time that the Homeowners Association account with Home

Federal was set up and the present?
A He hasn't given me that information. He probably has it.

Q Okay. One of the documents that we've either been provided or that we've seen being published, and I'm going to hand you and your counsel a copy of it, is a document called Fields Loaned to Deerfield by year 2015 to 2022; have you ever seen this document?

A Yes, I have.
Q Do you know who or where it was generated?

A I generated it.
Q And does it set forth the amounts that, to your understanding, have been loaned to Deerfield for the benefit of what we will call the Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc. maintenance and security purposes?

A Yes, but Kelly told me I was -- I under reported the 2022. When she finalized all of the records for ' 22 , she told me that number was close to $\$ 111,000$ instead of $\$ 95,000$.

Q So the $\$ 95,000$ dollar number more accurately would be set forth, according to Kelly, as a \$111,000?

A And just a little more. I'm not sure

1 why there was an odd amount, but there was something else in there.

Q So we'll just call it a $\$ 111,000$ plus?
A
Q Yeah.

Did she tell you what the specific reason was for the understatement of the loans to Deerfield for 2022 was, and the understatement there would be, eleven and five would be $\$ 16,000$; do you know what that $\$ 16,000$ represented?

A She didn't tell me exactly what it was. She just told me that was all accounts. So it's possible, if $I$ was only looking from Fields Real Estate, that we may have contributed something from a different company. It's also possible, if I was just looking through cancelled checks, that I may not have looked far enough into something that was deposited that hadn't cleared maybe for the end of the year or the beginning of the year. She didn't tell me. I could ask her why there was more.

Q Would it be fair to say that based on the way Kelly presented it to you, Kelly knows what that $\$ 16,000$ represents?

A Yes.
Q And should have some paperwork or documentation to show it?

A Yes.
Q Because she's pretty certain that you were understated, right?

A That's right.
Q So that would then take our number up from the $\$ 285,844.07$ up another $\$ 16,000$ which would get us to about $\$ 301,000$ and some change; does that sound right?

A Yes.
Q Now, I'm assuming that there are some kinds of records that would indicate personal loans, for example, 2015 and '16, $\$ 30,000$ each year. What does personal other mean; do you know?

A I'm assuming, and I don't know this for sure, I haven't researched it, I think one would be a checking account and one would be a savings account because $I$ saw several notes that they took it out of savings account to put it in.

Q And then there's Fields Real Estate, correct?

A Yes.
Q And then there's Fields Development and then there is other; do you know what other would be?

A I do not. I have not been able to
find all of the 2015 records. This was just her summaries when $I$ was finding all the other summaries for discovery.

Q Kelly's summary?
A No, 2015 would have been Madeline's.
Q Madeline's summary?
A Uh-huh.
Q
Okay, all right. But the numbers come from sources that you believe to be accurate?

A Yes. These are her records and these are the records that she gave the accountant.

Q Have those records been turned over to us?

A Yes. He said he gave you all of his working papers, all of the documents that he used to produce the tax returns.

Q Being the accountant, Jack?
A The accountant, yes.
Q So you believe that in the records that Jack turned over to us there will be documentation that evidences the calculation of these numbers?

A Yes.
Q Will that include a copy, for example, if there was a check from a checking account, a personal checking account, Paul and Madeline, or whoever the case
may be, that a copy of that check would be included?
A I don't know. I don't know that --
Q Or just a notation of some type that that's what it was?

A Right, and he would have been able to confirm that because he was doing our other returns. So he would have had it entered twice.

Q So let me go back then to this document and the source of this document. You prepared this document?

A I prepared it off of her summaries that I submitted for discovery.

Q Madeline's summaries?
A Yes, except for 2021 and '22 and then I just went from the bank statements that $I$ could find, you know. So I didn't even go through her personal bank statements. I only went through the Fields Real Estate, but $I$ did find that in 2020 the $\$ 4,844.07$, that was a check to her that she just endorsed and put back in the account.

Q Who was the check from?
A It was Department of Treasury. It was like a tax refund, but it was written to her.

Q I think I've got that.
A Yeah.

Q
I'll talk to you about that a little bit later. So that was a check that came to her, that U.S. Treasury?

A Yes.
Q She endorsed it and your indication is she deposited it into the Deerfield Homeowners account, Deerfield Resort account?

A Deerfield Resort, yes. But I did not go back and research for 2021 , '22, if she had put in anything personally. I only looked at the bank statements for Fields Real Estate, but Kelly would know from '21 and '22 if there was anything else so 2020 is probably the gray area.

Q Well, she passed in '21, right?
A Right.
Q So there wouldn't be anything in 2022 where she personally put money into the account?

A That's true, yeah. She would not have done anything in ${ }^{2} 21$, after a certain point in 21 or `22.

Q She passed in early '21?
A Yes.
Q February?
A February.
Q Okay. And the only part of this as

1 depicted on this sheet that would be represented by any kind of promissory note or written instrument would be the money that was put in through Fields Real Estate, Inc. recently, and $I$ would take it that's one of the two -- that's the 2022 entry?

A Yes. We had included the 2021, that $\$ 5,000$ was included on that promissory note.

Q So the $\$ 5,000$ from '21 and $\$ 95,000$ from '22?

A Yes.
Q $\quad \$ 100,000$ total?
A I think it was 105, so maybe we did pick up the extra five by the time we did the promissory from Kelly, I'm sorry. I think that maybe I knew that at the end of December, but when I prepared this that we had made one more payment and I think Kelly said maybe we made two more payments possibly.

Q All right. So to be accurate, this sheet needs to be adjusted. It needs to be adjusted, first of all, for the additional $\$ 16,000$ that needs to be depicted for 2022?

## A Yes.

Q It needs to be adjusted for an additional $\$ 5,000$ on the Fields Real Estate monies loaned, correct?

A Well, for the purposes of the promissory note, I believe that was 105 which would have picked up an additional $\$ 5,000$, but then -- so if Kelly's saying she found a hundred and eleven, that means she found, instead of $\$ 16,000$ more than $I$ knew, $\$ 11,000$ more than I knew.

Q So then maybe that number doesn't need to go up? Maybe we need to make an adjustment in the columns; is that what you're telling me?

A Possibly, yes. It definitely needs to be revised.

Q Okay. Do you intend to revise it?
A Yes.
Q Can you and I agree, subject to your attorney in part of this, that when you revise it you'll provide it to us?

A Yes.
Q
All right. And can we also agree -I've not seen, but there's a lot of stuff -- I don't recall having seen the actual promissory note; do I have the note?

A I sent it to you, you have it.
MR. HAWKINS: If I haven't given it to you, it's an oversight on my part.

MR. HURLEY: I understand. I'm not --

MR. HAWKINS: If she's given it to me, then I will make sure to get it to you.

MR. HURLEY: Okay, all right.
Q You believe that the note is correct?
A Yes. Well, for the information we had
at the time --
Q Right.
A -- we believed it was correct and it was only when we were working on the final details in May that Kelly said you know that was not enough. It was more like 111. So we didn't get into the details because we were so busy trying to get everything submitted in time.

Q That sounds to me, in talking with you here, that the folks that have been involved in this process of trying to figure out how much money Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, Paul and Madeline, anyone else has had to put in to help the Homeowners Association, that the folks involved in figuring that out have been you, correct?

A Yes.
Q Kelly?
A Yes.
Q And Jack?
A
Correct.

Q Was Scott involved in that?
A No.
Q Has your husband been involved in
that?
A No.
Q Anyone else involved in that that we've not talked about?

A No.
Q So if I wanted to assure myself that
I'm getting enough information from all the people I need to get it from to figure out how much money has been put into Deerfield Homeowners Resort -- Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. benefit, not the account, but for the benefit of the Homeowners Association, that's an account that's called Deerfield Resort?

A Yes.
Q And to get that information the three of you would be the three people that would know and maybe somebody under Jack that does his stuff, but that would be the three places I would need to go?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form.
A Yes.
MR. HAWKINS: You can answer.
THE WITNESS: For any fees that came in
that were deposited into the Deerfield Resort account, not for the Homeowners Association or the Deerfield Resort account.

Q
Okay. Because, when it comes to the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. account, while that is the name of the account, that's not the purpose of that account?

A That's correct.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make -- let's do
this. Let's make a clean copy of that document -- and even the copy that I've got --

MR. HAWKINS: It's got circles on it.
MR. HURLEY: -- has two circles on it and I don't know how those got there, to tell you the truth. It could have been me, could have been Brian, I don't know.

MR. HAWKINS: That's the one she testified about. Why don't we just make that one -- I haven't marked on it. Do you want to just use that one?

MR. HURLEY: Right, but I'd like to have her markings as well. Let's make a clean copy the next exhibit and the marked copy the one after that.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibits 4 and 5.
(Exhibit Nos. 4 and 5, filed.)

Q
Okay. I want to hand you next a document called Deerfield Resort maintenance paid out and there are actually several documents here, several pages. So let's kind of take them one by one, okay?

A Okay.
Q The first one here says Deerfield Resort maintenance paid out 2012; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Is that familiar to you?
A No.
Q You don't think you've seen this before?

A It doesn't look like my spreadsheet. This looks more like one that mom would have done.

Q And I'll represent to you that this document has come to me from multiple sources --

A Okay.
Q -- that indicate that they got it in 2012 .

A Okay.
Q
The December 31, 2011 letter that we looked at essentially said we will be doing annual statements.

A Right.

Q And I've had multiple people give this to me as being a statement that they got back in 2012.

A Okay.
Q Down at the bottom you'll note it says spreadsheets are at the office for breakdowns.

A Okay.
Q And that's consistent with what the 2011 letter said --

A Okay.
Q -- as well. Now, with all that said, does this look like the way that your mom, your mother, would have kept a breakdown of Deerfield Resort maintenance payment of expenses for 2012?

A Yes.
Q $\quad$ She's got various categories depicted in this table as expense type categories with a total of paid out of $\$ 109,219.85$; do you see that?

A I do.
Q And it shows total deposits of $\$ 110,206.00$, correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, do you see anything on this one for 2012 indicating that anyone had to put money in other than the monies paid by the property owners themselves?

A
I don't see anything in 2012 that would have required the need based on the figures that she's put together.

Q All right. Now, let's turn to the next page. The next page is Deerfield maintenance 2013. There is an indication of expense amounts. Again there's a table there that's got very similar types of line items on it. The one in '13 appears to be a little more detailed because it actually breaks some of the payroll out; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q For example, there is Bodie, there's Kasey, there's payroll tax, payroll tax state, whereas it appears on the 2012, all of that was kind of lumped into one thing. So this one's got a little more detailed, did it not?

A It did.
Q Does this again look like something that your mother would have put together?

A It does.
Q We show here that there was a bunch of
paving that year; do you see that?
A I do.
Q $\quad \$ 53,389.07$, but it says total paving $\$ 113,960.86,2013$ paid by maintenance and donations
$1 \quad \$ 55,000,2013$ paid by Fields Development Company $\$ 20,000$; do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Now, that shows a balance due on paving of $\$ 38,427.71$, correct?

A Correct.

Q Do you know whether or not in your numbers of the 1.7 million that was put in, do you know whether or not that 1.7 million included, for example, the $\$ 20,000$ that it says was paid by Fields Development Company in 2013?

A I would assume that it would.
Q
Would you agree with me that in 2013, if Fields Development Company still had a large number of lots, a lot of acreage that it could still sell for profit to purchasers of lots at Deerfield Resort, that it would be appropriate for Fields Development Company to bear part of the expense in the paving?

A I can't say as late as 2013 how much they would have had in actual lots versus the acreage that they still have now that's primarily tucked in the golf course. So I would think by 2013 that the majority of the roads and the lots were already by owners not left to development land, because I haven't found much in the way of lot sales since then. So I would think

1 that there was not that much development land left at 2013 anymore than there was today.

Q When you have looked at the issue of lot sales since, let's say, 2012 or 2013, where have you looked?

A Primarily the courthouse when I've been trying to determine mainly for the purposes of the list what was owned and just looking at the history. I haven't seen hardly anything that much come through, although again there's still the gray areas of lot sales and other additional Fields Development contributions between going back after 2015.

Q Well, let me ask kind of a practical but somewhat logistical question here regarding lot sales. To determine lot sales you could go to the courthouse and look for deed conveyances by the Fields Development Company, Inc. to John Doe and Mary Doe, right? That would be one place you could look and typically on the deeds there's an indication as to what the consideration for the transfer is. That would be one way to do it, right?

A Uh-huh.
Q Correct?
A Correct.
Q
A second way would be typically that

Fields Development Company, Inc. being a for profit corporation, which it is, right?

A Right.
Q Would have its own records, its
financial statements, its own tax returns that would show total revenues for any given year?

A Yes.
Q So that would be a source to help us figure out how much lot sales occurred, right?

A Correct.
Q Now, when Fields Development Company owned a lot and wanted that lot to be on the market to be sold so that if someone comes in they can be made aware that there's the possibility of lot there, would that be marketed through Fields Real Estate?

A Most likely it would be on a sales sheet that they would have at the office that would be by Fields Real Estate that should say what's available for sale in the community.

Q Would Fields Real Estate, Inc. get a commission for any lots that it sold on behalf of Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A I don't know. I don't know if she paid a commission on her own lots or not because they're a separate company. I'm not sure how she did that.

Q How about now?

A
Now if it's not listed, I think that's when the commissions come into Fields Real Estate, if it's an actual listing, like an MLS listing that would go out. So I don't think she ever listed her own lots in that way or the Fields Development lots in that way.

Q Does Fields Development Company, Inc. now have any lots listed for sale with Fields Real Estate Company, Inc.?

A No.
Q When is the last time, if you know, that Fields Development Company, Inc. has sold a lot at Deerfield?

A I believe there was one in ${ }^{21}-\mathbf{-}$ '22. There was one in ${ }^{22}$.

Q One lot in 2022 was sold?
A Yes.
Q Now, your mother passed I believe in February of '21.

A Yes.
Q Would that be the only lot that has been sold by Fields Development Company, Inc. since she passed or that was just the one in 2022?

A To the best of my recollection that's the only one that we've sold.

Q Was that one -- I may have asked this. Was that one listed with Fields Real Estate, Inc.?

A No.
Q It was just a direct sale by Fields
Development to a purchaser and nobody got a commission out of it?

A Exactly.
Q By the way, with Fields Real Estate, Inc. are you a real estate broker?

A I am not. I'm not even an agent.
Q Okay. So you're not an affiliate, you're not a broker; have you ever been?

A In 1990, '91, I had a license for one year.
Q Now was that an affiliate broker's
license?

A Yes.
Q All right. And then you've let that go for some reason?

A Right.
Q You're just busy with other things?
A Yes.
Q Sales wasn't for you?
A Right.
Q Your brother, is he a licensed real
estate agent?
A Yes, he is.
Q Now, there are licensed brokers and
there are licensed affiliate brokers; is he a licensed
broker?
A He is a licensed broker.
Q If you're an affiliate you have to
kind of hang your shingle under a broker. He doesn't
have to do that?
A Correct.
Q All right. And is there anyone else
in the family that is a licensed real estate broker or
affiliate broker?
A No. That was incorrect in the filing
that said that Marc was an agent; he is not.
Q Okay. Marc is not a real estate --
A $\quad H e^{\prime} s$ not an agent.
Q -- agent of any kind, right?
A Right.
Q He does not have a real estate agent's
license of any kind?
A He does not.
Q In the Fields Real Estate Company,
Inc., are there other brokers other than your brother,
either affiliate or brokers?

Page 158

A No other broker, there's affiliate agents.

Q Who is that?
A Matthew Stogsdill, and Tyler does not have his license.

Q
So there's one affiliate under your brother's license that's working there with Fields Real Estate?

A Yes.
Q And Fields Real Estate -- I think I asked you this, but let me make sure, okay. Does Fields Real Estate, Inc. sell any property or list any property other than in Deerfield Resort?

A Occasionally. We've tended not to in the past just for the distance, but we have had listings outside of Deerfield.

Q Any listings active now outside of Deerfield?

A No.
Q And Fields Real Estate, and refresh my memory, Fields Real Estate, Inc. is owned by you and your brother?

A Yes.
Q Any other owners?
A
No.

Q $\quad 50 / 50$ ?
A Yes.
Q The next page in this --
A Well, I am sure if we research, I did
find in a spiral notebook paper written where she had referenced the paving and the list of people who had not contributed or had not paid. Again, it was a voluntary request. So $I^{\prime}$ m assuming that this $\$ 38,000$ had to be made up also by Paul and Madeline in some way.

Q I think it was. I think, don't hold me to this --

A Okay.
Q -- because we'll keep looking, but I think we see something referencing that later. It may not be in this document --

A Okay.
Q -- but it may, but $I$ think we see something referencing that later.

A Okay.
Q Now, if you go to the next page in this one, okay?

A Okay.
Q
It goes to 2018. Now, in a separate document, $I$ think I've got a '16, maybe a '17, I've got another year or two. I don't have them all which is
part of where $I$ 'm heading to ask you about.
A Okay.
Q
But the next page of this one has
final expense 2018, Deerfield Resort, all paid through
Deerfield. Now, when we say that, we're talking about all paid through Deerfield Resort which would be the -not the condos, but just the Deerfield Resort account? A The Deerfield Resort checking account.

Q Would that be your understanding?

A
That's my understanding, yes.

Q
Have you seen this document before?
A I think I saw this as part of the exhibit in the filing.

Q Okay. Our filing?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you believe that this looks like, and it's -- this one for 2018 is two pages or a page and a quarter, does this look like something your mother would have kept?

A This looks similar to all of her work.
Q All right. Now this has an even more detailed breakdown of the expenditures, does it not?

A It does.
Q
And provides all kinds of various expenditures that have been made and on this one I'll

1 walk you through just a couple of them. There's the payroll item which is certainly the largest by far at the very top. Then there's dues, Better Business Bureau or BBB; do you see that?

A I do.
Q Do you know why it was that the Deerfield Homeowners -- Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. was -- well, let me rephrase that. Who was the member of the Better Business Bureau?

A I don't know. It probably was
Deerfield Resort, I would think, just saying Deerfield Resort, but $I$ don't know that for sure. There's a plaque.

```
    Q There usually is a plaque or a
little --
```

    A Do you want to just wait and ask him
    tomorrow?
Q I can.
A Okay.
Q But I do want to ask you this.
A Okay.
Q Do you know of any reason that
Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. would have
a need, or just the Deerfield Homeowners Association,
would have a need to be a member of the Better Business

Bureau?
MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form.
A $\quad I^{\prime} m$ sure it wasn't in the name of the
Homeowners Association.
Q Okay. You think it would have just been in the name of Deerfield Resort, right?

A I don't know for sure that that's it either, but I would think so.

Q All right. And then there is a membership indicated in the Knox Chamber. I assume that that's Knox Chamber of Commerce; do you know?

A I would assume that's the Knoxville Chamber.

Q Any reason to know why membership in the Knox Chamber of Commerce would be paid out of the monies contributed by the property owners at Deerfield?

A I don't -- I'm not saying that this was all paid out of the property -- there's so much of our own money in the account. I'm not saying that this was paid out of the property fees.

Q The money that she put in the account, do you know whether she expected to be paid back?

A I know that there was money that she put in to keep the community going, but I think there was also money that she may have put in for Fields

Development. But I don't think that, if it was money she put in for Fields Development expenses, she would have called it money due back from Deerfield on the tax returns.

So I believe that anything that she said was, that Jack had on the returns, and that 1.7, that would not be for anything that was not a Deerfield expense because that would have already been money that she would have expensed out of just normal business operations. So that would not have been tagged as money due back to her from Deerfield.

Q Was money that was contributed by Deerfield Resort property owners --

A Yes.
Q -- as the result of them receiving their annual statements for dues or fees come on an invoice.

A Uh-huh.
Q And has a specific amount on it and they write a check for that. Was that money kept segregated?

A It does not appear that it was in a separate account. It looks as if it was one operating account.

Q And in that operating account that

1 received the funds that were being sent by property owners at Deerfield, there could be other money of Fields Development which was doing business as Deerfield Resort, was it not?

A Yes.
Q There could be money put into that account by Fields Real Estate Company, Inc., correct? A Yes, correct.

Q
So there was, in essence, in the account that kept the funds, that received the funds that came from property owners at Deerfield, there was a commingling of that money with the money from Deerfield -- from Fields Development and Fields Real Estate?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form. You can answer.

A In her original letter that you have a copy of, she asked for the community to help her to keep Deerfield going. So she was still intending I believe to bear the brunt of the expenses with their help. And so my impression of how she did it and why she did it the way she did it was because they were wanting the community to help keep it up, but they knew that there wouldn't be enough even from what they were charging, and they knew that they were going to be bearing the brunt of the expenses.
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And so there was so much money that she had had to put in through the years that the money that was in the account already before the fees started coming in and kept rolling over was their business money or money that they had loaned so that I cannot say that any expense that she paid that might not have been purely from maintenance and security used the homeowners fees, because so much of her own money was already in the account. There was always extra money in the account. They were always putting money in because they had always paid for the expenses.

Q Would the answer to my question then be yes, the funds that were received from the homeowners was commingled with monies that came from or combined with in various amounts at various times money that came from Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A I would say yes, they were in the same checking account.

Q And monies that came from Fields Real Estate Company, Inc.?

A That were funding Deerfield would have gone into the same account. It would have gone into the same account.

Q And monies that came from Paul and/or Madeline Fields --

A Yes.
Q -- during their lifetimes, correct?
A Correct.

Q
Did you ever ask her why she did it that way?

A No, I really wasn't aware that -- no,
I never asked her that question.
Q Did you ever ask Paul Fields why he
did it that way?
A No.
Q Did she ever tell you why she did it that way?

A No.
Q Did he ever tell you why he did it that way?

A No.
Q Did you ever see them, Paul Fields or Madeline Fields, during their lifetimes, communicate to the homeowners at Deerfield Resort that the monies that they sent in would be put in an account that would at times have money from Fields Development Company, Inc. in it and Fields Real Estate Company, Inc. in it, and monies from Paul and Madeline Fields in it?

A No.
Q To your knowledge, did Paul Fields or

Madeline Fields, or the two of them, ever borrow money from the Deerfield Resort account that included funds that were received from the homeowners at Deerfield Resort?

A No, they only put money in.
Q And I understand what you've just said, but let me get more specific with that question. Are you ever aware of an instance where there was a loan of $\$ 10,000$ noted coming out of the account to Paul Fields or Madeline Fields?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q There are a number of donation type entries here. Shepherd Home, is that a charitable type thing?

A Yes.
Q Rotary Club, Fire Department, Shrine
Circus, Camp Galilee, Christmas parade that are all listed there, and from what you've told me before $I$ would assume that what you would say about that is that since they were putting money with these funds, that it was not inappropriate to make those donations out of this account?

A That's the way she did it.
Q You would not necessarily say to me that there was an appropriate business purpose for

Deerfield Homeowners dues or fees to be used for these purposes because these are not maintenance and security expenditures, are they?

A I do know, the only thing I can say to that is there were some things that she would do to try to help Deerfield's profile in the community, because there was a lot of negative feedback from the community, in the local town, for the way sometimes Deerfield residents were treating the people locally. And so I know that sometimes she would try to help improve the profile of Deerfield a little bit by being present in the community for charitable things.

So whether she thought that was something appropriate to do from the homeowners themselves -- I know the Fire Department was one thing that she felt it was important, but not every homeowner gave to the Fire Department, and so she thought that would be good if Deerfield itself gave to try to cover some of the people who didn't.

Q Did you ever hear your mother or your father say to homeowners at Deerfield Resort, while we're sending you an invoice that says that it is for homeowners fees or dues, we're telling you now there may be a number of things that we will use that money for that would not be maintenance or security related?

A I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?

Q Yes. Did you ever hear your mother or your father tell Deerfield homeowners, or see them tell them in a written communication of any kind, while we're sending you invoices for fees or dues for maintenance and security, there will be times when we will use the monies that you pay as a result of those invoices for things that are not maintenance and security?

A I did not hear them say that.
Q Did you ever see them say that?
A No.
Q Anything in writing where they informed people that were writing these checks to the Homeowners Association, writing these checks for security and maintenance fees, that in writing we're advising you that while we're sending you these invoices for maintenance and security, we reserve the right to use these monies that you send to us for things other than maintenance and security?

A Well, if she gave them this summary, then they're seeing that it was potentially, and I'm still not saying that that was fees that was used to pay this, but she did put it in writing and give it to them. So she did put in writing that she was using -- she put

1 it on the report, so $I$ would say the answer to that is yes, she did put it in writing.

Q All right. Now, there's also on this report advertising, $\$ 3,446.65$; do you see that? It's about 40 percent of the way down the page.

A Yes.
Q Was advertising an appropriate expense for maintenance and security funds?

Some of that would have been for the billboard where you turn in town. So that was sort of like a directional sign for Deerfield. The other advertising, I would have to look at the details to see what all that was for. Some of it may have been the boat show, but $I^{\prime}$ ve seen a lot of invoices paid to the boat show out of the real estate. So I would just have to look at the details to see what that was for.

Q I've seen a lot of entries in the records that we got, these compilations or lists that you've given us of payments for the boat show or payments to Lamar Advertising --

A Yes.
Q -- which is large billboard company, correct?

A Yes.
Q And to advertise would certainly be an
appropriate thing for Fields Development, correct?
A Well, the billboard is necessary for
people, for Deerfield, not just for Fields Development.
That's something that helps the community because it's a
directional sign so that people know, deliveries know,
renters know. It serves a lot of purposes other than
just pure advertising. We're not selling to people who
are in LaFollette. People are coming from out of state.
So it's not for the purposes of sales.
Q Does it serve a maintenance and
security purpose?
A It benefits the community.
Q
Does it benefit Fields Development
Company?
A I'm not sure how it would. I really
don't see the benefit to the Development Company
personally directly.
Q Directing people to where the resort
is in case they want to look and possibly purchase some
of that unsold property.
A Again, it's more for community
services, renters, or people just needing to find
Deerfield for various reasons.
Did Fields Real Estate get any benefit
out of the billboards?

A
Fields Real Estate has paid for some of the billboards. I think, from what $I^{\prime} v e ~ s e e n ~ f r o m ~$ the discovery I did, Fields Real Estate paid for about half of them.

Q Other than the directional billboard that you mentioned, what would the billboards typically say?

A That's the only one.
Q That's the only billboard that there's been, just one billboard?

A Yes, for Deerfield.
Q So, to your knowledge, there has only been one billboard, Lamar billboard specifically, and any payments that we see depicted in these lists, annual lists that we got from you to Lamar would be for that one billboard?

A It's my understanding that that's what they're for. I've seen some notes where she'll say onehalf of billboard.

Q Okay. There were a couple of entries, and I'm just going to ask you out of curiosity, R-o-w Nadine Byrge.

A That's what they call the median, Row, that's just that main Deerfield Way section. Different mowers did different sections, so Row is median mowing.

Q So for -- it says Nadine Byrge, $B-y-r-g-e, ~ a m ~ I ~ p r o n o u n c i n g ~ t h a t ~ r i g h t ? ~$

A Yes.
Q $\$ 6450$, and then Una Kitts, those are the persons doing the mowing; is that what we're saying there?

A That's their company and that's how the checks were made to their company. And Una Kitts would do some regular mowing, some bush hog mowing. It was just various -- he had the large equipment. So if trees needed to be cut back from the roadway, branches were hanging over, the sort of things you see that the highway department has.

Q There is an entry for trucks and equipment repair, $\$ 14,000$, for the year of 2018. Did Deerfield Resort Homeowners, Inc. own any trucks?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form, you can answer.

A Okay. The homeowners didn't own anything.

Q Right.
A There would -- it's both. There's some equipment that Deerfield owns, Deerfield Resort. There's some equipment that Scott owns or other entities may own that Deerfield uses. And I know it's particular

1 in this year, sometimes it would be equipment repair. There was a tractor that they tried to keep going and it was just too expensive to keep it going. There was another truck they tried to keep going and that just became too expensive. So eventually they just gave up.

Q In 2018, did Deerfield Resort Homeowners, Inc. own anything?

A No.
Q No equipment?
A No.
Q No office equipment?
A No.
Q No office supplies?
A Not the Homeowners Association, no.
Q I note on this particular month for 2018 the net payroll is shown as being $\$ 110,022.91$, okay?

A Okay.
Q And then when I look down here at the bottom of the page I see 941 payroll taxes of $\$ 26,588$, and I see 940 payroll taxes of $\$ 351$, and I see state payroll taxes, $\$ 5,152.94$, total payroll taxes of \$32,092. 32 .

If I said to you, I need to be able just myself to work my way through these numbers to make
sure that those numbers are an appropriate allocation to make out of the monies that the homeowners have paid for maintenance and security -- and with that let me say, maintenance and security required some people. There has to be somebody at that guard shack, right?

A Correct.
Q There may be times when there has to be someone going through the community and tending to things. There is a need to pay people to do work, maintain, repair things within Deerfield, that's understood and it requires people.

But if I said I need to satisfy myself that the amount that's coming out of these funds of homeowners who have paid dues or fees as the result of an invoice for maintenance and security, I want to make sure that those wages, the payroll, the payroll taxes, are fairly being allocated between what's being done for maintenance and security and what's being done for Fields Development Company, Inc., and what's being done for Fields Real Estate, Inc., and what's being done for the Water Company, Inc. I just need to sit down and look at those documents to make sure with my eyes.

A We've already submitted those through discovery.

Q
All those documents have been
provided?
A Yes.
Q So what I've got, what I've got, is what you would have to offer to show that there's a fair allocation of wages and salaries and payroll expenses between those various parties, the homeowners, security and maintenance, Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, and the water company?

A Well, anything that was paid by those other companies wouldn't even be in this payroll or in these taxes because it wouldn't have been generated through the payroll. The only thing that went through payroll would be the Deerfield hours.

Q Now, when I look at these summaries that you've provided to me, I see, for example, a lot of entries. For example, Scott Fields, admin, 1785, and it's \$1,785, right?

A Correct.
Q And then I see Paula Lejeune, 824.47, that's \$824.47, right?

A Right.
Q
Did you all receive money over and above that from Deerfield Resort -- I'm sorry, from Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A You're saying apart from what's on
there did we have any other remuneration?
Q From Fields Development Company, Inc.

A
No. That was our payroll for what we do for Deerfield.

Q And did you receive any money from
Fields Real Estate Company, Inc.?
A Well, Scott would have as an agent.
He would have had commissions.
Q But you would not have?
A I don't think so.
Q All right.
A Yes, they paid my insurance. My health insurance was paid through Fields Real Estate.

Q Okay. Kind of a fringe benefit of being an owner?

A Well, no, for what I did do for them. I mean $I$ didn't do as much for them.

Q All right. So would Scott have received separate compensation from the water company?

A Well, it's his company. So there would be no payroll for that. He would just -- it's his company.

Q Does he make money on it?
A Doubtful.
Q I will need to ask him tomorrow?

A Yeah.
Q And then there are employees on here, Chad Crawford, Bob Finch, Leonard, is it Wishon?

A Yes.
Q Walt Henegar. Those names, those are employees of the security, correct?

A Yes, and I believe we did a separate discovery sheet showing who did what, which names were security, which names were maintenance, or out in the field, the grounds.

Q Or administrative in the office?
A Yes.
Q Does the money that comes in from the property owners help pay Kelly?

A At this point, yes, it does.
Q How much?
A I would think -- she allocates her time as well and so she allocates part of her time to Deerfield, part of her time to Deerfield Village, part of her time to Deerfield Condos, and a small amount of time to the water system.

Q All right. Did she get paid from all those?

A She is paid through Deerfield, but she is paid back -- these entities pay Deerfield back for
that, anything else that she does for them.
Q For these entities that you mentioned that she does work for --

A Yes.
Q -- pay money back into this account?
A Yes.
Q And how do they do that?
A Those entities just write a check to Deerfield and it's redeposited into the account. She submits either an estimated amount or a set amount, whatever that amount is, and we let her determine how much that is. Based on her hours she submits it to those associations and then they put it back in -- they write the check back to Deerfield.

Q Is it done monthly?
A More like quarterly.
Q Does anyone review her calculations as to accuracy?

A I do. I mean I see what she's getting reimbursed for and Scott, of course, would know better with the water system.

MR. HURLEY: Let's take a break. Do you want to have 30 minutes to take a break and grab a sandwich or something; what do you want to do?

MR. HAWKINS: Is that enough time for you all for lunch?

MR. HURLEY: I've got 12:50; what about $1: 30 ?$

MR. HAWKINS: 1:30, sure.
MR. HURLEY: There's plenty of stuff close by.

VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off. The time on the camera is 12:53.
(Lunch break.)
VIDEOGRAPHER: The time on the camera is 1:46.

Q
So Ms. Lejeune, sticking to that same document that $I^{\prime}$ ve had in front of you, if you will go to the next page from where we were, that was the page that has up at the top $F$ and E Homeowners.

A Okay.
Q And then down below that it's $F$ and E. Is that franchise and excise, is that what that represents?

A Yes, that would be -- not the annual filing, but just the actual return, what's filed with the return.

Q All right. So we have entries there of $\$ 100$ for homeowners and $\$ 1411$ for Deerfield, correct?

A Correct.
Q
As we go down there is a property tax entry, \$9,338.

A Yes.
Q Now, we've talked about the fact that Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. does not have any real estate, correct?

A Correct.
Q
So the property tax that is depicted here as $\$ 9,338$ would not be an expense of the Homeowners Association, would it?

A The only thing I think that would have been anything to do with the homeowners, at that point in time, I think the tennis courts would have been in there, but otherwise the rest of that would have been Fields Development.

Q Well, the tennis courts at that time, were they in the name -- were the tennis courts in the name of the Homeowners Association?

A I believe they were at that time.
Q But they're not now, correct?
A Correct.
Q And then below that is tax prep, Ham \& Price, it's Hamilton and Price, right?

A Right.

Q And it shows it's \$5,200, and certainly the Homeowners Association would not have had $\$ 5,200$ of tax preparation costs, would it?

A Not the Homeowners Association, but the Deerfield account.

Q All right.
A And part of that would have been the --he does charge for preparing the Homeowners return as well. I mean it's nominal, but, you know, you've seen the returns.

Q Yes. Okay, and then if we go over to the next page we have a page that lists -- for this particular year, 2018, gives us a breakdown of deposits; does it not?

A Yes.
Q It shows security deposits and road maintenance deposits both of $\$ 118,000$ and some change, a little bit of difference there, but basically the same, and that's just basically -- is that splitting the total amount received from the homeowners into those two categories?

A I believe at this point she may have been calling it 250 for maintenance and 250 for security.

Q Right.

A And I think she just still designated it that way on the deposits.

Q And that's what I assumed. We have restaurant refunded Deerfield labor $\$ 4,000$.

A That is probably where the regular crew may have gone up to the mountains, to McCloud Mountain, and then they would have been refunded for whatever labor they had spent up there.

Q For the time that they had spent on that --

A Exactly.
Q -- location as opposed to at Deerfield?

A Exactly.
Q And then refunded Deerfield for dues, et cetera, $\$ 1,272$; who is doing that refund there?

A This could be -- I don't know without looking at it. I would think that sometimes -- I know a lot of times either, if there was condo dues paid, they might have paid everything in one check. So that would be sometimes where things would get paid from one account to another.

Sometimes at a real estate closing, if they paid everything at closing, then it might have gone in one check and been refunded the same amount the same

1 way. But I'm not sure without seeing the details what exactly that was for. It looks to me like some other entity has refunded Deerfield for fees that were paid into, maybe a combined check or something.

Q Then there's money paid Deerfield for fireworks; who refunded that?

A That could have even been cash, I don't know. It could have been a check. A lot of times we ask that the checks be written directly to Pyro Shows and a lot of times people still write it to Deerfield, and so sometimes it would have to be sorted out in that manner.

Q We see on that one, sale of golf course lot, $\$ 45,000$, and is that where a golf course lot was sold and the proceeds from that sale were just put into this account?

A That would have been Fields Development. Yes, the Fields Development lot and the money just stayed in the account.

Q And was that because it was needed?
A That would be because that was her account that she used for operating for Fields Development.

Q So it being the account that she used for operating for Fields Development, Inc. is what we're

1 talking about, right?

A Yes.
Q Would there have been -- would this be the summary of all the deposits made into that account?

A I don't know. I don't know.
Q Would Fields Development, Inc. have a separate account of its own?

A It did not.

Q Would Fields Real Estate, Inc. have a separate account of its own?

A Yes.
Q Now, I noted when I look at that deposit total on that page is $\$ 298,201$; do you see that?

A $\quad$ I do.

Q So, in a very simplistic way of looking at it, which is the way $I$ would look at it, there was $\$ 298,000$ of available funds that came in by deposit. If I go back to the previous page and I look at the all totals number on the expenses, $I$ see $\$ 254,932$; do you see that?

A $\quad$ I do.

Q So it looks like that the total cash flow in for 2018 of $\$ 298,201$ compared to the total expenses number of $\$ 254,000$, looks like we had a surplus of cash flow, that doesn't mean profit, but cash flow,
cash in versus cash out, of about $\$ 44,000$ for that year.
A Which is the golf course lot of $\$ 45,000$.

Q So if the golf course lot had not been part of the equation, it would have roughly been cash flow out, the same as cash flow in, correct?

A It looks like that.
MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make
that document the next exhibit, please. I
think that gets us to six.
COURT REPORTER: It does.
(Exhibit No. 6, filed.)
Q Next I want to hand you a document or some papers and I'll represent to you that I think this came off of like a Facebook page or some type of social media posting. It's got up there at the top Kelly Sjogren.

A Yes.
Q And it shows some breakdowns and I think this is the number that we were talking about earlier --

A Okay.
Q -- because it shows that it started in 2016, at least that's the way I read it here, and it looks like it includes 2022.

A Okay.
Q
So I think we've got totals for 2016, '17, `18, `19, `20, `21, and `22. Now, we do not have, at least as depicted here, any numbers for ' 23 , correct?

A Correct.
Q
So we have depicted here a total of seven years, the seven that $I$ just mentioned, and it shows total fees collected as $\$ 1,725,092$; do you see that?

A $\quad$ do.
Q And it says right out from that a seven year period, correct?

A Okay.
Q Now, the numbers that are depicted here, as $I$ understand it -- first of all, as $I$ view this, it appears to me that Kelly has done this compilation of numbers, correct?

A Yes.
Q And is this, as best you can tell in looking at this right now, the same type of calculations that we talked about earlier that Kelly had done for a few years?

A Well --
Q And it came to a $\$ 1,700,000$ number?
A
The '21 and ' 22 would be her numbers

1 for books that she kept, and then anything before that she would have taken out of the discovery documents that we submitted.

Q All right. And those would be documents primarily that your mother had compiled and totals and tabulations that she had, right?

A Correct.
Q
So, if I take -- I know the numbers vary, the lowest, and they tend, except for '19, was a little lower than '18, but otherwise the numbers seem to increase a little bit annually; do they not?

A Yes.
Q And then for 2023, which is not depicted here, what we know for 2023 is that the annual fee or charge for a homeowner with a lot and a home on it went from 500 to 750; did it not?

A It did.
Q Do you know, roughly speaking, how much has been collected in 2023 from homeowners?

A I do not know. I know that Kelly had posted something about how much was uncollected, but I don't know what that number was.

All right. I may have that or may not, but we're going to come to some other numbers here in a few minutes. Would it be fair to say then, just

1 roughly speaking, since we are at $\$ 1,725,000$ as of the end of 2022, and in light of the fact that the 2022 number was $\$ 275,000$, and in light of the fact that the fee for a homeowner with a lot increased from 500 to 750 per homeowner or lot, that we're probably something north of $\$ 2,000,000$ at this point?

A I think that would be safe, and if you'd like for me to on a break I could text her and get those exact numbers.

Q That would be fantastic.
A Okay.
Q We'll take a break here in just a bit and if you would do that, I would really appreciate that because that would help us have some certainty.

A Okay.
Q But just for our purposes of talking right now, we're looking somewhere around the $\$ 2,000,000$ number since 2016 , correct?

A Yes.
Q And that $\$ 2,000,000$ number in all of these individual years, the numbers beside each of those years or under each of those years, is the number that has been collected from Deerfield Resort property homeowners?

A That's according to her figures
mean $I$ haven't reconciled that back to mom's for myself, but that's what she's found from mom's records.

Q So as we look at this that's what we think this is, correct?

A Yes, correct.
Q This would not, this would not be other monies received from other sources. This would be the money received, as that says, annual fee summary?

A I would have to look at it again to be sure. Can I look at that document again? So like for -- I would think so. That seems to me, like I don't think that would include that $\$ 45,000$ that we were talking about just a minute ago. Right. No, that looks like that would be fees only.

Q Fees only, okay. Now, where did she post this, is this a Facebook posting?

A This looks like Facebook.
Q
All right. But --
A I think she also sent out an e-mail
though too.
Q Okay. So Deerfield has a Facebook page or site, correct?

A Correct.
Q And it communicates by means of Facebook postings that are made there?

A Yes.
Q
And it says up at the top admin beside her name. Is she the administrator of that Facebook page?

A She's one of them, Scott is one.
Q And then as I understand, I think, what you were just telling me, one other way that Deerfield communicates with its community at Deerfield Resort is by means of kind of like a mass e-mail?

A It's rare, but we do it on occasion.
Q
So you think that sometimes she posts on Facebook, occasionally she may also e-mail things to people?

A Correct.
Q And she would have the capability, I assume, that if she wanted to send an e-mail communication to the entire greater Deerfield Resort community to the extent that you've got the correct and full e-mail addresses, you could do that?

A Yes.
Q Now, she has some discussion here and we'll see some other postings where she has made some Facebook postings in other instances. She says we have four -- this is on the first page. We have four lawsuit plaintiffs who have never paid zero Deerfield Resort
security and maintenance fees since they purchased their property in Deerfield. Do you know who those four are?

A I know that one of them is Mark
Thompson. I know that -- I've seen the spreadsheet that had it on there, but $I$ can't recall the other names.

Q Okay. So the only one you can recall now that's never paid any is Mark Thompson?

A That's the only one that I can recall. I'm not aware that Dan Valle has ever paid. I don't remember seeing a check for that. And those are the only names that's coming to me.

Q If Dan Valle indicates that he has paid in previous years, back in the 2012, '13, '14 timeframe, would you have any reason to disagree with that?

A No. If he had cancelled checks, I would not say that he hasn't. I just know in the timeframe that we were looking at for the purposes of discovery lawsuit, we did not find any payments during that timeframe.

Q And would that be in the 2016 forward timeframe?

A

Q
Yes.
Okay. And regarding Facebook, just out of curiosity, who all has the ability from within

Deerfield Resort's headquarters or office, the building, who all there has the capability of initiating Facebook communications? Kelly obviously does.

A Right.
Q Do you?
A I can. I usually do not. Scott can, Tyler can. I don't think there's anybody else that can access Facebook because they need to be usually an owner, but Tyler helps with all the technical parts of it.

Q All right. And when communications are posted on Facebook, they can be made by any of those people from within the office?

A It would just be their personal
Facebook page communicating as part of the Deerfield group or -- I mean Scott or Kelly, or I assume I could, if we wanted to do an admin post we could, but typically we tend to just post as ourselves if we post.

Q I don't do Facebook myself. I've never had a Facebook account, never made a Facebook posting, and shall continue in that way, but $I$ want to ask you this. We noted that any time any of the plaintiffs post anything on this Facebook page, regardless of what it is, even if it is something that is relatively positive or non-lawsuit oriented, that it
gets deleted; is there a reason for that?
A Well, first of all that's not true at all.

Q That's not true?
A There's a lot of posts that they do not delete, as I'm sure that Bob Hilty can attest right now, there's quite a long chain going between what says Mary Ann Hilty and himself, those aren't deleted. There are quite a few posts that are on there currently that are from plaintiffs. So no, that is not true at all. They only get deleted if they're particularly inflammatory or if they're trying to promote what we would say are untruths and misguided attempt to sway the community. But other than that, we tend to leave most posts up. There's quite a few that we do not delete.

Q Is there anybody that, for lack of a better term, just on the blackball category that anything they put up is going to be deleted regardless of what it says?

A Well, I think that Mark Thompson might have been deleted from the site because he was too inflammatory at all times. I think that's the only one I can think of that's been completely blacklisted.

What about Dan Valle? Of course, I don't think Dan posts anyway, but what about Mary Anne

Rykulski?
A At this point we prefer to let the community have their say because it's not going in their favor. So it's really kind of interesting to watch how it's playing out.

Q It's not going in their favor referring to what?

A The majority of the community do not agree with what they're posting and they're speaking out and telling them that -- we're not having to defend ourselves, because the community is confronting them on the things that they're saying that are not true.

Q The community is taking the charge of defending you?

A Yes or not necessarily even defending us, just if something they're saying is not true, then they' re calling them out on it.

Q What are the untruths that they have published?

A Oh, I couldn't really come up with any -- well, for example, the recent posts that's going on that I just happened to have read before we came. Mary Anne Hilty was saying that we were not, as we already discussed earlier this morning, they're saying that the Deerfield Village was in violation of the restrictions,

1 which it's not. It specifically says more than two acres you can subdivide and the minimum square footage does not apply. If it did, there wouldn't be any condos in Deerfield because the majority of the condos are under the thousand square foot minimum.
She also said that we as the developers did not have the right to amend the restrictions, which we do. It specifically states that on all restrictions the developer can amend. And -well, it's just their perspective of the lawsuit which is accusations and untruths that we deny and say are not true, but the community prefers to answer that rather than us. We've been advised not to. So we do not answer it directly ourselves.

Q So your statement here today would be that if any member of the plaintiffs wishes to and, in fact, does make a posting on the Deerfield Resort Facebook page, that as long as that posting is noninflammatory, non -- doesn't use poor language and doesn't contain misrepresentations, that it would be allowed to stand?

A We would not delete the post if that were the case, although we're not allowed on their site. So I don't know why, you know, this is even an issue when they have their own site that they communicate on
and we're not allowed in it.
Q Have you tried to get on it?
A I have.
Q And has Kelly tried to get on it?
A I don't know. I need to ask her if she has.

Q Okay. On the second page is a reference by Travis Foreman, "yes, we have an unpaid fees list dating back to 2019 and I have access to Madeline's spreadsheets to check prior years." Now, who is Travis?

A He is an owner in the community and we've just always told everybody if anyone wanted to come to the office and see, they can. So he must have come to the office and looked.

Q And I know at one point in this
litigation there was an indication made that her spreadsheets, her ledgers, could not be located, but it's my understanding they were located and that they have been provided to us, correct?

A That's the ones that we provided for discovery.

Q All right. And have all of them been provided?

A
Everything that we had found from 2016
forward.

```
Q prior to that?
``` Have you found other years that are

A I found a few things for 2015, but it's just summaries. It's not really the detail, the folders, you know, that have any additional information, and I still to this day have not found the bank statements. I'm still working off the ones that you had from discovery.

Q So you've still not found the bank statements themselves?

A No.
Q While I'm on that, that just jogs my memory, if you go back for a second. If we can go back to Exhibit No. 6, I believe it was. As I went down that expense list this type of, \(I\) call it kind of a summary, and the difference to me between a check ledger and a summary, and I'm looking specifically right now at the 2018 expenditures.

A Okay.
Q You have some entries on here, if you will go down and look, Crutchfield survey, \(\$ 500\), that's about a third of the way down the page.

A Uh-huh.
Q Well, I know that there's a

Crutchfield survey company, it looks like 500 bucks, so I can figure that one out pretty good. But then we go down into entries like, for example, tractor repair, don't know who that was paid to. Is there any type of record that you all have that indicates who that \(\$ 5,893.55\) was actually paid to?

A Yes. That's in the discovery that you have. So this would be just a summary to get it onto one line, but then the individual checks would have been written out. Some of it could have been parts. Some of it could have been Mine Supply or any of the auto repair. Some of it could have been to an individual. It would just depend, but there is -- the detail would be in the spreadsheets that you --

Q Okay. Would that also be true for the entry line right above that where it says trucks and equipment repair, \(\$ 14,373.63\) ?

A It should be, yes.
Q And then if \(I\) go down, there's an entry right below those two that says tennis courts, \$855, and I know you don't write a check to tennis courts. So there should be another corresponding record within what you've produced, correct?

A Yes. And there would also be a column probably for that, but it might just be under

1 maintenance. But that was what I tried to do in the May -- things that \(I\) submitted was to try to define those columns a little better. So if \(I\) could see it, she might have it just as maintenance, but then if \(I\) could see, well yes, this was a truck repair, or okay, this was parts for the tractor then \(I\) would try to detail that out a little further. As long as I could find that detail I would try to list it.

Q Okay. So we can agree then that what we've got here in Exhibit No. 6 -- Exhibit No. 6 is basically a summary of all the expense type categories?

A Yes.
Q
It is not a check ledger, it's not even a check list. It's basically a categorization, a summary of the types of expenditures that had been made, correct?

A She probably -- I'm just guessing what she did was, on what \(I\) call the check ledger, the spreadsheets that you have, at the bottom she would tally each column and I'm just assuming that's where she's got each of these totals, would be from those columns.

Q Okay. Right here it is. It's on the next page that we come into her calculations for 2023. I thought we had them. I just didn't know it was the

1 very next page. If you look down toward the bottom of that next page, she has a line there that says for 2023 transparency purposes; do you see that?

A I do.
Q And right below that she says we have collected \(\$ 376,506.13\) in security and maintenance operation fees as of today. \(\$ 72,555.38\) remains unpaid.

A Okay. So that would be from -- when did she post this?

Q I don't know.
A It's been within the last week or two, I think. So that should be a fairly recent number then.

Q Okay. So if I then add the \(\$ 376,506.13\) to the two million -- excuse me, to the \(\$ 1,725,092\), then that would tell us pretty close to present what's been collected since 2016?

A It should be, yes.
Q And if that \(\$ 376,000\) number is correct, then we have exceeded \(\$ 2,000,000\) for that timeframe, correct?

A Correct.
Q She indicates that we've got about \(\$ 72,555\) that remains unpaid that's uncollected dues or fees from the property owners, right?

A Yes.

Q Roughly how much money is in this account now?

A I would have to ask Kelly. I do not know and I can -- again, I can text her to know that.

Q Since we don't know, I need to ask her this question. Could you ask her that question?

A Sure.
Q
When we get a break, just ask her about how much money we've got in that account now and that would be helpful.

A Okay. Can I -- Scott could probably do that.

MR. HAWKINS: I was just going to say we did get an update on this number and it is a little higher. He texted her.

MR. HURLEY: The number that he got is a little higher than this number?

MR. HAWKINS: Yes, it is.
MR. HURLEY: Probably because a few more payments have straggled in or something?

MR. HAWKINS: I would imagine. Is it okay for her to look at this?

MR. HURLEY: Absolutely, yeah.
THE WITNESS: Okay. She said currently collected is \(\$ 384,918.81\).

Q
So if you all don't mind, and it doesn't matter to me who does it, if you all don't mind, just asking her, and it does not have to be to the penny, but just roughly approximately how much money is in that account now, that would be really helpful.

A Okay. She said she's looking it up.
Q Okay, great. Thank you, I appreciate that.

When the vehicles, the equipment, are used for Deerfield Resort purposes, that would be something that any reasonable person would say, hey, that's a maintenance expense that the Homeowners Association should bear, or that's a security expense that the Homeowners Association should bear, and let's say it's a piece of equipment that Scott owns or that Fields Real Estate or Fields Development owns, is there ever any type of paperwork that is done to denote how much is being paid by the homeowners' funds for repair and maintenance of equipment, vehicles?

A You mean for the Deerfield vehicles?
Q Yes.
A
Well, it would just be all of that expense would be from the fees. Anything that's being paid to repair the Deerfield vehicles would be paid from the fees.


1 account that we've been talking about, when we see that property taxes are being paid out of that account from the records that we've got, from the bank statements and copies of checks that we've got, the summaries that we've got, have property taxes been paid for real estate that is owned by any of the individual Fields family members?

A One of the discovery items I submitted would have been for the property tax list and, to the best of my recollection, when \(I\) reviewed it before I attached it, it looked to be all the things that were owned by Fields Development. I didn't notice anything owned by any other entities in there, but I did not cross-reference them with the tax records, but it looked to me to be all Fields Development properties.

Q I may have asked this earlier and if I did, I apologize, I'm not trying to repeat myself, but has Fields Development Company, Inc. owned any property at any time outside Deerfield Resort to your knowledge?

A It's possible. I think that before they started Deerfield that they had owned, that Fields Development existed earlier that Deerfield. But, to the best of my knowledge, I don't think after they started Deerfield they owned anything else other than Deerfield properties within the Fields Development name.

Q
So, to your knowledge, funds from this account has never been used to pay property taxes owed by Paul and Madeline Fields?

A Correct.
Q It's never been used to pay property taxes owed by Scott Fields?

A Correct.
Q Or by Paula or Marc Lejeune?
A Correct.
Q Or by Fields Real Estate, Inc.?
A That's right.
Q It would have been used to pay property taxes for property owned by Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A That's my understanding and, again, I didn't take that list and reconcile it back to the tax records, but that amount seems to be in line with what \(I\) have paid for the last couple of years for Fields Development.

Q Is Fields Development Company, Inc. now administratively dissolved?

A It is, but we had that reconciled. It was just a matter of a particular filing. So it should be reinstated probably within a week or two. That's all been --
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Q & Actions have been taken to reinstate \\
it? & \\
A & Yes, they have. \\
reinstated? &
\end{tabular}

A Yes.
Q Your belief, as you sit here today, is that you've done what you need to do to get it reinstated and it's just in that period of time in processing the State of Tennessee requires to get things done?

A Exactly, yes, that's true.
Q We spoke earlier today about the money that goes to Nationwide for the condo insurance and there are a number of months that \(I^{\prime}\) ve seen \(\$ 4,000\) and \(\$ 5,000\), maybe it was for a quarter, but fairly sizable, several thousand dollars going to Nationwide for insurance purposes. Who does the bill come to, who is the bill made out to, the premium bill?

A That particular policy for the condos would be the Deerfield Condo Owners Association.

Q So the policy, as you understand it, that is a written policy by Nationwide Insurance, is a policy that is written to an owner being Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc.?

A Yes.
Q And we may have discussed this, but it slipped my mind. The reason -- does Deerfield Condo Association, do those owners have, have they had a bank account?

A They do now. I don't know at what point she started it, but for a while she did not. She only had a trust account that she could not write checks on.

Q She being your mother?
A My mother, yes.
Q And that's the reason that those checks are being routed through the Deerfield Resort account?

A That was my understanding of why she did it that way.

Q And then when the money would go out, there would be either a simultaneous or very close in time deposit back in?

A Yes.
Q So that the resort account was not bearing that expense?

A That's correct.
Q Next is a document that I believe appears to be another Facebook type posting. This one

1 made by Kelly Sjogren for -- it says again admin. It does not appear to be dated, but it's talking about Deerfield financial summary for 2021; do you see that?

A Is this on the second page?
Q Well, in the middle of the first page it's got --

A Okay.
Q -- reference to a financial summary for 2021; do you see that?

A I do.
Q And here she talks about the implementation of a new software system for future invoicing of security and maintenance fees, gives a summary of 2021 invoicing, gives a number for the amount that's been collected and the amount that remains outstanding and unpaid, and says a 2021 expense summary will be shared with all after year end. Then the next page appears to be an actual listing of who it is that owes the \(\$ 27,439\) of unpaid fees; is that correct?

A That's what it looks like, yes.
Q Before the lawsuit was filed, was
there ever a practice to post the names of those who had not paid fees?

A Madeline did not have that practice.
Q Who was it that decided to institute
        that practice?

A I think Kelly thought it was necessary. I think there was so much demand for transparency that she felt like that was transparency and that she was trying to provide it in all levels and all ways.

Q As you look down this list there are a lot of names there. I'm not counting the number of names, but it's a fair number and I don't see any way -I don't see any listing here that lists the number of names, but \(I\) want to ask you this. Has Fields Development Company, Inc. typically paid maintenance and security fees for the lots and the properties that it owns?

A No. The developer's not required to pay that, plus they're doing all the work and then some. So I don't think they felt like that was -- typically the developer does not pay fees in a community that's under development.

Q When you say typically, are you talking about just based on your knowledge of what developers do?

A Yes, and from other guidelines that I've read from other communities.

Q Can you give me examples of those?

A
Not in particular. I can just tell you that \(I\) know that that's sent to us by other attorneys and they're saying that that's typically the standard, and I believe in our current bylaws it's listed that way too.

Q In our current bylaws, is that a draft of the bylaws that's going --

A That Scott Reams has, yes.
Q That the developer would not pay fees on the developer's owned lots?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And what about properties that the developer owns, but that is not, for example, an undeveloped lot?

A I don't think we own anything that's not undeveloped as a developer.

Q Does Fields -- who owns the property that the administrative office -- that used to be the restaurant, who owns that lot?

A Okay. That's the one that we said was owned by Paul and Madeline Fields and we have paid for that. Since the homeowners was ruled by the Judge to begin, we have paid that for ` 21 and ` 22 and `23, I believe.

Q So it's been paid for '21, '22, and
'23?
A Yes.
Q On that parcel. What about the lot that the tennis court is on?

A No, because that's a community project. So that would not be.

Q Who owns that lot now?
A It's in the name of Fields Development at this moment in time, but it would be part of the common lands that go back to the community, to the Homeowners Association when it's established.

Q And then, let me ask this question, who owns the roads now?

A Fields Development at this time.
Q Fields Development Company, Inc. owns the roads?

A Yes.
Q And if someone said that Scott Fields owns the roads, would you agree or disagree with that?

A Only in his capacity as an officer of Fields Development Company.

Q So if somebody said that, they were actually meaning Scott Fields who is the president or officer of Fields Development Company, Inc., you could see it being said in that way, but by no stretch of any
imagination does he personally own those roads, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And anyone that said that he did own those roads would be incorrect?

A That's correct.
Q What lots within Deerfield Resort are not currently being billed for homeowners fees or dues?

A
Anyone who owns multiple properties, if they are -- they will be billed for one property, but they are not billed for additional properties that they own. The exception to that \(I\) believe is the hangar. It seems to me that there's still an additional hangar fee of maybe \(\$ 100\). It might have increased after that. So if they had a home and a hangar, I think they would be billed for both of those. But typically, let's just say they owned one home and then two investment lots, then they would only be billed for the one home and not the other two lots.

Q Can I come to Deerfield and buy a home and a couple of undeveloped lots and build homes on those lots that I own and I rent them out long-term?

A Yes. There's no restrictions against that.

Q How many of those do I get?
A One per lot. Well, the way we're
discussing it as far as everything that we've laid in place so far, it would be one per lot that's a residential lot.

Q
So if I bought my residential lot, I bought two additional lots, I built spec homes on them and I'm renting them out long-term, a year, five years, whatever the case may be, I'm going to get one vote for each of those lots?

A But only one bill.
Q But only one bill for one lot?
A Under the current billing structure.
Q Okay.
A I assume the new board will change that.

Q If I'm a developer and I am developing a condo project at Deerfield and I have submitted plans and specs and got approved to build a 20 unit condo project, but \(I^{\prime}\) ve not started construction yet, how many bills am I going to get?

A If you're the developer?
Q Yes.
A At this point you would not get any.
Q Would not get any bills. What if I have started construction, do I get a bill now?

A Typically they have started that when

1 the new owner has the closing. So when they take occupancy or the closing date happens, then that's when the invoicing would start.

Q What if I have built the condos, finished them, I've got 20 brand spanking new shiny condos sitting there, they're all unoccupied, I've not leased any of them out or sold any of them yet, nobody's occupied any of them, how many bills am I getting?

A So this is a hypothetical?
Q Yes.
A If it's the developer property, then it would begin when it was conveyed, when a new owner took over and possessed that property.

Q So if an owner bought condo number one in my 20, that new owner of that condo would get a bill for that condo?

A Correct.
Q And would get a vote as a property owner, right?

A That's correct.
Q What about the other 19 unoccupied units, I'm the developer, still own them, haven't sold them, do I get billed for them?

A Well, the guidelines said that the developer does get a vote for every undeveloped property as far as the condos go.

Q
So I would get 19 votes, but I'm paying nothing in the way of security and maintenance fees, correct?

A That's correct.

Q
That's a good deal. How many small homes or tiny homes are there up there now?

A I would have to count, but there are none under construction that haven't already been conveyed.

Q So all that have been started have been finished and conveyed?

A Correct.
Q There are none that are under any stage of construction right now?

A They're conveyed at the moment we begin construction, so they actually own it before construction begins.

Q So what's being done there is what's called -- you pre-sale them?

A Right.
Q You don't build them unless they're already sold?

A We are not currently doing that.
Q Okay. Are there plans to build
others?
A Everything we have plans to build is pre-sold. So we would not at this point build anything that is not pre-sold.

Q So if you've got a building with ten units in it, you're going to sell all ten before you build any of them?

A Well, they're duplexes, single or duplexes.

Q So before you would build a single or a duplex, you would have to sell either the single or both of the duplex, correct?

A That's right. At least one of them, yes.

Q So you might sell one and not have the other one sold?

A Correct.
Q
But in that event the purchaser of the one unit would get a vote and would get a bill?

A Yes.
Q The developer owning the other unit
that is still unoccupied and unsold would get a vote but no bill?

A I'm sorry, could you repeat that again?

Q
The developer that would own the remaining unit that has not been sold, it's still sitting there unsold, one unit has been sold, the developer would get a vote, but no bill?

A Correct. But back to your original question about the 20 units and there's one sold and there's 19 left, the developer or the owner would still only get one bill for 19 of the units under the current billing structure.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that
document the next exhibit. That's number eight?

COURT REPORTER: It is.
(Exhibit No. 8, filed.)
Q This next document is a 2021
Disclosures for Deerfield Resort Financial Summary it says at the top and it has -- this one is a little different than what we've looked at before. This is just certain specific snippets or points of financial information, correct?

A Yes.
Q It says that there is a total of 2021
dues not received or revenue not received of \(\$ 23,000\) from 22 owners. It shows HOA election expense of \(\$ 25,000\) and that \(\$ 25,000\) was paid into the escrow
account I believe of Attorney Scott Reams or his firm, correct?

A Correct.
Q That money came from Homeowners
Association dues and fees; did it not?
MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form.
A It came from the fees that were collected, I think.

MR. HAWKINS: You can answer.
THE WITNESS: Okay. The \(\$ 25,000\) came
out of the operating account which is the
Deerfield Resort account which would be some
Fields Development money and some Deerfield money.

Q All right. The fourth line item is that there's an office printer for which there's a lease of \(\$ 450\) per month. Who all contributes to that lease?

A It has been partially Fields Real Estate, partially Deerfield, and now I believe the water system is going to pay a third. So instead of being 50/50, it's going to be thirds.

Q Has that actually gone into effect yet or is it soon to go into effect?

A The best we can tell, Fields Real Estate paid a lot of this, but \(I\) haven't analyzed Fields

Real Estate as much as I have Deerfield. But I know that it has been in effect, at least the \(50 / 50\), for the last year or two.

I know there was some confusion I think on one of the original reports. Kelly had recorded the tractor payment as the copier payment. So she was just learning all of the financial obligations and she was just given misinformation. It was misunderstood what she was asking for. So she's corrected that now, but \(I\) don't know this year if we started the third yet or not. That was just discussed.

Q And then down there in number ten is kind of a combination of entries. It says liability payable, Deerfield Resort, year end 2021 , expenses paid on behalf of Deerfield Resort by another entity or personally and liability for unpaid payroll. First of all, what is unpaid payroll?

A There was a time period where I guess the password had changed and every week when they said they were paying the payroll taxes in at payroll time, it was accepting it and saying it had been accepted, but it wasn't actually deducting from the account. So there was some paying forward that was paid at a future date once that was all sorted out. Was that your question?

Q Yes. I was trying to figure out what
unpaid payroll was.
A Okay.
Q I didn't know if we had people working and not getting paid or what the situation was.

A Those were the taxes.
MR. FIELDS: Do you want me --
THE WITNESS: Can you?
MR. FIELDS: Do you want my
interpretation?
Q He's saying that you and he had not been paid for some period of time.

A Yeah. This could be part of that too. This could be our payroll.

Q You don't know as you sit here now?
A
I don't -- I really -- expenses paid on behalf of Deerfield by another entity or personally and liability for unpaid payroll.

Q I'll ask him tomorrow.
A Okay.
Q Advance for operating expense from Fields Real Estate, \(\$ 5,750\), is there a document or documents that would substantiate that?

A This could be part of the promissory note, but this is '21 and it was -- I think there was a total of ten paid out that year and five was paid back.

So I'm not sure what the \(\$ 750\) is. I don't know why it's \(\$ 5,750\). I'm only aware of the 5,000.

Q And then there's an entry of payroll, Scott Fields, terminated salary mid \({ }^{21} 21\) paid gross salary of \(\$ 10,000\) in \({ }^{21}\), annual salary \(\$ 24,000\), unpaid gross salary due \(\$ 14,000\).

A Okay. So that's what Scott was referring to. That he stopped taking his salary because there wasn't enough funds, but Kelly had recorded that as if he would be able to recoup that once the rest of the fees came in.

Q Has he recouped it?
A No, he has not.
Q Okay. The next one, legal expenses, Deerfield Resort as named plaintiff, 2021 legal fees, \(\$ 66,875\), and \(I\) guess that's divided by seven equals \(\$ 9,553\). So when we say divided by seven, is that divided by seven defendants?

A Yes.
Q So what we're doing there is attributing that to seven shares of the total legal expense?

A Correct.
Q And the legal expense being attributed to Deerfield Resort is 9,553; am I reading that right?

A That's correct.
Q
Okay. And then it says inception to date legal fees, now this is in \(2021, \$ 105,343\), correct?

A Correct.
Q And then I do see here is the seven -it says seven plaintiffs named in the suit. That should be seven defendants, shouldn't it?

A It should be.
Q
Okay. And then it lists your mother which would now be her estate, Scott, yourself, Marc, Fields Development, Inc., Fields Real Estate, Inc., and Deerfield Resort itself?

A Correct.
Q Have the legal fees been shared on that basis by the seven defendants?

A Yes, but we have not -- we did not take anything out in \(` 22\) or \(` 23\). This is the only disbursement that was taken out of Deerfield to date.

Q Is a running tally being kept of that so that it can be taken out or claimed at a later time?

A Yes.
Q Do you know what that tally is now? I'm going to ask you for the amount that Deerfield Resort would be deemed to be responsible to pay.

A I could have that quickly. I have the

1 list on my computer. So I could let you know exactly, 2 less this, what the remaining amount would be.

A I don't know if I could do it on a break or not.

Q Are you going to come tomorrow for his deposition?

A Yes. I could bring it tomorrow.
Q
Could you bring it tomorrow, that would be great. That would help us. The reason I'm asking these things, and your counsel is able and willing to speak up and object if he wants, but we've got a mediation set on Thursday. So if I can get a lot of this information, it helps me at least to work with my folks, okay?

A Okay.
Q
So I appreciate the cooperation of you doing that.

MR. HURLEY: So let's make this document the next exhibit. Are we at nine?

COURT REPORTER: We are.
(Exhibit No. 9, filed.)
Q The next document is called 2022 Disclosures. Again, as compared to some of the
statements we had awhile ago, this is not really a summary or a breakdown of all the financial transactions. This appears to be kind of a snapshot or snippet of certain financial related information. It indicates that in 2022 a Deerfield Resort maintenance shop location will be procured; has that occurred?

A It has not. It's still in Scott's garage.

Q Still the plan? Better question for Scott?

A Better question for Scott.
Q I'll ask him tomorrow. As of March 17, 2022, Deerfield Resort security and maintenance revenue bill 278, collected 204, outstanding 73. And then we have a breakdown showing the amount that's collected for the various categories of property ownership, correct?

A I'm sorry, could you repeat that, please?

Q We have a breakdown as to the amount that's typically charged and collected from the various types of properties.

A Yes, that's correct.
Q And we know now that from what we see on this page, those amounts have increased?

A Yes.
Q In 2023?
A In `23.
Q And it says there right below the box, Ms. Lejeune, it says fees have not been allowed to be modified from the inception of the HOA lawsuit in October of 2019. Can you tell me what that means?

A I don't know what that means. I think that there was discussion in '22 that it needed to be raised and I think that -- I don't think it was anything that we were advised from counsel not to raise it. I think that at that point they thought we would have a board that year. We all thought we were going to have a board last year and so I believe -- my understanding was that we were just going, if it needed to be raised, let the board handle it because we knew that it would have to go up. But we were just going to let the board determine that. So I believe that everyone just assumed it would be done by the board which didn't happen last year.

Q Well then, for this statement to be a little bit more accurate, wouldn't you agree with me is it would just say fees have not been modified. There was nobody keeping you from modifying the fees, right?

A Right. I think that we probably were

1 reluctant to do it, but we also knew that it was necessary. We obviously ended up having to put in over \(\$ 100,000\) to keep it afloat.

Q As I came in and got involved in the case, actually \(I\) got involved quite a bit after it started. I've only been in it a little over a year at this point. I've not seen any order from the court or any letter or direction from the receiver saying that these can't be modified.

A That's correct. I don't think there was any legal restriction on doing it.

Q Okay, all right. Now, as you go down it makes reference, a final homeowner list was provided to Taylor, Reams, Harrison, Scott Reams, court appointed receiver. It talks about the number of property owners or lot owners that have been validated and there was a count of 644 at one point. There's a count of 866 it says here at one point. And that included homes, villas, condos, developed and undeveloped lots, airport hangars, and then \(I\) think we came to that number of 992 or 993 as the most recent count.

A Yes.
Q Do you have any more recent counts than that?

A At this time we do not. One of the

1 moving targets that's difficult to pin down, you will have owners who own two lots that will choose to consolidate them for tax purposes. You will have owners who have one lot who will split it and divide it and the tax records are not accurate about that. The maps will show one thing, but the tax bills would show a different thing. So there's a lot of those that we just cannot determine what they are.

I have called several people as we were trying to put the list together and asked them what is your intent here? What are you? Are you two lots, are you one lot? You understand if you're claiming two and you want two votes, you're maybe paying twice once the board is established, just to make sure they understood, but there were just too many of them to call everyone individually, so I didn't. I wasn't able to contact every single one of them, but there's quite a few of those.

But as far as any additional, there's been no further subdivision of property except for the

THE WITNESS: is it Josh Fisher who subdivided the ten lots.

MR. FIELDS: Jolly.
Q Jolly.
A Jolly, okay. So the Jolly lots
    probably wouldn't have been -- I think they were on --
    I'm sorry, I think they were on there.
    Q It's okay.
    A I think we knew that they were
    dividing them. So it should be somewhere in that
    neighborhood.
    Q So still roughly in the thousand lot -
    A Under a thousand.
    Q
                                A little under a thousand lot
    category. Out of those thousand, how many of those lots
    are owned or in some manner controlled by Fields
        Development, Fields Real Estate, Paula, Marc, Scott, or
        any entities in which they have an interest?
    A I would have to go back to the list we
        submitted to the receiver, but it would all be on that
        list.
            Q The last paragraph makes reference to
        the billing, is to the unique owners in Deerfield, using
        the historical fee schedule showed above. By unique
        owners you're referring to the owners that have a
        parcel. They may have more than one parcel, but you're
        referring to that one owner that would get one bill for
        the parcel that they owned. If they owned more than one
        parcel, if they've got a home and other undeveloped
parcels, they only get one bill.
A Correct.
Q For one lot?
A That's right. MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's mark that as the next exhibit.
(Exhibit No. 10, filed.)
Q Next I want to have you look at a document called Deerfield Resort Profit and Loss, January to December 2022. Have you seen this before?

A Yes.
Q And was this provided to us as a part of the so-called accounting that was due on or before st
May 31 , 2023?
A This looks like what Kelly submitted, yes.

Q All right. Who compiled this?
A Kelly Sjogren.
Q Did she have the assistance of anyone else?

A No. She sent it to us to review to see if there was anything that we didn't think was accurate, but we didn't. I don't think we had any corrections to make.

Q Was it sent to any CPAs or accounting
firms for review before it was released?

A No.
Q What is Kelly's educational
background?
A I do not know. I know she has a bookkeeping background and that's why we hired her, but I do not know the extent of her education.

Q Do you know if she has a degree in accounting?

A I don't know.
Q Do you know, is she a college graduate?

A Yes.
Q Did she have -- while she was in school did she take accounting as a major or a minor in her educational pursuits?

A \(\quad\) I wouldn't know.
Q I'd have to ask her, right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So this document, this profit and loss summary, would be something that she has put together, sent to you and I take it to your brother?

A Yes.
Q For review and comment to the extent that you had any and then has been produced?

A That's correct.
Q
All right. But it has not been reviewed for accuracy or any other reasons by any CPA?

A That's correct --
Q It shows --
A -- to the best of my knowledge. I mean I think that she may have had someone who was specialty in financial planning help her review this that was also a Deerfield owner. So I don't know the level of her education or specific to that, but I believe that she did have someone else review it with a higher level of competency.

Q In order to put a \(P\) and \(L\) together, one of the things that you have to have is the source documents or background documents that support all of these entries. Again, these entries are general in nature, office expenses, pool expenses, office supplies, payroll administrative, payroll office staff. So these categories are all broken down just as general categories. Did she have access to what \(I\) will call source documents, being invoices, bills, tax records, things of that nature, in order to compile this?

A Yes, and this would also be the result of each individual transaction being recorded within her QuickBooks system.

Q Would those documents have been produced to us in this case, the source documents?

A I don't think we have submitted receipts. We have just submitted the spreadsheet, the check ledger.

Q Right. So when it comes to invoices, those have not been submitted to us, correct?

A That's correct.
Q When it comes to receipts for payments that have been made, those have not been submitted to us?

A Correct.
Q And in many instances, maybe some, but in many instances bills for things that have been sold to Deerfield Resort or purchased by Deerfield Resort have not been submitted to us?

A That's correct.
Q
This for 2022 shows a net operating loss of \(\$ 44,129.27\), correct?

A Yes.
Q Has that operating loss from a cash flow standpoint been funded?

A Everything that was in a deficit was funded by the 105 to 111,000 that was put in by Fields Real Estate. Some of that is reflected in expenses that

1 were paid. Apart from that, I think everything was funded, all of the loss was funded by Fields Real Estate.
Q On page one there's just a short
category there at the top for income, then we come down
to total income, and we come to gross profit, then we've
got expenses listed; do you see that?
A Gross profit and expenses, yes.
Q And under expenses, one of the items,
it's about one, two, three, four, five down is the HOA
election expense; do you see that?
A Yes.
Q \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
A 12,500 ? \\
Y Yes. \\
So is that half of the 25 that was
\end{tabular}
sent to Scott Reams?

A Yes. That's because half of it we submitted in two checks and so half of it cleared in \({ }^{2} 21\) and the other half cleared in '22. So that's why it's only the \(\$ 12,500\).

Q Right. And actually, as I understand it from Mr. Reams, I believe he got \(\$ 12,500\) in \({ }^{2} 21\) and he got \(\$ 12,500\) in \({ }^{\prime} 22\); did he not?

A Correct, yes.
Q All right. The checks were not
submitted at the same time?
A No.
Q Now secondly, I wanted to ask you out of that \(\$ 12,500\), this is \(\$ 12,500\) that's coming out of the Deerfield Resort account, correct?

A Correct.
Q And so that's one-half of the amount as opposed to one-seventh of the amount, but I'm assuming that the answer to that is going to be, well, multiple defendants operated out of this account.

A You mean for the \(\$ 12,500\) ?
Q Yes.
A Well, no, because that's for the election expense for the new HOA. So that would be only for Deerfield Resort Homeowners.

Q So that's coming out of the homeowners funds only?

A Yes. I mean in theory, if there had been a board, they would have had a special assessment before that and that would have still come from all of the homeowners.

Q Was there a time when the defendants resisted the formation of a board?

A In the very beginning we were not in favor of turning it over because of all the acreage that
we still had left. We would have preferred to have -we thought we were still under the 25 percent threshold and so we were not prepared to fully do that because of the land we had left to develop.

Q The 40 acres?
A Well --
Q It may have been a little more than that.

A Yes, exactly. However, once the Judge ruled in favor of it, we opted not to appeal that. And actually my brother and \(I\) started seeing it as a blessing actually, something we would welcome.

Q This shows for 2022 payroll expenses, total payroll expenses of \(\$ 223,013\). Is that the payroll of an entity that provides maintenance and security for Deerfield Resort?

A That would be a collection of assets listed out that would be the grounds crew, that would be the security crew, that would be most of the office staff and administrative. At that point I had stopped taking a salary, so that does not really include much of mine. I think I had some for possibly -- I can't remember if \(I\) started stop taking in ` 21 or ` 22 . So that would be Kelly, Scott, administrative, and I'm not sure where Tyler falls in, if he's under administrative
```

    or office staff.
    ```

Q How many employees does Fields Real
Estate, Inc. have?
A None.
Q How many employees does Scott's Water
Company have?
A He doesn't have any official
employees. Just whenever he does have anyone come -- he has in the past had his own employees, but at this point he's just paying labor as it's used.

Q How much now does Scott's Water
Company as of now pay monthly for its use of administrative staff?

A He just pays them as their hours accrue. So that --

Q And they keep up with those hours?
A They keep up with their hours.
Q So he pays Deerfield Resort for that, then Deerfield Resort pays them?

A No, he pays them directly. They turn in their hours separately to him.

Q Are they independent contractors or are they employees?

A They're employees of Deerfield, but they would be independent contractors when Scott pays
them.
Q Does Fields Real Estate, Inc. have anybody that it pays in that manner?

A They will pay Tyler some. He will submit any hours that he has. He has an app and he tracks it every day for what he does each day, how much is spent for Deerfield, how much is spent for Fields Real Estate if he goes off and does anything else. Does Fields Development Company, Inc. have any administrative workers that bill it direct, as you've depicted here?

A I don't believe there's any on payroll. So if they did pay anyone directly, it would be a 1099 situation as well.

Q So if I were to ask and we were to be at the offices and you had access to information and I asked and \(I\) said out of that \(\$ 223,013.33\), how much of that was actually payroll expense incurred related to Fields Real Estate, Inc.?

A On Kelly's salary, some of that would have been reimbursed from other entities, but on all of that other stuff, none of that would have been paid through Deerfield. That would have all been paid directly by whatever entity that they were working for.

Q So then to that extent, this \(\$ 223,013\)

1 number is a number that Deerfield has actually incurred for labor that was provided to it?

A Yes.
MR. HAWKINS: And taxes.
MR. HURLEY: And taxes.
MR. HAWKINS: A big part of it was
taxes.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make this the next exhibit, is that \(11 ?\)

COURT REPORTER: Yes.
(Exhibit No. 11, filed.)
Q Next is a balance sheet for Deerfield Resort as of December 31, 2022; have you seen this before?

A Yes.
Q So that entity that had total sales in 2022 -- or income, I should say. Let's go back to Exhibit 11 just a second real quickly so we can kind of put this in context.

The entity, Deerfield Resort, as it's titled here that had security and maintenance fees of \(\$ 272,000\) and unapplied cash payment revenue of \(\$ 3,512\), for a total income of \(\$ 275,000\) and change, actually experienced a loss of \(\$ 44,000\) on that statement on page two.

A Okay.
Q And by year end had total equity of minus \(\$ 110,907\), correct?

A Are you drawing that from this total equity?

Q Yes.
A Okay. \(\$ 110,907\), okay.
Q
So the entity is in the hole on its balance sheet.

A Okay.
Q
H-o-l-e on its balance sheet as of the year end 2022, correct?

A Correct.
Q And here in this statement, December 31, 2022, I want to take you -- first of all, by year end the total bank account was actually showing in the red, \(\$ 5,389\), correct?

A Correct.
Q Was that an overdraft or what was that?

A Yeah, that was probably just at the end of the year. It tends to be depleted to nothing at the end of the year or negative until the current year's fees.

Q And as I look down that list, total
other assets, \$8,199.14. What are those generally; do you know?

A She has that listed as equipment, so that would probably be, there's a tractor. There's two pickups, one is not serviceable at all. So there's definitely one pickup. That's probably all that we have. There's -- I kept some weed eaters maybe and a mower.

Q Is the tractor a titled piece of equipment, does it have a title?
A Yes.

Q And whose name is on the title?
A Deerfield Resort.
Q And the truck that you mentioned, of course, would be titled and whose name is on that title?

MR. FIELDS: One to Deerfield and one is to --

A Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Let her -- let's try and let her answer the question. You are being very helpful, Scott.

THE WITNESS: If I don't know, can \(I\) just say Scott can answer tomorrow if I don't know it?

MR. HURLEY: Sure. If you don't know,
just tell me you don't know, okay?
THE WITNESS: Okay, I do not know the answer to that.

Q Do you know who paid for the trucks?
A I haven't seen the purchase agreement for the truck that we're using currently, but I have been curious about that. I wanted to track it back because more likely what happens in Deerfield is it's equipment that we own personally that's being used by Deerfield. So I know that there's multiple pieces of equipment now. There's the truck and a tractor belonged to Scott personally that's being used by Deerfield right now.

Q Well, and one reason I ask is I look at the profit and loss statement, what we often call an income statement, and \(I^{\prime} m\) not seeing, at least \(I\) don't think I am, let me double check here. I'm not seeing any entries for depreciation expense and maybe -- who got the depreciation expense; do you know, on the trucks?

A I'm sure it just went back into the tax return as it was filed. So that would have been part of what was filed on the returns that you have.
Q For the Homeowners Association or for

Fields Development?

A No, for the Fields Development returns.

Q And I'm not trying to characterize this in anyway. I'm just trying to make sure that \(I\) understand from what I've looked at what's going on, okay?

A Sure.
Q
So there are at least a couple of trucks that we believe are probably, and I'll ask him tomorrow, that are probably part of what's showing up of this balance sheet as \(\$ 8,199.14\) of assets, so equipment --

A I would guess, I don't know for sure, but my assumption is it's one truck and one tractor.

Q And the truck and the tractor, one we believe is in the name of Deerfield Resort.

A Yes.
Q
The other one we're totally not sure. The tractor is in the name of Deerfield, one of the tractors. The second tractor is Scott's. And then of the trucks, I believe, and we can find out tomorrow, but \(I\) believe that one truck is in Deerfield's name and the other truck is -- there's one truck owned by Scott that \(I\) know for sure that is used, and then there's a second truck that \(I\) believe is owned
by McCloud Mountain.
Q By McCloud Mountain?
A Yes.
Q Is that an entity?
A Yes -- well, yes. And I don't know if
it's owned by McCloud Mountain, the entity, or if it's owned by McCloud Mountain, the restaurant, but I believe it's owned by McCloud Mountain, the entity.

Q Inc. or LLC?
A Inc.
Q Who owns that entity?
A Scott and I do and then we have a partner.

Q Who is the partner?
A Joe Coker.
Q Joe Coker?
A Yes.
Q So these vehicles you believe at some point, the truck and the tractor, had been owned personally?

A Well, we're talking about two different trucks and two different tractors.

Q Okay.
A
So one tractor is owned by Scott personally, one tractor is owned by Deerfield.

Q The tractor that's owned by Deerfield, who has gotten the depreciation?

A I would have to look at the income tax return. I'm sure it's all in the income tax return. Now, there's an entry on here due to Fields Real Estate \(\$ 113,592.47\) and this says as of December 31, 2022. Now, when I saw that I tried to reconcile that in my mind with what \(I\) had seen on Exhibit No. 4, which showed Fields loans to Deerfield, and I know that there's various categories, personal, other personal, Fields Real Estate, Fields Development, other there, but the number there you and I were talking was in the \(\$ 300,000\) range.

A Yes. So she is only referencing definitely the '22 and I believe she's included the '21 in that. I'm not sure if she only did this for the purposes of ' 22 or not. I would have to ask her about that, but I think that's when I said 111 and she told me that she found it was higher than that, it's possible that it was 113 that she told me. I made a note of it. I'm assuming that this is only 2022 and not including that \(\$ 5,000\) for ' 21 .

Q I also note that on this balance sheet it shows it's on a cash basis down at the very bottom; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q So as of today -- well, let's back up. As of December 31, 2022, is the \(\$ 113,592.47\) correct?

A Possibly. There's a chance that it could be \(\$ 118,717\).

Q One or the other?
A Yes.
Q What about the other amounts depicted as being owed here on Exhibit 4?

A We -- Kelly just did this for the purposes of what she was working with and the accounts that she had the bank statements for. So she did not go back and add any of that to this statement because she had not dealt with that yet. So she didn't have accurate information in front of her. So she just said she was going to go with what she knew to be true for the years she had the bank statements and what she was working with.

Q So then is this balance sheet as of December 31, 2022 incorrect?

A If you take into consideration the other funds that are on this sheet, then I would say yes as far as amount owed.

Q And in no way am I trying to be smart alecky or anything.

A Sure, sure.
Q
It's not really what I take into consideration. It's what the facts bear out. So I guess the question that \(I^{\prime} v e ~ g o t ~ i s, ~ a s ~ o f ~ D e c e m b e r ~ 31, ~\) 2022, how much money does Deerfield Resort owe, if any, in addition to this 113 or 118? I understand you mentioned that possible \(\$ 5,000\) difference. In addition to that, how much money does it owe and to whom?

A I would say the reference point then would be this Exhibit 18, which \(I\) call it Exhibit 18 because that's what we sent in discovery. And then the 95, the difference -- and again, I don't know what we're dealing with here, if this includes the \(\$ 5,000\) from '21 or not. So it would be the difference between this 100,000 here and the 113. So somewhere between 13 to 16,000 difference on this 285. So somewhere in the neighborhood then we would say of \(\$ 300,000\) is what \(I\) would say.

Q So not quite three times more than what she shows on this balance sheet as of December 31, 2022?

A Correct.
Q
Is interest accruing on that date?
A
I believe for the tax purposes that he was accruing interest in the tax returns.

Q He being Jack?
A Jack, yes.
Q Do you know how much?
A I do not. I know it's listed there.
I just don't recall the figure.
Q Was there ever an agreement by anyone on behalf of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. to pay an interest rate?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form.
A This money wasn't loaned to the
association. It was just loaned to the operating account, the homeowners account.

Q Is the Homeowners Association going to be expected to pay this \(\$ 300,000\) ?

A I think that's what Scott Reams is trying to decide, what the amount is.

Q Is it Scott's decision to make whether to pay it or not?

A I think he's just trying to get a full accounting of how much it is.

Q Right. I think so too, but I'm not the only one that has communication with him. So are you in possession of any information or knowledge that he does think that it's going to have to be paid or that he does not?

A I do not know.
Q
Is there anybody on behalf of Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, the estate of your parents that's going to make the decision of whether or not to call that \(\$ 300,000\) balance as being due and owing?

A I think that would be a decision Scott and I would have to make together.

Q Your brother Scott?
A Yes.
Q We've got three Scotts in this thing.
A That's true, a lot of Scotts in this.
MR. HAWKINS: So many Scotts.
THE WITNESS: I wouldn't call Mr. Reams Scott.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's mark that as the next exhibit, please.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 12.
(Exhibit No. 12, filed.)
Q
Next is a profit and loss for March 31, 2023. So that would be the most current one that we've got, right, that's the end of the first quarter 2023?

A Yes.
Q And it shows total income \(\$ 349,435.36\).

So our income from 2022 has jumped. That's right at 350 off of 275 , so it's about a \(\$ 75,000\) jump in income for the first quarter as compared to the whole year previously.

A
More people also paid earlier. Most of these, except for the amount she listed, it was just paid earlier. So she had more information to offer in the first quarter of this year.

Q Right. But the 2022 profit and loss was for an entire calendar year, correct?

A Yes.
Q And this is for the first quarter?
A Correct.
Q So we get right at \(\$ 350,000\) of total income almost all coming from security and maintenance fees?

A Correct.
Q Paid by the folks that owned
properties in Deerfield Resort?
A That's correct.
Q And then we've got these various expenses on here and we come down to the bottom and as of -- and it's because of our calendar, we're looking at only three months, as of March 31 we show a net operating of \(\$ 273,353.86\); do you see that?

A I do.
Q
Now, the function of that is that we get the full annual year's fee in January or February or March, but that has to last us the whole year, doesn't it?

A Correct.
Q So that income number will diminish as the year goes on, won't it?

A Yes.
Q Because money will have to be spent to do things.

A Correct.
Q Payroll expenses here and that includes payroll tax liability for the first quarter, \(\$ 53,249\); do you see that?
A Yes.

Q So it looks like for the first quarter payroll is running a little inside of what it had been running on an annual basis for 2022. Have you cut back staff any for '22 and '23?

A We have fewer grounds crew this year. I think we only have two currently. We just added a third. And of course I'm not taking a salary, so that wouldn't be in there. The security staff has stayed the same. And so everything else seems like it should be --

Q Again, this was prepared by Kelly?
A That's correct.
Q And through the same approach as you described a while ago?

A Exactly.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make that Exhibit
13.
(Exhibit No. 13, filed.)
Q Next is a balance sheet for March 31, 2023 and here we end up showing a Home Federal operating account balance of \(\$ 253,760.10\) as of March 31 , right?

A Yes.
Q And we have spent that down some more now based on the number you got me a few minutes ago?

A Right.
Q We show here total equity of \(\$ 162,000\) as of March 31.

A Okay.
\(Q\)
But that number is going to get depleted as the year goes on, correct?

A Correct.
Q Are there any projections that exist right now of cash flow for Deerfield Resort that would indicate any need or plan on the part of any of you or your entities to inject money into Deerfield Resort this

A It looks like it might be necessary, but I don't think we have any plans to do that.

Q Which means what?
A There may have to be an additional assessment. We had hoped again that we would have a board already to have a budget and to deal with that necessity. Again, Kelly had the figure, what was it, \(\$ 74,000\) that still is unpaid.

Q
Okay. So your thought would be that if that need exists that it would just be, it would need to be met by a special assessment to the property owners?

A We just don't think that's fair because of all of the people who are refusing to pay, that the people who are paying be required to pay more. So that's our reluctance at this point.

MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make this balance sheet the next exhibit.
(Exhibit No. 14, filed.)
Q Next is a document, I'm going to call it a letter because I think essentially that's what it is. It may have gone out by e-mail, but I'm going to ask you. It's to Deerfield Resort property owners and friends; are you familiar with this letter?

A Yes.
Q It appears to bear your signature at the bottom.

A It does.
Q Along with your brother?
A Yes.
Q And it was a communication that was sent to property owners at Deerfield?

A Yes.
Q
To inform them of an increase in fees
from the numbers that have been used for 2016 to 2022 timeframe to the 2023 timeframe.

A Could you repeat that one more time, please?

Q It indicates an increase in fees --
A Yes.
Q -- from the amount that had been charged in the 2016 to '22 timeframe and a new fee structure for 2023?

A Correct.
Q This document makes reference in the second full paragraph to a loan of \(\$ 105,000\) from Fields Real Estate; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q I don't see anything in this

1 communication indicating the \(\$ 300,000\) type number that we've talked about in Exhibit 4.

A Right.
Q
That document, Exhibit 4, or anything similar to it, has it been sent to the folks at Deerfield Resort?

A No, we have not.
Q
Has it been published in any way, Facebook, by e-mail, made a part of the papers that folks can look at it if they come and say, Paula, we'd like to see some of the financial documentation, has that been ever revealed to anybody?

A It would be available. The only thing I'm aware of is Preston filed it with one of the filings with the court. I think that that was in one of the things we brought for the Judge. So they could obviously see that on any of the filings, but it would be available if anyone wanted to see it.

Q So if they came and said, hey, I need to take a look at any total or summary of what you and your family or your entities have loaned to Deerfield Resort, that would be available and would be produced?

A Only to the extent that it goes back to 2015.

Q Okay. You think it may be more if
it's before that?
A I know it's more. It increases every year that we go back further.

Q And you also believe that it may have been produced in the litigation with a filing with the court?

A Yes.
Q If it has, then it's been published in that way, but if it has not, then you're not aware of any way that it's been published?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q Did you get any feedback?
A I didn't personally, Scott may have. You can ask him tomorrow.

Q Okay. Nobody called crying or screaming?

A Well, there's always someone that's going to say they don't like it, but we actually had several people say it's about time. So there's, you know, feedback from both directions.

Q Okay. You indicate down there at the very end, it's right above the sentence that starts the support of our community, using the method above; do you see that?
A Yes.

Q Using the method above, the invoicing to 520 unique homes, condos, villas, and cottages, 81 unique lots and 37 unique hangers will commence this week. Math was not my strong suit and still is not, but if I add the 520 and the 81, that gets me to 601 and the 37 gets me to 638, and that's a long way from 992. What would be the difference there between the 600 number and the 992?

A That is what I answered in a previous question. When she says unique, she means if you own a home and any additional properties or multiple lots and no home, you're only billed for the first property, but I believe the exception is the hangars. I think they still would have gotten at least the \(\$ 100\) bill for the hangar, but \(I^{\prime} m\) not sure. I would just have to go back and ask Kelly if she was invoicing if you had a home and a hangar, if you were billed the \(\$ 750\) and the \(\$ 375\). That I'm not sure of.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that 15. (Exhibit No. 15, filed.)

Q So as we sit here today, based on everything that we've looked at, a couple of things that we can come to a conclusion on, number one, you would tell me, based on your time at Deerfield Resort, your knowledge and your information that you gained in having
worked there for quite a while, your involvement with Fields Development, your involvement with Fields Real Estate, first of all, the Deerfield Resort account is an account that by the end of any given year is going to be depleted?

A Correct.

Q
The second thing we can gather from what we've talked about is as far as real estate assets are concerned today, as you and I sit here and talk with each other, there are no real estate assets of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., none?

A Currently.

Q
Currently. The third thing that we can take from what we've talked about today is essentially there are no assets of any kind. There's seven or \(\$ 8,000\) and some change \(I\) believe depicted on that last balance sheet that we looked at, but your indication is you believe that's a couple of very old pieces of vehicles or equipment?

A The truck is old, the tractor is new.
Q The tractor is new?
A The tractor is the shiniest thing we own.

Q Okay. But other than that, that's it?
A
Yes.

Q From the time that your father and your mother started Deerfield until now, has there been any kind of reserve account set up or established to build a cushion or a reserve, if you will, for future maintenance fees?

A No.
Q So the folks that live at Deerfield Resort now, unless they raise money, there are no monies to deal with wearing and tearing of the roads?

A
Correct.
Q
There are no funds to deal with the wearing or tearing of anything at Deerfield that might need to be maintained?

A That's correct.
Q If the sign falls down, there's no money to replace the sign?

A Apart from just things like that are usually covered under the current fees.

Q As they come in?
A Yes.
Q When is the last time that the roads have been paved?

A Well, I believe as far as actual paving, was what we saw in 2013, but there's ongoing patching. I've seen invoices for sections of road that

1 have been repaired and Scott probably at this moment has patch in the back of his truck. So it's just a continual patch.

Q But your belief is that the last time that we actually had a repaving, a resurfacing of the roads would have been in '13, ten years ago?

A I believe that's correct.
Q Are you aware of any assessment that exists, and let's just take the roads, first of all, an assessment of when there will need to be a resurfacing of the roads?

A I'm not aware of that.
Q Are you aware of any assessment or program or plan in place to attempt to analyze the needs going forward for the roads?

A I'm not aware of that.
Q You drive those roads?
A \(\quad\) do.
Q I've seen different numbers. I mean there are, from what I could tell, about 46 different streets or roads within Deerfield; does that sound about right?

A I'm surprised, but I would say that's accurate.

Q I've got a list here. I'll be glad to

1 give it to you, and I may be partly wrong, but it looks 2 to be that way and it appears to encompass somewhere in the 17 to 19 miles of roadway within that -- that's a large development, agree?

A Agree.
Q You drive it?
A I do.
Q What's the condition of the roads now?
A There are areas that need to be patched.
```

    Q Does the road system in general need
    to be resurfaced?
    A I'm not familiar with what the
        conditions would be considered for when it actually
        needs to be repaved versus what could be repaired. I
        wouldn't know that.
    ```
    Q Okay. But I guess to summarize then,
        there is no rainy day fund?
    A That's correct.
    Q No contingency fund, no reserve?
    A That's correct.
    Q I've handed you a document. It's a
    single page, correct?
    A Yes.
    Q That lists, what \(I\) have here is 46
different street names. It starts with Airstrip Lane, Antlers Way, Bambi Circle. I love deer, so I'm loving this theme so far. All the way down to Whitetail Lane. And there is a depiction on all of those as to whether they are asphalt or asphalt and gravel or just gravel, and there is an indication, there's a column there for the approximate street length. Now that's kind of an estimate but one that we believed probably would be generally in the ballpark of accurate. And there is an indication of the width only from the standpoint that we indicate whether it's one lane or two.

Do you see anything, and I'm not asking you to do an intensive study nor am I asking you to be specific or really overly accurate, but as you look down that list are most of those street names familiar to you?

A Yes, these are looking accurate. I had noticed the one and then I saw that you said that it was a paper street, that Deer Harbor, that's not an actual road yet. These do look accurate, yes.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that the next exhibit, please.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 16.
(Exhibit No. 16, filed.)
Q I'm going to show you next -- let me

1 see here a second. I've actually got something attached and this one doesn't go with it.

MR. HAWKINS: I think we need a break, Scott.

MR. HURLEY: You can take one.
MR. HAWKINS: All right.
VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The time on the camera is \(3: 34\).
(Short break.)
VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time on the camera is 3:47. Q I've placed another document in front of you. It was forwarded to me by one of my clients who, without comment, it's just an e-mail from Kelly Sjogren, and I take it that the way that it's done, it was basically an e-mail list, but it shows Scott Fields as being a recipient and it shows Deerfield Resort at Protonmail.com as being a recipient. I'm assuming that it goes to a greater e-mail list; is that --

A Yes. I think she uses that, but then she blind copies everyone else.

Q Okay.
A She wants that so that if anyone does want to respond to us that they can.

Q They can?

A Yes.
Q If they hit reply all, you guys get to see it?

A Only us, yes.
Yes, okay. Other people would not get
to see it?
A That's right.
Q
All right, understood. And that's kind of what \(I\) assumed to be the case. She says first and foremost I am not a CPA. She goes on to talk about having some business experience of more than 20 years that gives her insight on how Deerfield needs to be operating, proper record keeping, the importance of good communication, and improving our daily operations and efficiency. Would you say that those stated purposes there are reasons that she was brought on board?

A Yes. She was working in a bookkeeping capacity for another company when she came to work for us.

Q Do you know who that was?
A I do not. She told me at the time, but I don't recall the name.

Q And based on your view, and I know it's not been a huge amount of time, but a year or two to get to know someone and get to watch them in the

1 workplace and observe their efficiency and they're effectiveness, has she been what you hoped she would be?

A Yes.
Q Is she doing a good job?
A Yes.
Q And, to the best of your knowledge, is she accomplishing these things that she says effectively here that she was brought on board to do?

A Yes.
Q Is she accurate?
A As far as I've seen. I haven't really
-- I mean there's always things that we're refining, or she'll ask us to review something, we may correct something, but in general I feel like her numbers have been accurate based on her QuickBooks entries.

Q Is she thorough?
A As far as \(I\) can see, yes.
Q Based on your observation, has she been knowledgeable?

A I would say so, yes.
Q Does she have the opportunity to interact direct with Jack and his staff at the CPA firm?

A I mean she could if she wanted to, but she hasn't needed to or she has not at this point.

Q If Jack's got a question now that

1 comes down to the handling of numbers or a transaction or transactions and he decides he needs to reach out, who does he typically call or talk to?

A I would be the first point of contact and then \(I\) would connect him with Kelly then.

Q All right. Does he ever call Scott?
A Rarely.
Q Okay. She speaks here of having initiated QuickBooks software for Deerfield Resort, the Deerfield Water System, the Deerfield Condo Owners Association, and Deer Hill Village. As things exist right now, Deerfield Water System has its own bank account, to your knowledge?

A Yes.
Q Does the Deerfield Condo Owners
Association have its own bank account?
A Yes, it does.
Q And does Deer Hill Village have its own bank account?

A Yes, it does.
Q So those are all separately
functioning entities?
A Correct.
Q And they are at least set up to be able to, if they have funds to be able to, to pay their
bills?
A Yes.
Q She indicates that she does invoicing
for Deerfield Resort, the DFCOA, and I take it that's the Deerfield Condo Owners Association.

A Yes.
Q And Deer Hill Village and the Deerfield Water System, so she invoices for all of those entities?

A Yes, she does currently, but it's
recent that she's done the Deerfield Water System.
Scott did that himself until the last couple of years.
Q Until the last couple of years?
A That may be just last year.
Q Last year or so. What is Kelly's
total salary?
A It's either 75 or 80.
Q Okay. Somewhere in that range?
A Yes.
Q Do you know how much of that 75 or 80 the Deerfield Resort account is handling?

A The last I checked, the amount from the Condo Owners Association and the Deer Hill Village was somewhere in the neighborhood of \(\$ 4,800\) to \(\$ 5,000\) a year.

Q Each or a total?
A
Q
A
Each.
Okay.
And I'm not sure what Scott's paying
her.
Q That's fine.
A
Or paying back to the Deerfield.
So effectively somewhere around \(\$ 10,000\) of her 75 or 80 is being paid by the Condo Association and Deer Hill, add to that whatever Scott is paying through the Water System, and then the rest is being absorbed by Deerfield Homeowners Association?

A That's correct.
Q Essentially the Deerfield Resort

\section*{account?}

A Yes.
Q Are there records, documents, copies of checks, journals, ledgers, or other documents that show the dates that monies were loaned to or made available for the benefit of the Deerfield Resort account by these parties depicted on Exhibit 4?

A Yes, there would be the deposit copies of what went in to Deerfield.

Q And would those deposit copies typically -- I used to work at a bank long ago, would

1 show the date of the deposit?

A Yes.
Q Would show the amount of the deposit?
A Yes.
Q And depending on whether or not the person making out the deposit ticket went to this detail, might show the source of the deposit?

A Yes.
Q
For example, if you gave me a check and I deposited it into my account I might write Paula, \(\$ 5,000\) deposited into my account, and we would have all that information?

A Yes.
Q Does the deposit ticket show the source of the money that came for the deposit?

A I believe that they do.
Q Are those deposit tickets accumulated, or pulled together, or put in a file, or generally available somewhere?

A Yes. I believe Kelly has all of those at this time. So we could get copies of them.

Q All right. So I'm not trying to get you to do something you've already done.

A Okay.
Q There is a bunch of information that

Preston has sent to me for which I'm appreciative, but in going through a lot of it I still have holes. I've not found those documents; have they been provided?

A On the check ledgers from QuickBooks that she would have produced for '21 and then '22, that detail is in there. The thing that was different about the two years that she submitted where it was my mother's information, she had a separate check ledger and a separate deposit page, but the QuickBooks ledger shows it all in order of whatever the transaction was. So it would be in there. But she could also run reports through QuickBooks that would show the summary.

Q Does she have, does Kelly actually have access to the copy of the deposit tickets that we were just talking about that would show the date, the amount, and who it was?

A Yes.
Q All right.
A
As far as I understand, yes. There's deposit tickets, copies, and I believe a copy of the check.

Q And would that then, because that sure would make it easier to analyze and be able to talk with my folks about if I had that, would that be inclusive of all of the transactions that come to that roughly
\(1 \$ 300,000\) amount or just the transactions that come to the hundred plus amount?

A I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.

Q Okay. Exhibit 4 that we looked at awhile ago --

A Okay.
Q -- suggested to us that there were a number of parties, Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, your mother and father individually, that had loaned money into Deerfield Resort.

A Okay.
Q And that total came to \(\$ 300,000\) and change.

A Okay.
Q Okay. We also have seen on Kelly's compilations, her summaries and her numbers an indication that there is \(\$ 100,000\) plus that's owed --

A Okay.
Q -- on those financial statements we looked at just a few minutes ago.

A Yes.
Q So my question is, would those deposit tickets show the total of that \(\$ 300,000\) or are they just showing the part that Kelly has depicted to us?

A
Well, there would be copies of all of that, if that's what you're asking, back to 2016, but I believe that's already in the summary of what we've already submitted. But I know that Kelly would have easier access to lay her hands on whatever was '21 and '22, but maybe I'm misunderstanding your question. The part that was repaid to Deerfield from the condo owners or the Deer Hill Village is not part of any of the loans to Deerfield.

Q I understand.
A Okay. I'm sorry, I thought that's what you were asking.

Q No, I'm just looking at the \(\$ 300,000\) that is on Exhibit No. 4.

A Okay.
Q And I'm comparing that with what Kelly shows on that balance sheet for the year ending 2022.

A Okay.
Q When she says that a hundred and something thousand is now owed to -- I believe it is Fields Real Estate?

A Yes.
Q So I'm just asking, could you get for me just in one little package the deposit tickets, or whatever documents you've got, that evidence the
\(\$ 300,000\) and change?
A Fairly easily and quickly I could produce the ' 21 and ' 22 . I know I could produce the older ones. It would take a little longer to go back through the files. There's a good chance in everything that Jack sent you, it may already be in there.

Q Okay, I'll go back and look again.
A Okay.
Q Because, like I say, there is a huge amount of stuff that we've got to look through, but if you can, pull that together and get that, it would be extremely helpful.

A Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Can we go off the record
for just a second?
MR. HURLEY: Sure.
(Off the record discussion.)
THE WITNESS: And I'll look too. This won't just be on you. I'll look again also, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make that document the next exhibit, please.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 17.
(Exhibit No. 17, filed.)

Q
Next I want to show you an example of an invoice that \(I\) have seen in this case. This is a document up at the top titled Deerfield Resort and below that in large letters it says invoice; do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And then there is an indication as to an invoice number 2791 to Dan and Mary Anne Rykulski Valle at 244 Championship Way. The date is January 25, 2023, the due date is indicated as February 24, 2023, and there are three entries that are made there for Resort security maintenance and operational fee for home, condo villa, and cottage, and then the same thing for hangar and then the same thing for another hangar. The three amounts are \(\$ 750\) for the home and \(\$ 375\) for each of the hangars, for a total of \(\$ 1,500\); do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Would this be an invoice that has been sent out by Deerfield Resort to Dan and Mary Anne?

A Yes.
Q And similar invoices have been sent to other property owners?

A Correct.
Q Now, it may not be that everybody owns a lot or a home and two hangars, but regardless of what
they own they would have received invoices as well?
A Yes.
Q Are there people at Deerfield that don't get billed?

A At this point I'm not aware of anyone who is not receiving a bill. Kelly has worked with the lists that we produced for the court to make sure that her master billing list is up to date and keeping up with everything that we had on the list. And I believe that at this point I'm not aware of anyone who may have slipped through the cracks or someone who may not have been billed for a lot. My understanding is she has billed everyone who owns property.

Q In the past have there been times when everyone did not get billed?

A There's a time when Dr. John Held was not billed.

Q Dr. John --
A John Held.
Q \(H-e-l-d\) ?
A \(H-e-l-d\).
Q Okay.
A Georgia wasn't billed for a long time when she had the rental program. I'm trying to think if there was anyone else who just intentionally would not

1 have received a bill. My Uncle Jim has paid for the last many years, but there was a time when he was still, you know, recently part of Fields Development that he wasn't paying, but he has paid for as long as I can remember now. If there's anyone else who was not billed intentionally, I can't think of anyone. There's a lot of people who don't pay, but there's not a lot of people who were not billed.

MR. HURLEY: Let's make that the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 18.
(Exhibit No. 18, filed.)
MR. HAWKINS: You said 18?
COURT REPORTER: Yes.

Q
Next is a document, and up at the top it has Kelly's name and an indication that she is in accounting at deerfieldresort.com, and it is basically addressed to Deerfield Resort Property Owners \& Friends and provides some discussion about fees invoiced to property owners beginning in 2012. It goes on to talk about what those fees are for, gives the amount, and describes the increase that's being made, and the date up in the right hand upper portion of the document is January 4. That would have been this year, correct?

A I can't tell, but it looks like it

1 would have been this year because there's a 2023 fee.

Q Correct. And over on the second page it talks about using the method above, 2023 invoicing, 522 unique homes, 81 unique lots, and 37 unique hangars will commence this week. It talks about being able to pay online and there is a place for your name and Scott's name there as well. Did this come out of the Deerfield office?

A Well, it came from Kelly's e-mail address, but this is similar to -- is this different from the other letter that we looked at it.

Q It may be the same. I remember looking at one earlier. I thought it was different, but this may be the same thing.

MR. HURLEY: Is it the same?
MR. HAWKINS: Yes, it is the same.
It's just formatted slightly differently and
this one is unsigned, unlike the other one.
MR. HURLEY: The other one was signed,
was it not?
MR. HAWKINS: Yes.
MR. HURLEY: Did the other one,
Preston, have a January 4 date on it?
MR. HAWKINS: It did not.
MR. HURLEY: I think that's why I had
this one in.
Q Would this be about the time that folks would have been informed of the increase in the rates, at least formally informed?

A Yes.
Q Had there been some discussion with the community about it on Facebook or otherwise?

A I'm not sure to what extent Kelly discussed it with the entire community. I know that she discussed it with a lot of people who she consulted with that are people who in theory would like to run for the board, to get their opinion about it. We did -- we had discussions with people as they came in the office to see what their thoughts were, but there was not a formal discussion within the community.

Q And by no means am I inferring that you needed to, \(I^{\prime} m\) not inferring that. But \(I\) am asking, did you confer with the receiver, Scott Reams, about raising these rates?

A I don't believe we did.
Q And, to your knowledge, did you confer
in any way with the court about raising these rates?
A Not to my knowledge, we did not.
Q Who is Consulting Solutions?
MR. HURLEY: Oh, let's make that the
next exhibit, I'm sorry.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 19.
(Exhibit No. 19, filed.)
THE WITNESS: That is also Jack Miller.
MR. HURLEY: Jack Miller?
MR. HAWKINS: Hold on just a second guys.

Q
Consulting Solutions is Jack Miller?

A

Q
Yes.
The same as the accountant Jack
Miller?
A Correct.

Q
All right. Who is Hart Productions?
A
That would be the Cincinnati boat
show.
Q And money is paid out of that Deerfield account for that boat show, correct?

A Some of it was, not all of it.
Q I saw in some of the check entries
that there were payments to First Volunteer for a line of credit. Do you know anything about that?

A That is a renewal each year just to renew. There's a letter of credit for all of the electricity, all of the common electricity in Deerfield. They still have to have a letter of credit even though

1 they've had this account for 30 years now. It's just something the power company requires. So every year it's renewed.

Q So that goes a little bit into my banking brain. There is a line of credit, there is a letter of credit. So the letter of credit is often required by utility companies.

A Yes.
Q It can be required by all kinds of commercial transactions where a bank basically issues a letter or a letter for a fee, of course, to a utility or some commercial provider that says we'll stand good up to a certain amount for a deposit, or for whatever the case may be.

A Yes.
Q
A line of credit typically indicates a bank loan line that the borrower has the ability to go into the bank and draw on that line up to \(\$ 10,000\) or \(\$ 100,000\) or \(\$ 2,000,000\), whatever the case may be. Is there a line of credit or just a letter of credit?

A My understanding is it's only a letter of credit.

Q You're not aware of an actual line of credit?

A I'm not aware of anything like that.

Q Has, to your knowledge, Deerfield Resort or Fields Development Company, acting as Deerfield Resort, borrowed any money from any banks at any time?

A So you're asking if Deerfield Resort, the entity, has borrowed any money? I'm not aware of it. I'm not saying they didn't ever do it.

Q Has Fields Development Company borrowed any money acting as Deerfield Resort?

A I would guess that Fields Development has had various infrastructure loans throughout the course of the development.

Q I found an entry that \(M\) \& \(M\) Tennis Courts \& Construction was paid \(\$ 11,950\) back in 2019, I presume for work on the tennis courts?

A Probably resurfaced them.
Q And it also appeared that the tennis courts were owned by Fields Development?

A I would guess at that time it was in the name of the HOA, but it's for the community. Just like the swimming pool, it's a community amenity.

Q Okay. As it stands right now, and I can only ask you about right now, is there any intention on your part or, to your knowledge, your brother's part to try to retain the ownership and control of the tennis


Q And is that basically from the road, the entrance road on over in that direction?

A It's anything that's a grassy area to the left of the Deerfield entrance and it basically goes all the way to --

THE WITNESS: is Rich Kennedy's house the first house?

A
Okay. Well, it goes past the column of Deer Hill and then it goes down the hill a little bit further. I would have to look at a map to tell you the name of the first Deerfield resident that's officially in Deerfield.

Q And that's on the left?
A That's on the left.
Q As you enter?
A As I enter.
Q And then on the right?
A Is that golf course land? So the guard house is actually on golf course property.

Q So the guard house or guard shack, whatever we call it, is on actually golf course property?

A Yes.
Q And as I enter Deerfield the property on the right, what I normally would call the setback

1 area or the commons area off the road, would that be owned by the golf course as well?

A Yes, and you can ask Scott tomorrow, but \(I\) believe that that also means the mail house would be on golf course property.

Q So the mail house as well?
A And that is something we haven't brought up, but that would also be considered something to be transferred.

Q Common property?
A Yes.
Q Now, in order to transfer the guard house and the mail house, are we going to have to talk with Uncle Jim?

A We would probably have to have a survey.

Q And we'd have to have it cut out. And that entity is The Greens?

A Yes.
Q Who is Adam Hooper?
A It doesn't ring a bell. It might have been -- sounds like it might be equipment, it could be. I'd have to look at --

Q Was it somebody that did construction or work on the mail room?

A I'd have to look at the transaction to know.

Q And Lifetime Docks, who is Lifetime
Docks?
A That is a dock company on the lake, but I'm not sure what the transaction would be without looking at it.

Q There is an area as I understand it called The Pointe; are you familiar with that?

A In Deerfield?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q In fact, I've got a depiction of it, I believe. Has that ever been indicated as being common property?

A No.
Q Are you sure?
A That is owned in the name of Madeline Fields and those are docks in the name of Madeline Fields or Paul and Madeline Fields.

Q In any of the marketing and advertising promotional materials in the past has it ever been indicated as being common property?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q And your belief is that right now

1 today as we sit here in this deposition, that property 2 is titled in the name of Madeline Fields?

A Yes.
Q And would effectively as a result of that right now be owned by her estate?

A Correct.
Q And I apologize for asking this, but did she pass with a Will, she had a Will?

A Yes.
Q And that Will is in probate in
Campbell County?
A Correct.
Q Who is handling that from a legal
standpoint?
A Reid Troutman.
Q Okay. And your father's estate, did it have to be probated? A lot of times they don't have to be because --

A It didn't. It just passed directly.
Q To his spouse, right?
A Uh-huh.
Q So there is no estate proceeding for
him?
A Correct.
Q
But this property depicted on this

1 aerial type property viewer view that I've put in front of you, that depicts this area that appears to be just a very narrow little connector out to the left side of the page, and then a parcel down here on the water and near some, what appears to be, docks --

A Yes.
Q -- is your mother's property?
A That's correct.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make that the next exhibit, please.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 20.
(Exhibit No. 20, filed.)
Q As I look through documents related to Deerfield I see a lot of documents over the years. There are master deeds for the condo association. There are 18 or 19 different amendments to that master deed. I see restrictions and covenants that have been put down, and in many, many instances \(I\) see that those types of documents have been signed by Fields Development Company, Inc. as the developer of Deerfield Resort.

A Correct.
Q You've seen the same thing I take it?
A Yes.
Q Was Fields Development Company, Inc. the developer of Deerfield Resort?

A Of Deerfield Resort, yes.
Q And have there been any other developers of Deerfield Resort other than Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A Not of the entire resort. I mentioned earlier that early on dad had a partner named Elmer Hoskins and then Jim came in as a partner. And there have been various parcels within Deerfield that individual owners would buy acreage and then they would develop that themselves within Deerfield.

Q Right.
A But that would just be an isolated section.

Q Other than that, no?
A Correct.

Q If I said to you, please name me the developer or developers of Deerfield Resort, your answer would be Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A Yes.
Q When someone goes to Lowe's and purchases materials and supplies, how is that allocated as far as office use type stuff, how is that allocated between the entities that make use of it?

A Well, typically the Lowe's receipt will give you a breakdown and a detail of what each item

1 was purchased. And then my understanding of how 2 Madeline did it was, she would just -- she would allocate which would be for maintenance, which would be for in the office, which would be for any other issues that was going on, and then she would put it in the appropriate column or pay it from the appropriate amount or ask for additional checks. If there was anything that may have been for the Water System, Scott would pay that separately.

Q Okay. I've put in front of you a document now that is basically the summary or list that you all have provided to us for 2016.

A Okay.
Q Of the various payments made by the checking account and they are generally numbered in sequential order and then there's a date there. On many occasions on one date multiple checks are written. And I want you to go to the third page of what \(I\) just gave you. I want you to go down about halfway to the entry st on March the 1 of 2016 that indicates that a payment was made to Home Federal for the Fields Development loan, \(\$ 1,757.25\). Can you tell me what that was for?

A I do not know and I have reached out to a loan officer at Home Federal to ask what it was for and I haven't gotten an answer back. So I'm not aware
    of what this is.
    Q All right. And then if you go -- so
    right now you don't know, you're trying to find out?
    A Yes.
    Q If you go down the page some more, I
    see some entries for Amex. That's typically American
    Express.
    A Right.
    Q And there we have condo insurance and
    advertising that are listed there, but it's my
    understanding, let me kind of summarize here to make
    this shorter, that you have an American Express card and
    account.
    A \(\quad\) My own personal one.
    Q Yes.
    A Yes, but that's not what this -- this
    would have been Madeline's American Express card.
    Q So this would have been Madeline's
    American Express card. Was that a personal card for her
    or a Deerfield Resort or a Fields Development, or do you
        know?
            A I think it was a personal card.
            Q You think it was a personal card,
    okay. When charges show up on these various years and
        it shows American Express, just when I first looked at
it or was aware of it before \(I\) had had a chance to talk with Preston about it, I just assumed that there was a corporate or company American Express card. I understand your mother was in charge of things up to the time that she passed. You and your brother have been doing things since. Has there ever been, to your knowledge, a corporate or company American Express card?

A
To my knowledge, no, there has never been one.

Q
During her lifetime, including 2016, she would have used the American Express from time to time for Deerfield related matters?

A Yes.
Q
And since she has passed there have been times from time to time that you would have owned and used an American Express card for Deerfield related matters?

A I don't believe I've ever used my personal American Express card for something for Deerfield. I'm not saying I haven't picked up some chlorine at Costco or Sam's, but as a practice I do not use my personal card for Deerfield.

Q So if that's occurred, it's just been by happenstance, not design.

A Exactly.

Q And it would just have been as a convenience and not a practice?

A Correct.
Q
But for her I do see a lot of American
Express entries. Do you believe that she used it from time to time generally to transact business for Deerfield Resort?

A Only if she had to. Her preferred method of payment would always be a check and so I think that it would be infrequent that she would use the American Express, only if she had to.

Q Now, if you will go over -- well, do you know how she, if she did use it infrequently for Deerfield type items, do you know how she kept track of that, or reimbursed herself, or paid that bill without having to get into her own money?

A I assume she would have had receipts.
I know that \(I\) can just remember her always going thoroughly through the Lowe's bill, but that was a Lowe's account. That wasn't on the American Express.

Q Have you seen any records, compilations, diaries, digests, lists, where she kept up with her use of the American Express card for Deerfield purposes?

A
What I have seen in the past, and I

1 assume this is how she did it, but I have seen on her personal statement, she would have a breakdown because I can remember her putting five or six different checks in a payment envelope and she was mailing it in. So I would assume that she would have notated these things. If she didn't already put it in the check register, she would have it written on her personal statement what the breakdown was.

Q All right. Have you made any effort or endeavor to try to total that up?

A I haven't located her personal statements. The only thing \(I\) have seen is just what I have found in the Deerfield files.

Q What you have seen and obtained from the Deerfield files, have you been able to put together any totals or summaries, or anything of that nature?

A Anything I have I put on the spreadsheets. So I added -- everything I sent in December were her spreadsheets, and then the May information that was sent was the same spreadsheet with this new column added with the detail in the middle. So any information \(I\) have \(I\) would have put it in that column for description.

Q Go over to the fourth page. The very th
bottom entry is an entry that is a March 25 entry and
it is Nationwide and it says Key Man Insurance. The amount that went out, and \(I\) will represent to you that this is a recurring charge that goes under the topic of Key Man Insurance, is for \(\$ 1,367\) in this instance.

A Yes.
Q Can you tell me what that's for?
A That would have been for dad's life insurance policy. That would have ended in July of 2016.

Q So was Deerfield Homeowners contributions into this Deerfield Resort account being used to pay the premiums on the Key Man Insurance for your father?

A No, that would have been Fields Development.

Q Fields Development money?
A Yes.
Q How do we know that?
A Because all of her money was in this account and she kept adding money as she needed to keep adding money in there so she would have been able to cover her own expenses. She was not using Deerfield money to cover her own expenses.

Q Did she keep a separate breakdown or ledger as between money that was coming into the

1 Homeowners and what that was being spent for, and money that was otherwise available for Fields Development Company, Inc. and what that was being used for, is there that type of depiction or breakdown?

A It looked to me like she had done at the end of the summaries of the year, and in her columns it would be a fairly easy thing to take these columns that she has totaled and just figure out which things are purely Deerfield security and maintenance versus which things like that which are clearly Fields Development expenses. Like the property taxes, if she hadn't already done that at the end -- it looked to me like she had, it wouldn't take much time probably to tally those up.

Q It could be done?
A It could be done.
Q But it's, to your knowledge, not been done at this point?

A It looked to me like between what she submitted to the accountant and what she had summarized at the end, it looked to me like she had already separated that out. So I would start with her information if I needed to go any further to.

Q Has her work in separating that out been furnished to us?

A Yes. I've sent everything that I've been able to find.

Q What does that look like?

A
It would be one of the spreadsheets that she would have given the accountant for the end of the year. I know it was in the information Jack submitted for his subpoena.

Q With the tax records?
A Yes.
Okay. And so Jack's records that he submitted and then the records that Preston would have sent to us in December --

A Yes.
Q -- would be the two places that I would go to look for that?

A Exactly.
Q Is it handwritten?
A No, it's in her spreadsheets.
Q In her spreadsheets, okay.
A Yes.
Q All right. If you'll go to the next, let's see, one, two, three, four, five pages in, there's another Home Federal Fields Development loan entry that's the third one down from the top.

A Okay.

Q Do you see that? Again that fits in that same category and you're not sure what that is?

A Exactly.
Q
Then there are BlueCross BlueShield,
BlueCross entries, health insurance Paula, health insurance Scott, \(\$ 1200\) plus for you, \(\$ 1400\) plus for him. So your health insurance was being paid out of this account?

A It was not and this was the only entry that I have ever found that she did that and, in general, as I stated earlier, she paid that through the real estate account. So I don't know if this was a mistake. I don't know if she -- I don't know what her purpose was for doing it this one time, but this is the only time I found that ever paid from Deerfield account.

Q Otherwise, you're not sure why it's
here?
A I don't know why it would be there.
Q If you look down to the April 10 entry there is an Amex fuel charge, \(\$ 524.93\); do you see that?

A I do.
Q Again, any indication as to whose vehicles were getting that fuel?
\[
\text { A } \quad \text { I can't tell from the receipts that }
\] I've seen which vehicles this was paying for, but I do

1 know that, you know, we did have the trucks at this point. This far back I think there were two trucks that were in Deerfield at that time. I don't know what the tractor takes. If it's diesel, I assume that would be diesel. So I don't know what the breakdown is on that fuel. I know it wasn't mine.

Q And you pay for your own gas?
A \(\quad\) do.
Q Does Scott pay for his own gas?
A Yes.
Q Ever use funds out of this account to pay for gas for personal use?

A I do not.
Q Never?
A Never.
Q Not one cent?
A Not one cent.
Q Scott doesn't either?
A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Okay. I'll ask him tomorrow, but to your knowledge he doesn't. If you go over to the sixth nd page, there is an entry for April the 22 , check 840 -well, first of all, there is a Nationwide entry there for Key Man Insurance again. Who was the owner of that policy, if you know?

A I do not know.
Q Who was the beneficiary of that policy, if you know?

A I believe it would have been Madeline.
Q How much did she receive on that policy, if you know?

A I do not know.
Q
Do you know when this was coming out -- I mean this was coming out regularly. As I go through here I find a Nationwide Key Man policy payment being made regularly for a period of time. I think it goes through most of '16 -- if Fields Development Company, Inc. had its primary source of income from sale of lots at Deerfield.

A Yes.
Q And if there weren't many lots at
Deerfield being sold after 2010 and '11 and `12, I guess the question come into my mind, where did the money come from other than security and maintenance deposits by the homeowners to make this type of recurring payment, regular recurring payment?

A It would be all of the funds that she continually supplied into this account either personally or from Fields Real Estate.

Q Other than Fields Real Estate, what
income or sources of money did she have to put money into this account?

A It would have primarily been through either the sale of property through Fields Real Estate or commissions through Fields Real Estate.

Q And when she -- for example, when this type of entry occurs and we've got a payment for this Key Man Insurance, \(\$ 1367\) on this April 22 date, would she make a deposit for that amount so that it was clear that that's what that was covering, or did she just put amounts in from time to time and then it would come out of that for the \(\$ 1367\) ?

A I would have to go back and look at the deposit records to see how she did it.

Q Do you have any general recollection as to how she normally did it?

A No.
Q If you'll go to the next page, entrance for \(\$ 8465\) to Lamar, one-half of light number ten billboard, is that the one in town that's the directional billboard?

A Yes, at Cumberland Avenue.

Q
On this page, if you go down to May the 9 , there's the entry check number 8481, Amex, fuel \(\$ 294.25\), and again that would apparently be the use of

1 the Amex card as you understand it?

A Yes.
Q And you believe that she was
reimbursing for that?
A Yes.
Q If you go down a couple more pages, th the June 15 entry, number 8541 on the check, it's a Nationwide entry; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q For workers' comp?
A Uh-huh.
Q And the amount there \(\$ 2,049.53\). Was that just for employees that were working on maintenance and security, or was that for all the employees that were in that office and otherwise employed on the Deerfield Resort?

A This would have covered the security guards, the grounds crew, but Scott and myself were always exempted from the policy. So it would probably have included any office staff. However, the thing I noticed from 2016 to 2018 or '19 is there's almost virtually no office staff on the Deerfield payroll at all during those time periods. I was going to ask Scott to run a report so that we could see exactly what they were being paid for out of other companies. They would

1 have also been covered under a different probably workers' comp policy.

Q Well, we know here though, because again it's a recurring line item, an amount was being paid to Nationwide for workers' comp coverage through this account, correct?

A Yes.

Q
Is there a document that exists that you know of that would say for this particular payment th on this particular day that June 15 , check 8541 to Nationwide, \(\$ 2,049.53\), would there be some document that would show us who this was covering and whether or not anyone else was contributing toward those employees?

A There would be -- I assume there would be a monthly bill from Nationwide, but that probably wouldn't show who was covered on it. That typically is generated from the previous year's audit and then that determines the current year's premiums. So it's possible that somewhere there might be a document that states that, but that is usually something that's generated just from a payroll report.

Q The recurring item that I keep seeing for Home Federal Fields Development loan and it's usually a \(\$ 940\) payment, does that loan still exist?

A No, and I didn't see it much after

2016 even.
Q Do you know when it was paid off?
A I don't. I could go back through the reports and see when was the last one. I see one here, July 31st.

Q Was there ever a time from 2010 -- I think it was about 2010 that you came back kind of in the building on a full-time basis, right?

A Right.
Q Was there ever a time from 2010 forward where you developed a concern, whether you expressed it or not, I'll ask you about that in a second, to where you developed a concern that the money that was being received from the homeowners was being placed into an account and was being combined, or commingled, or put together with funds that Fields Development Company, Inc. had from other sources and that various expenses were being paid out of that; did you develop a concern about that?

A No.
Q And, of course, if you didn't develop a concern about it, you didn't talk to your parents about that?

A Correct.
Q And did they ever talk to you about
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & it? \\
\hline 2 & A No. \\
\hline 3 & Q Did your brother ever talk to you \\
\hline 4 & about a concern about that? \\
\hline 5 & A No. \\
\hline 6 & Q Did you ever discuss in any way the \\
\hline 7 & possibility that that might be viewed, at some point in \\
\hline 8 & time, by one or more of the homeowners as a questionable \\
\hline 9 & practice? \\
\hline 10 & A No. \\
\hline 11 & Q Never crossed your mind? \\
\hline 12 & A Never. \\
\hline 13 & Q At some point was a question raised \\
\hline 14 & about accounting for these monies and these funds? \\
\hline 15 & A Not that I'm aware of. \\
\hline 16 & Q Are you aware of any number or series \\
\hline 17 & of communications that came, for example, from Dan Valle \\
\hline 18 & about asking for information as to the handling of those \\
\hline 19 & monies and what was being used to pay various expenses? \\
\hline 20 & A I knew that he had given a letter to \\
\hline 21 & mom and that was complaining about things and I knew \\
\hline 22 & that -- I remember her answering his letters. I came \\
\hline 23 & across one that she had answered to him, but that's all \\
\hline 24 & I'm aware of. \\
\hline 25 & Q Are you aware of the number of letters \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 that he did send over the years?

A No.
Q Are you aware of whether or not he sent letters basically every year for a number of years?

A No.
Q Are you aware as to the number of times that she answered him? You've mentioned at least one.

A I found one, but that's all I know of.
Q That's all you found to this point?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So your knowledge then would be you know he did write one or more letters, you know that she did respond at least once, and that's your knowledge?

A That's my knowledge.
MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make
that copy the next exhibit, please.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 21.
MR. HURLEY: 21?
COURT REPORTER: Yes.
(Exhibit No. 21, filed.)
Q Very quickly, let's move few of these others. That was for 2016, correct?

A Uh-huh.
Q A similar summary has been provided to
us for 2017. Does this appear to be the summary for
that year?
A Yes.
MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that the next
exhibit.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 22.
(Exhibit No. 22, filed.)
So I've put in front of you 2017, correct?

A Yes.
Q
That does appear to be what you have furnished us. We've marked it as Exhibit 22. Again, the same process has been gone through with this documentation to furnish us with information about expenditures?

A Yes.
Q
I don't think I asked you. Who primarily was involved in putting these exhibits together, because \(I\) 've got one for ' 16 , 17 , '18, '19, '20, and I think '21; who put those together?

A I collected everything that I could find from Madeline's files and I put those together. Kelly generated '21, '22, and gave those to me and then I submitted them.

Q Next is 2018 and it appears to be the same thing, correct?

A Correct.
MR. HURLEY: For that year and that
will be Exhibit 23.
COURT REPORTER: Yes.
(Exhibit No. 23, filed.)
Q Next is Exhibit 24 which will be the same information for 2019 , correct?

A Correct.
Q Again prepared the same way by the same people?

A Yes.
(Exhibit No. 24, filed.)
Q Next is Exhibit 25 which will be the 2020 numbers, same preparation, same people, same process, right?

A Correct.
(Exhibit No. 25, filed.)
Q And then Exhibit 26, this is the biggest of all, for year 2021, same people, same process?

A This would be Kelly's detail, yes. MR. HAWKINS: He asked if that was the same process.

THE WITNESS: Same process. Well, this was -- I mean I did state it in the beginning, but just to clarify, this was not my work or Madeline's work. This was Kelly's.

Q
Kelly's work, right, but you had mentioned Kelly before.

A Yes.
Q You had worked on them, Kelly's worked on them. I know Kelly came on board in '21.

A Yes, right. So this was all her
QuickBooks entries.
Q It looks a little different than the others.

A It does, yes.
Q The format and layout is a little different?

A Right.
Q But the basic information is the same?
A Correct.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 26.
(Exhibit No. 26, filed.)
Q Did, to your knowledge, your father ever barter things with people in Deerfield? For example, if they had done something to assist him, he would not bill them for a security and maintenance fee?

A
I can't say that he didn't, but I'm not -- nothing is coming to mind, but he might have done that.

Q Does Park Properties own any real estate within Deerfield Resort?

A Yes.
Q What properties?
A They own an acreage parcel that they're currently subdividing, Astor Hill Village, and adjoining that there are also three lots.

Q Anything else?
A I'm not aware of anything else that Park Properties owns. I think that's all.

Q Okay. I want to talk to you for a minute about plats.

A Okay.
Q When you go to the tax assessor's
office or to the offices at the Campbell County Courthouse to inquire about the plats about Deerfield, they can produce a few plats. They also indicate that there are certain plats that would be at Deerfield. Do you keep or, to your knowledge, does your brother keep, or does Kelly or anyone keep, a file or a cabinet or a location where there are the plats of Deerfield?

A We do have a location. We have a file
cabinet where we would keep the surveyed maps of each section as they were opened up and as far as recorded plats, I'm sure there's copies somewhere, but those would all be at the courthouse.

Q Those would all be at the courthouse?
A Yes.
Q You don't believe that you would have at your offices, the Fields Development Company offices, the building that we've talked about, recorded plats or copies of recorded plats?

A It's possible. Scott thinks we do.
Q To your knowledge, is there a master recorded plat of the entirety of Deerfield Resort?

A Well, I know they worked on one. That's the majority of what we have that we sent to Scott Reams and to Preston. Crutchfield tried to put an as built actual map of Deerfield together and that's what Scott uses now for all of his digital maps. But as far as do we have an actual one put together map, I think all we have is what we've already submitted for Scott Reams when he was trying to determine what is Deerfield.

Q Okay. As different areas of Deerfield have been developed like Deer Hill, other areas that have been developed over time, has there been a practice

1 to record a plat for that newly developed area?

A Yes, there has been a plat recorded for Deer Hill and there was a plat recorded for the Deer Lake Condos. I haven't seen a plat for the lake view condos except for the survey map that's been attached to the master deeds.

Q So you said there was one for Deer Hill?

A Yes.
Q There was one for who else?
A For the Deer Lake Condos.
Q Deer Lake; any others?
A I'm not aware of any other plats other than the original Deerfield Development. I don't know if any of the private people who bought acres and subdivided those, if they were required to do a plat for the Planning Commission, if that was recorded. It's very possible there could be.

Q Like individual property owners?
A Exactly. Like they would buy acreage tracts and divide them.

Q Does Fields Development Company, Inc. get any profit, money, cash flow benefit from the marina?

A From the Deerfield Marina?

Q Yes.
A No, they do not.
Q There are no rights, agreements, revenue sharing, percentage of profits, percentage of revenues, there is no financial tie between the marina and Fields Development Company?

A That's correct. That was sold off to Brian Costello 20 years go and then it's been resold multiple times and Brian owns it again.

Q Brian owns it again now?
A Yes.
Q So any profit that's generated there stays with its owner?

A That's correct.
Q Do you have a boat there?
A No.
Q Does Scott have a boat there?
A No.
Q I'm going to show you some aerial
photos of the -- well, let me ask you what you call them. What do you call that area?

A That is Deer Hill Village.
Q And Deer Hill Village consists of what?

A It's a condo community and it has what

1 we call villas. Some of those are individual homes, some of those are duplexes.

How many are there roughly?
A
Roughly, I would say there are -- the numbers skip. There's gaps in between. I would say there's 56 to 58 actual structures and again with some of those being duplexes there would be more.

Q Are they all sold?
A Everything that is under construction right now has already been sold or conveyed except for there are two -- you can see in the construction picture the ones that are not. Those are scheduled to close this week.

Q Kind of at the top of that row that's closer to the middle of the page?
A Yes.

Q All right. So there's two units there that are scheduled to close this week?

A Well, one this week and one probably within two weeks.

Q All right. But all the rest of them have been sold?

A Yes. They're actually conveyed before we start construction.

Q And so all of them would be billed
separately for their units?
A Correct.
Q And all of them would be an owner and at least as the discussions have been thus far, entitled to vote?

A Yes.
MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that one first before we go to another one.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 27.
(Exhibit No. 27, filed.)
Q There's another photograph; what does that depict?

A Well, the bulk of this picture, the centerpiece of it, would be the same community, the Deer Hill Village. There's other things in the background.

Q What are the other things in the
background?
A Well, there's the Deerfield Marina there. These are the Deer Lake Condos over -- at least part of the condos and their marina there, their dock, and then this is the rest of what we call the Deer Hill section of Deerfield to the right. You can see a little bit of the part that's not Deerfield here and, of course, That's Spring Boat Dock in the background.

Q So can you -- it might help if I gave

1 you a pen with some ink or you can use yours.

A I can use this one.
Q Okay. Can you basically mark those areas on that sheet. You just told me --

A Define what I said, okay.
Q -- here is this, here is that. Can you write those down?

A (Witness complies with request.)
Q So what's that one you just marked and wrote down?

A Deer Hill Village.
Q Okay. You're moving over to the right side of the page.

A Yes. So this portion, let's see, that is not -- it's kind of hard to tell from the angle of this picture what is Deerfield and what is Marina Ridge. I can't really tell the dividing line, but \(I\) believe, from what \(I\) can tell from the picture, this is just the Deer Hill section of Deerfield. I don't think this is going to show up, but this is the Deerfield Marina. Do you want me to label Springs Boat Dock? It's not part of Deerfield.

Q What's the name of the boat dock?

A
Springs Boat Dock. That is a separate entity.
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Q Why don't you, just so that we know that that's not part of the equation here.

A Okay.
COURT REPORTER: Mr. Hurley, do you want to make that Exhibit 28?

MR. HURLEY: Yes.
(Exhibit No. 28, filed.)
Q Next, I'm going to move around here for just a minute to get some things knocked out. I want to provide you with kind of a compilation of a number of checks. I'm going to represent to you these checks are from various individuals, families, entities that own property at Deerfield. Virtually all of them are made payable to Deerfield Resort. They're made payable from the timeframe of 2016 to 2021 . There's no rhyme or reason as to whose -- we've selected certain checks.

It's just a compilation of different checks that have been made payable to Deerfield Resort with some indication that it's in payment of HOA fees for just 2018 HOA or just HOA, some say security and HOA, but they've been provided at various times. Would this be the way that checks typically might come in to Deerfield for any given year after you've invoiced security and maintenance fees?

A
Well, they would say Deerfield -typically they might reference an invoice number. Calling it \(H O A\) fees would be the equivalent of calling aluminum foil Reynolds Wrap. I think people just reference it that way, but we never indicated or called them HOA fees or dues.

Q Several of these have homeowners fee or HOA fees on the memo section.

A Yes.
Q Did you ever call any of them and say, well, that's not right?

A It's just in the memo section, so we wouldn't need to correct anything that they put in the memo section.

Q So your answer would be no, you did not?

A Right.
Q
We've tried on most all of these to include both the front and the back showing the checks were negotiated. Would this be kind of an indication or representation of how folks may pay those invoices, or at least these did?

A I don't understand the question.
Q Is this the type of checks that you might get from time to time? The first one is Crystal

Mestemaker. Maybe \(I^{\prime} m\) not pronouncing that right. Doe Lane Properties, LLC, James and Kathy Ann Elmore, Joseph Bonomo; am I pronouncing that right?

A Bonomo.
Q Keith and Nancy Leach, Drakingham
Enterprises, David, I don't know how to pronounce that one, \(S-o-p-c-z-a-k\).

A I'm not sure on that one either.
Q Cloverbrook Investments, would these be checks, as best you can tell looking here, and I know you probably don't memorize all of these checks or all these names or dates, but does this look like the types of checks and payments that you would get after invoicing folks in various years for payment of the security and maintenance --

MR. HAWKINS: I object to the form, you may answer.

A Okay. Well, could you repeat the question one more time then?

Q Sure. The checks that we have in this little compilation here, does this appear to be the types of checks that you would get after invoicing property owners for security and maintenance fees at Deerfield Resort?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form, you
can answer. The objection is based on this type. That's what's --

A So I would say -- this I would say no because we would get checks written to Deerfield Resort, but if they said anything in the memo like HOA -- this is 12 out of probably a thousand checks. So no, I would say that is not common or often that someone would call it HOA fees when we don't call it HOA fees.

Q Do you have any reason to say that you did not receive any of these checks?

A No. It looks like we did deposit them, but there's nothing preventing us from depositing them because it's written to Deerfield Resort.

Q Understood and they're made as they're made. I'm not arguing that point. I think all of them are made, as I recall, to Deerfield Resort. So let me ask it this way. To the best of your knowledge, based on what you see here, would these be checks that you did receive, that Fields Development did receive, for security and maintenance payments by these individuals?

A The checks that I have before me look like they were received and deposited into the Deerfield Resort checking account.

MR. HURLEY: Okay, thank you. Let's mark that as the next exhibit, please.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 29.
(Exhibit No. 29, filed.)
Q Next, I want to give you a few checks just to ask a few questions about that have come from the materials that \(I\) believe have been provided to us at one point or another.

The first one is a check from McCloud Mountain Restaurant to Deerfield Resort, \(\$ 4,000\). It looks like to me it's dated October 20 , 2018, refund labor is what it appears to say. Would that be an indication of the type of refunding or contribution toward labor that McCloud Mountain might make to Deerfield Resort at any given time?

A That's what it looked like to me.
Q The next one is a check in 2019, September, for \(\$ 500\), and basically the same. It's to Deerfield Resort for \(\$ 500\) and \(I\) can't actually make it out; does it say Dick Davis?

A It says Dick Davis. This would have been for -- the credit card terminal in the office is actually from McCloud Mountain and so this would have been a refund of -- they would have come in and paid their Deerfield fees on their credit card. So this is the amount that would have been reimbursed from the credit card terminal, but they did not -- she did not
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charge Deerfield the credit card fees that McCloud Mountain would have paid.

Q The next one appears to be Mt. Cloud, Inc.

A Yes.
Q To Deerfield Resort for \(\$ 1633\) and change. Looks like it replaced something, replaced payment or --

A Yeah, I can't read what that says, I can't see, it could be Mt. I remember seeing something that came through where she was -- it was just a repayment for something. I don't know if it replaced a check --

Q Well, it appears to be your mother's signature on the signature line of the check, correct?

A That's correct.
Q What was Mt. Cloud, Inc.?
A That is the actual ownership of the land on McCloud Mountain. That's the company that owns it.

Q So there was an entity that actually owned the dirt, the land on McCloud Mountain?

A That's correct.
Q Does it still exist?
A Yes.

Q And does it still owns the land?
A Yes, it does.
Q And who owns Mt. Cloud, Inc. now?
A
Now it would be Scott, myself, and Joe Coker.

Q Okay, all right. And the next is a U.S. Treasury check made payable to Deerfield Resort, Fields, Madeline C. and Paul R. PTR., in care of Madeline C. Fields, \(\$ 2,885.40\). That appears, at least as I understand it, was deposited into the Deerfield Resort account. Do you know what this was for?

A I do not know. This could have been a refund for taxes paid in because it says Deerfield Resort on it. That would be my only guess, is it's some sort of tax refund.

Q For whose taxes; do you know?
A I can't tell. I don't know. Jack may know.

Q The next one is a Wells Fargo Home Mortgage check to Fields Development Company, appears to have been deposited into the Deerfield Resort account. It's only \(\$ 50\) in 2016. Any idea what this was about? It appears to be related to some mortgage.

A My only guess is every now and then if there's a closing and something extra has to be done, or
some companies will ask, very rarely, but they'll say is there a fee for filling out the form. A check this small for \(\$ 50\) and it's written to Fields Development and not Deerfield, I don't think it would have been dues or fees or anything that would have been collected. I can only guess this would be a reimbursement of some sort.

Q It says right below Wells Fargo, it says for payment of miscellaneous foreclosure or bankruptcy expenses; does that ring any bells?

A It could have been just a property in Deerfield that was being foreclosed on and maybe they had to fill out paperwork for the foreclosure.

Q Then we have a check from John, is it Kudart?

A I think so. I think that's right.
Q June of 2017 for \(\$ 462.07\) for condo
insurance.
A That would have been some of the flow through for the condo insurance that was paid out and paid in. So she apparently, sometimes the checks were deposited directly into this account for the condo insurance.

Q So there we would have a condo owner paying for insurance, his part of the insurance cost of the condo, and because the Condo Association did not

1 have a checking account, that money, he is paying it into the Deerfield Resort account, so that Deerfield Resort can pay the condo insurance and then the condo account by a bank transaction or a bank check is going to reimburse Deerfield?

A That's my best understanding of what this would be. This doesn't look like a check that was written in error. A lot of times we'll get checks that are written wrong and have to be put in one account and then replaced. But this looks to me like it was just his condo insurance payment.

Q The next one is Lifetime Docks, LLC check for \(\$ 3,370\), June of 2017 , to Deerfield Resort. Any idea what that's about?

A That would be condo insurance.
Q That's condo insurance as well?
A Yes.
Q The next one, a check from Paul and th
Madeline Fields dated December 4 , 2016, to Deerfield Resort and it says for a loan. Now, was that the making of a loan or the payment of a loan, or do you know?

A That would have been the making of a loan to Deerfield for \(\$ 10,000\).

Q All right. And that one was signed by your mother, was it not?

A Yes.
Q
How do you know it was the making of a loan to as opposed to the paying of a loan?

A It was from Madeline to Deerfield. So the money was going from her account to Deerfield.

Q And I understand that, but I guess my question is, do we -- that could have been for payment of a loan that was previously paid by Deerfield to them.

A No. It was always a one way street. Money always went in, it did not go out to them.

Q Never a single time did money come to your mother and father --

A No.
Q -- from that account?
A No, unless it was -- unless they may have repaid something, but \(I\) haven't found anything that they have repaid yet.

Q Would there be any occasion for any reason that your mother and father would receive a check from the Deerfield Resort account?

A Only -- the only thing I'm aware of would be if they had paid something in like this and then paid themselves back, but there was never enough money to pay themselves back. So they kept putting the money in.

Q So, to the best of your knowledge, there would never have been an occasion when Deerfield Resort account would have paid money to Paul or Madeline Fields?

A To the best of my knowledge, no, and I cannot imagine even that that would have happened.

Q So if there was a piece of property in Deerfield's -- in Fields Development Company, Inc.'s name and that piece of property was sold, would it ever be deposited into this Deerfield Resort account?

A Could you ask that again?
Q
A piece of property is owned by Fields Development --

A Okay.
Q -- and it's the Development Company for Deerfield?

A Yes.
Q And someone comes up and buys a lot and pays \(\$ 50,000\) for the lot, where would that money go?

A Well, that's what we sold, the
\(\$ 45,000\), that was a lot sale from Fields Development Company and it was in this Deerfield account.

Q Right. But typically, if it wasn't being put into the Deerfield account to help Deerfield cover some expenses, where would the money go?

A
As far as I've seen, if it was in the name of the Fields Development loan or Fields Development Company and that was the name of the deed, it looks to me like she always put the money in this account from what \(I^{\prime}\) ve seen, but that's the only lot sale and transfer that \(I^{\prime} v e ~ s e e n ~ i n ~ t h e ~ t i m e f r a m e ~ w e ' r e ~\) looking at.

Q Okay. Let's go before the timeframe of '16 to '21 that we're looking at. If a lot sold in normal times, where would the money be deposited? Did Fields Development have a separate account?

A Not that I have seen. We currently do, but as far back as I've looked there was not a separate account. So, in theory, it shouldn't go into the same account.

Q If your mother and father had sold the lot, and I say your mother and father, if Fields Development had sold a lot in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2010, the proceeds from that sale would typically go into this Deerfield Resort account?

A Typically, yes.
Q And if they needed money to live, to pay their bills and to function as a family unit they would have taken money out of that account?

A If it was from a lot sale and it was

1 their money. In theory, I suppose they would have. I just haven't seen any evidence of that.

Q You and -- as far as the sales of any lots where the proceeds went into the Deerfield Resort account, the only one that you've ever seen that went into that account is that \(\$ 45,000\) sale that we looked at earlier?

A Well, I haven't seen the detail past 2016, so I don't know. Jack would probably know.

Q But speaking as to what you have seen, the only one that you have seen is the one that we talked about earlier, the \(\$ 45,000\) ?

A That's correct.
Q The next one is from it looks like Rodney McGhee. That is to Deer Lake Condos, but it looks like it got deposited into the Deerfield Resort account. Would this be another insurance thing?

A
This looks to me like he just has the auto draft and he just didn't change it to say Deerfield Resort, because the \(\$ 500\), there's nothing that matches that for condos for either condo dues or insurance. But the \(\$ 500\) tells me that his auto draft just issued it to something he already had pre-programmed in his banking system.

Q So what would happen with that money
once it arrived at Deerfield Resort's account?
A Well, if this was for Deerfield fees, it would have just stayed there. They would have just deposited it into Deerfield and then left it in the Deerfield account. This one, if that's what this was for, it would not have needed to transfer out.

Q The next one is from McCloud Mountain to Deerfield Resort, \(\$ 577.07\). I can't make out the notation, but it looks like your mother signed the check.

A It says Eller's Insurance and that would have been something she would have paid through the credit card terminal.

Q And Eller's Insurance would be what kind of insurance?

A That would be condo insurance.
Q And then the next one is McCloud Mountain to Deerfield Resort, \(\$ 500\) even, she signed the check. I can't make out what that is for.

A It's Craft, so Crafts would have paid through the credit card terminal.

Q The next one from McCloud Mountain to Deerfield, \$500.

A Baldock, that would be the same thing, credit card --

Q Credit card transactions?
A Security and maintenance paid through the credit card terminal.

Q Deerfield Water System, this one appears to be, and I'm not totally sure, but is that signed by your brother?

A Uh-huh. Yes.
Q And it's to Deerfield Resort for \(\$ 602.25\), no indication in the memo section what it's for.

A Probably would have been a reimbursement for something, could have been. Scott maybe can tell us tomorrow.

Q But you don't know what?
A Huh-uh.
Q And then the next one, McCloud Mountain, again signed by your mother to Deerfield Resort, \(\$ 250\), Rick Jenkins?

A That would be a lot security fee.
Q Security fees for a lot?
A Yes.
Q Explain how that would have happened.
A Well, there were still -- the lots were still billed. They were just invoiced at half of the rate of the homes. So instead of \(\$ 500\) for a home,
it would be a half rate if it was an unoccupied parcel of property. So a single lot would be \(\$ 250\). So it would still be security and maintenance. It would just be half.

And that was paid in this instance by McCloud Mountain?

A Well again, he would have paid it with a credit card. So it would have gone through the terminal that's in the office that's McCloud Mountain, and so then they just get reimbursed to Deerfield for anything that goes through the terminal.

Q By the check?
A By the check.
Q And then the next one is Deerfield Resort from McCloud Mountain, again signed by your mother, \(\$ 1,000\), Hendon?

A Hendon and Reighly.
Q Is that --
A That's two different properties, \$500 each.

MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that as the next exhibit, please. What's the number?

COURT REPORTER: 30.
(Exhibit No. 30, filed.)
Q In this case, and I may not put these
in, \(I\) just want to ask you from your general knowledge, and if we need to put them in we can, maybe we can just stipulate. What \(I\) have seen as far as restrictions is an original set called Restrictions for Deerfield Resort nd on April 22 , 1985.

A Okay.
Q I have then seen a second set called Revision No. 1 Restrictions for Deerfield Resort, st December 1 , 1986. I've then seen a third set called Revision No. 2, dated August 9, 2000, signed by your father as the 2000 version --

A Okay.
Q -- and then I've seen an Amended
Declaration of Protective Covenants and Restrictions for Deerfield Resort that was signed in 2018, August the st 1 .

A I don't think that's for Deerfield. I think that would be for one of the condominiums.

Q Let me let you look at it because this is the one, this is the one that we've had a lot of discussion about. The other three sounded --

A Yes.
Q -- consistent with what --
A Those are accurate. Oh, is this the 2018?

Q Yes.
A Oh, okay, I'm sorry. I didn't hear the year correctly. Yes, there was an amendment, amended restrictions in 2018.

Q I note that those restrictions are signed on the signature page by Fields Development Company, Inc., a Tennessee corporation, by Madeline C. Fields, notarized by Linda B. Hodges, correct?

A Correct.
Q
Do you know whether or not any process was gone through before this amendment was made to notify homeowners, property owners of Deerfield Resort, that the amendment was going to be placed in the public record?

A I'm not aware of any.
Q Are you aware of any notice that
people were given that this was being done?
A I can't say that \(I^{\prime \prime m}\) aware of any.
Q I believe, and I may need to be corrected, but I think this is the case that each of the restrictions and covenants or amendments, or as they say, revisions thereto, including this one, contained a paragraph that said the developer reserves the right to do anything necessary to promote and develop a successful resort area and these restrictions may be
changed, amended, or altered by the developer, at least that's what this '18 says. I think there's a somewhat similar paragraph in the others.

A From the beginning, yes. I believe we'll see that in the 1985 original or the 1986.

Q Is it your understanding and your belief, and I know you're not a lawyer and I'm not asking you to be -- you're not a lawyer, right?

A I am not.
Q And I'm not asking you to be, but I'm asking you as an owner of Fields Development Company and having worked there all these years, is it your understanding and belief that the developer can amend the restrictions at any time the developer deems appropriate to do so?

A Yes, the language is in there that says they can.

Q Now, when I looked back at the original organizational documents, like the Articles of Incorporation and the documents that are related to the original formation of the corporation, I see some language in some of those that says that in certain instances there will be so many members that have to vote in favor of or not. To your knowledge, none of these restrictions, because there's never been a
turnover in the Homeowners Association, none of these restrictions have ever been voted on by anybody?

A That's correct.
Q They've been drafted, prepared, sign and recorded?

A By the developer.
Q By the developer?
A Yes.
Q And that developer is, has been, continues to be Fields Development Company, Inc.?

A That's correct.
Q Has Fields Development Company, Inc. from time to time in dealing with closing agents for sales of lots and parcels within Deerfield required that unpaid security and maintenance fees be paid out of real estate closings?

A It hasn't been required. It is requested and if the property owner chooses to not pay them, then it is not something that we can force at closing. When Georgia closed her house she did not pay at closing her -- any property fees that we had invoiced her for.

Q Do you know Tim and Julie Becker?
A Yes.
Q You do?
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A Well, they have owned property in Deerfield.

MR. HAWKINS: Do you want to make the 2018 --

MR. HURLEY: Yes, let's make that an exhibit since she looked at it.

THE WITNESS: Do you want only the 2018?

MR. HURLEY: Preston, is there any disagreement on these others that \(I\) just read? If you want me to put them in, I'm glad to do that?

MR. HAWKINS: Why don't we just put in all the restrictions as a collective exhibit.

MR. HURLEY: That way we've got them in as a collective?

COURT REPORTER: 31.
MR. HURLEY: What did I do with them? So here is the 1985 if you want to look at it and make sure you're good with it, the '86, the 2000 .

MR. HAWKINS: And I've got a copy of the 2018 right here.

MR. HURLEY: Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: There's an extra copy of
2000. That's all four of them. MR. HURLEY: Make those collective. COURT REPORTER: Collective 31. (Collective Exhibit No. 31, filed.)

Q
Ms. Lejeune, to the best of your
knowledge, we've put forth and I've seen them, your attorney has seen them, and, in fact, I saw them after your attorney sent them to me on one occasion, and then we checked them at the Register's office and sure enough that's all we could find. To the best of your knowledge, as you sit here today, would that be the original restrictions and any amendments or revisions thereto that have been made as far as you know?

A As far as I know.
Q You're not aware of any other set of revisions to the restrictions or amendments to the restrictions other than the ones that we've just looked at?

A Not that \(I^{\prime}\) ve found.
Q Okay. Now, I asked you if you knew Tim and Julie Becker and you said that you believed that you did. Do they still own property at Deerfield?

A No, they sold their property.
Q
This is a letter that has been provided to us and it's addressed to Paula Lejeune, Park

Properties, LLC, 1235 Deerfield Way, LaFollette, Tennessee 3766 -- 37766, and there's a copy of a return receipt up at the top. Do you recognize the signature there; is that your signature?

A That's Scott's signature.
Q Scott's signature. Do you recall getting this letter?

A This is about Deer Hill Village which does have an HOA. This is not about Deerfield Resort.

Q Okay, all right. So the money for
Deer Hill Village that was paid for security and maintenance, would it have gone into the Deerfield Resort account that we've looked at, or into a separate account in 2016?

A Could you repeat the question?
Q Yes. She's indicating here, as I understand her letter to you, that she and her husband sold a piece of property, a villa is what she refers to it as, on January the 4 , 2016.

A Okay.
Q She says that she had never been
billed for a Homeowners Association fee, a septic fee, or insurance since purchasing their villa, purchasing on th January 4 , 2016. She says that when they were trying to close on the sale of their villa in 2019, their
closing was delayed because, she says here, you reported to the title company that they were \(\$ 4,823.13\) in arrears in the above fees to Park Properties.

Now, if they were billed, she says they weren't, but if they had been billed, if they did owe these fees, would the fees during the 2016 to 2019 timeframe have gone into the Deerfield Resort account that we looked at, or into some other account?

A
No, it would not go into Deerfield Resort. This is -- this HOA that she is referencing is the Deer Hill Village HOA which is separate. It's a condo. They also do pay Deerfield fees as well, but they're in a separate condo association called Deer Hill Village, and these \(H O A\) fees that she's referencing were only for Deer Hill Village HOA, not for Deerfield. So this would have gone into a separate account under Deer Hill Village, not Deerfield Resort.

Q Not the Deerfield Resort account. So this would have been totally unrelated and separate from that, correct?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. So at no time during the 2016 to '19 timeframe would any fees that they owed, or were assessed, or mailed, or invoiced have gone into the Deerfield Resort account?

A Only Deerfield security and maintenance. They would have been billed that separately at a separate time.

Q Because that doesn't come up in this letter, they must have paid it or --

A That's probably correct.
MR. HURLEY: Okay, all right. Then I don't need to make that an exhibit. Thank you.

MR. HAWKINS: No exhibit there?
MR. HURLEY: No.
Q We have submitted, during the pendency of this case, a compilation of closing statements and checks where various title companies that closed transactions involving Deerfield Resort properties, those closing agencies have sent checks to Fields Development Company or Deerfield Resort, and they have held monies out of closings to do that; have you seen those?

A I would assume that I have deposited those and seen copies of those.

Q So you've seen them, you've received them, you've deposited them in the past. How do those title companies, how do those title companies know to do that?
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Most of the time when there's a closing a title company will reach out to us. There are times we don't know there's a closing, if it doesn't go through our office, if we're not aware of the transaction, but oftentimes the title company will reach out to us to see are there any fees due. So we will fill out their forms. We will send them the amount if there's anything due currently for the current year. If there's anything we've billed in the past and they have not paid it, we will request that at closing and the title company will attempt to collect it at closing.

And the way it has always worked with Deerfield is, if the homeowner chooses not to pay it, or refutes that and says I don't owe it or I won't pay it, we have no recourse. We could not collect it. We couldn't enforce it, unlike the HOAs, like the Deer Hill Village, that's why it held up the closing. It was an HOA and they would not close until those fees were paid.

But Deerfield has always been different because there wasn't an HOA and the title companies would refuse to collect it if the homeowner disputed it. And so we would ask for it, if it was owed, but we could not force it. We could not file a lien and they would not reinforce that we had any right to collect that money.

Q
But there have been occasions where you would be contacted by a title agency or closing agency. You would be told that there was a Deerfield property that's about to close, there was an owner. They would ask you whether or not there were unpaid fees, correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q
And you would answer the question if there were unpaid fees in the affirmative, yes, there are unpaid fees?

A Correct.
Q And in those instances the title company or the closing agency would place a charge on the closing statement of the seller for that?

A Yes.
Q Collect that money as a part of the closing and send it to Deerfield Resort?

A Yes.
Q And Deerfield Resort would receive those checks and would deposit those checks?

A That's correct.
Q
All right. But your testimony is you couldn't force it. So if the title company informed you of that and the property owner bucked or resisted at the closing, you would not take any action legally to

1 attempt to force the collection of it?

A They told us we could not and they said, again, it could not interfere with closing. It would not have any impact on closing if they chose not to pay it.

Q All right. But we've seen examples where many did and you would admit that many did, correct?

A Yes. Most of the time most of what we turned in would just be the current year's fees, and if someone had a home listed and they knew they were going to sell it that year, they wouldn't pay their fees because they were wanting to wait till closing.

Q Many of the closing statements that I saw looked like that they were just prorated for whatever portion of the year the security and maintenance fee would be owed for; is that your understanding?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q So the amounts typically were a few hundred dollars or thereabouts and might not even be that, but you would be sent that money and you would deposit that money?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And that's happened on many
occasions?
A Many occasions.
Q Over the years there have been annual reports filed on behalf of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, have there not?

A Yes.
Q And those annual reports, and I have a compilation of them here. I'm not going to represent to you that \(I^{\prime}\) ve got every year because \(I\) don't think I do. I don't think I got it when I asked for it, but I've got a lot of the years. I've got '87, '91, '97, '99, 2000, and I think from there on \(I^{\prime}\) ve got most of them. As I look at these annual reports they are for Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., and in many of these years you're not the person that filled these out or submitted them, they predated you?

A Correct.
Q Toward the end, I think the last one I've got in this compilation is actually 2019 and I think your mother signed that one electronically. So I
 years that \(I^{\prime}\) ve got here, there is a section that asks for the names of the members of the Board of Directors and I'll represent to you that there are from the very start multiple directors indicated on the forms. In ‘87

A Doyle.
Q Doyle, and then Vesper Singleton?
A Yes.
Q That's the case also in '91 and then in '97 we have your mother showing up, Diane Fields, Robert -- that's Robert's wife, right, Diane?

A Correct.
Q And your father. In '99, we have Robert Fields, Diane Fields, and Madeline as the directors. Your father is listed as the president and Vesper Singleton the secretary. One year only your mother is indicated and that was in 2000. In 2001, they failed to put anybody down as a director, just said same, and some years after that.

Then I come to years on up, such as 2019, then all of a sudden there's a bunch of directors. Robert Newhall, Charles Hencye, am I pronouncing that right?

A Yes.
Q Jerry Herod, Bruce Hickman, Dan
Hopkins, Robert J. Fields, Janet Lee, Madeline Fields, Paul Lejeune and Raymond Fields. How did that board go about getting elected; do you know?

A Again, I don't have all of the
information. My understanding is that she was starting to make moves towards trying to transition to transfer it and that she was starting with what she considered an advisory board to help her make that transition. As far as listing them on here as the Board of Directors, I'm not sure if she just thought this was her advisory board and therefore she was listing their names. I'm not sure what the process was to get to this point.

Q Did you ever attend a board meeting with those people?

A Not collectively, no.
Q What about not collectively, just parts of them, a board meeting?

A Well, there was just -- an official board meeting, I can't say that I did.

Q Were there advisory type meetings with some or all of those people?

A Yes.
Q And was actions, were actions taken, voted on, decided on?

A I can't say that there was a vote, but
I would say that it was definitely formulating her process of how she was going to move forward, but this was right before she got sick, so she wasn't able to follow through with it.

Q And the moving forward you're speaking of is that moving forward for the purpose of forming or getting into formal place the Homeowners Association?

A That's my understanding of what this purpose of this was.

Q And having that Association function and essentially take over the operations of Deerfield Resort?
```

    A Yes.
    Q Okay
    ```
    writing as to what that plan looked like?
    A I'm not aware of anything. You can
    ask Scott if he's aware of anything as well.
    MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make this
    document the next exhibit.
    COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 32.
    (Exhibit No. 32, filed.)
    MR. HAWKINS: It's also 5:35. Are you
    closing in on being finished? I'm not
    suggesting that we just quit right now. But
    if you're close, I think it would be
    preferable to power through and finish, even
    though -- are you coming back tomorrow?
    THE WITNESS: Yes.
    MR. HURLEY: He will not take all day
tomorrow. So I will kind of leave it to you. I've got several other things that \(I\) want to ask. I think she's probably the appropriate person to ask a number of them which would cut down the amount of time that I'm going to be asking him questions, Scott questions.

I'm okay with stopping, adjourning now, and starting tomorrow with her and getting her finished, and then getting him finished tomorrow as well. So we're talking two days combined with the two of them. But I think I've got some questions here that she's probably the more appropriate person, based on what she's told me, to answer these things. A lot of it's just going to be getting documents in that you've seen. I just need her to testify that yes, these are the real McCoy. MR. FIELDS: What would you rather do? THE WITNESS: I can keep going if you want to or we can -- I can do it in the morning. I really don't have a preference. MR. HAWKINS: Why don't we go until

\section*{six?}

MR. HURLEY: Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Make that a cutoff; is that okay?

MR. HURLEY: Sure.
MR. HAWKINS: And then if you're not finished with her, then she can start in the morning and we'll finish it then, okay?

MR. HURLEY: Sounds good.
MR. HAWKINS: All right.
MR. HURLEY: Sounds good.
Q I want to hand to you a letter. It
wasn't sent to you, but \(I\) imagine you saw it, that was authored by an attorney here in Knoxville, who I think is now retired, by the name of Dale Allen, and it was authored after this litigation started; have you see this letter before?

A Yes, Preston showed this to me.
Q In this letter he indicates that he represents the Estate of Robert E. Lee and his wife, Janet, and that it had come to their attention that there was documentation, or some annual report filed on behalf of Deerfield indicating that Ms. Lee had been listed as a director, a member of the Board of Directors, in an annual report. And that that in fact was not the case and that there should be action taken to make sure that she was not indicated as being a member of the Board of Directors. Do you know anything

1 about her being or not being a member of the board?

2

A I was in the office the day she came in to talk to mom and she was just asking mom if there was anything she could do to help. And mom said, well, if you would like to be on the advisory board that would be very helpful. And Janet said oh no, I'm not at all capable of doing that and she said I don't think I'd be of any help. Then mom said well, you've been in Deerfield since almost the beginning and she said I think you could really be beneficial in this.

Now, whether or not they actually finally fully agreed or Janet was just protesting because she didn't think she would be an asset, I'm not sure how that ended. But I think mom took away from the conversation that Janet would agree -- she wanted to help in some way and that's how mom needed help at that time.

Q Go ahead.
A Well, that's pretty much all I have to say.

Q Did you ever see Janet come in and participate in any meeting that would be like an advisory board or a board of director's type meeting?

A No, but she came in often and she was always asking mom for an update on what was going on and
mom was always giving her that information. So they definitely were in communication with that, but as far as her being with another group of people, I don't recall ever seeing that.

To your knowledge, there's never been any further indication of any documents that she is a member of the Board of Directors?

A Other than just on the filing.
MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make
that the next exhibit, please.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 33.
(Exhibit No. 33, filed.)
Q Next I have a compilation of documents that are deeds that \(I^{\prime}\) ve been able to locate. I do not represent these as being all the deeds. I will tell you that I'm originally from Hancock County, Tennessee and the Register of Deeds office up there is more challenging than the Campbell County, Tennessee register's office is, but \(I\) will tell you that in either of those two places I would never guarantee anybody that I found everything that there is to be found. But I did find some deeds that either had Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. listed as a grantor or had it listed as a grantee, and these are the deeds that I have located to that effect.

I'd like to very quickly go through these and just ask you what, if anything, you know about these transactions. The first one, and I think I've got them there, at least I meant to have them chronologically, December 16, 1991, a deed from Fields Development, Inc. to Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc., that says for good and valuable consideration a parcel of property has been transferred to the Homeowners Association, that it consists of a tract of .80 acres and that it is within the Deerfield Resort area and gives a legal description of it. Do you know what property this is?

A Yes, it says it's the tennis court property.

Q
All right. So, at one point in time then, we know by this deed, which there's an indication of its recording. If we look at it on the second and third pages, we see signatures there by Madeline Fields, Vice President, and a notarization of her signature. We do know at one point that tennis court was in the name of the Homeowners Association, correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, the next deed that I have I believe is a Tennessee quitclaim deed from the Lewis Treibits Trust, also known as the Restated Lewis

1 Treibits Trust, on August 24, 1984, and Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., making reference to a nd
property in the 2 Civil District of Campbell County; do you know what that property is?

A This looks like it was just an easement issue, something that must have affected the roadways, and I don't know, I can't tell what happened. I can't tell if he was encroaching on the road or the road was encroaching on his property.

Q It's a small parcel because it says being a tract consisting of . 09 acres.

A Uh-huh. Usually anything that small would just be a cleanup from the original survey. Either he encroached and went too far or the original survey maybe came onto the roadway or something along those lines. So it looks to me like they're dealing with the driveway and it looks like maybe he put his driveway outside of his property line, but I'm not sure about that.

Q I'm not asking you to be a lawyer. I'm also not asking you to be a surveyor. But just between us in this room, if someone in Deerfield Resort builds their driveway or puts a brick, concrete, structure out there and puts a mailbox in it, or designs their property or one of their buildings so that it
appears that they have pierced out into the roadway itself, do we have in existence anything that defines the location of the roadway and any setbacks or areas off the roadway so that we could legally defend the position of Deerfield Resort to that invading property owner?

A The only thing I can answer to that is that the property lines themselves of the lots would define what is ownership of a private owner. And anything that is not their private ownership and there's a road would by default be the Deerfield roads. And if they encroached outside of their property lines, then they would be on the Deerfield roadways.

Q As I understand your answer, and you correct me if I'm wrong, and I think you've done a pretty good job of trying to state a way to define that, if I purchase a piece of property in Deerfield, I've got a description of my property.

A Yes.
Q And to the extent that I venture outside that description, then I would be imposing on the roadway or whatever property it is?

A Or someone else's property lines.
Q Okay. But as far as a depiction, survey, drawing with measurements on it or anything of

1 that nature of the entire road system at Deerfield, you're not aware of one?

A I'm not aware of that, no.
Q
Now, we looked at that '91 deed and we've looked at this Treibits deed, the quitclaim deed of October 12, 2005. There should next be a Tennessee quitclaim deed of May 22, 2007, between Deerfield Homeowners Association, Paul Fields and Madeline, Rae Alan Properties, Fields Real Estate, as parties of the first part, and Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc., party of the second part; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Now, I want to ask you on this particular conveyance, Deerfield Homeowners Association, Inc. was made a party to this deed as a grantor, one of several; do you see that?

A The first line --
Q Yes.
A -- Deerfield Homeowners Association, okay.

Q And it's defined as one of the parties of the first part, and when \(I\) go over to the second page of the deed I see that the deed was signed by Paul R. Fields, President, Deerfield Resort Homeowners

Association, Inc.; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Now, he also signed on behalf of Fields Development Company, Inc., and then he and your mother signed individually.

A Okay.
Q Or signed on behalf of Lejeune -- on behalf of Rae Alan Properties, LP, by Lejeune Custom Homes, Inc., its sole general partner.

A Yes.
Q And then your father signed on behalf of Fields Real Estate Company, Inc.?

A Okay.
Q So when this property was conveyed to Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc., did Deerfield Condo Homeowners Association, Inc. pay anything to the parties of the first part for the property that it got; do you know?

A It's a quitclaim, so that would mean no, there was no money transferred, but I'm not clear on what this actually is.

MR. HAWKINS: Try to let Mr. Hurley
finish the question.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I thought he was.

Q It makes reference to certain master
deeds and other follow-up deeds, but you're not really sure what this was for?

A I'm sure I knew at the time, but now I'm not able to really see what this is. This is too early -- the condo building, one of the condo buildings withdrew from the condo regime theoretically and this could be that. It could have been giving them permission to leave and having the land. I don't know.

Q All right. Let's go to the next deed which is a Tennessee quitclaim deed of correction dated th
May -- excuse me, October the 26 of 2007, same year, several months later, and it's a correction, deed of correction, and it appears to be between the same parties; do you see that?

A \(\quad\) do.
Q Do you have any idea what this was about?

A No. I still can't tell. I'm still not clear on it. The 470 Deerfield Landing condominium, it just sounds like it would be that condo withdrawing from the condo regime.

Q It looks like as we go into this correction deed that there is a tract that's going to Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc., a tract going to Fields Development Company, Inc., and those tract th
conveyances are signed off by the same party that we mentioned just a moment ago.

A Okay.
Q Any idea now what that's about?
A Because it's to -- it looks like it's going to the Condo Owners Association. Yeah, I would just have to research this a little further because I can't tell what's happening.

Q Did Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. get anything out of these conveyances that you're aware of?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q The next deed is a deed dated May 15 , 2020. Now that's after this litigation occurred and it's a quitclaim deed from Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. to Fields Development Company, Inc.

A Okay.

Q
Do you know what one's about or what
that property is?
A Let me -- . 80 acres, that's the tennis

Q You signed this deed --
A Yes.
Q -- on page two. What was the reason
for conveying the property back to Fields Development for the tennis courts?

A That was on the advice of counsel to transfer that back out of the HOA.

Q All right. And then there is a correction deed that's should be next that's also dated th May 15 , 2020; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Both the quitclaim and the correction deed were prepared by Ed Owens, an attorney, with Mr. Hawkins' firm --

A Yes.
Q -- do you know why there had to be a correction deed?

A No, I don't recall why there was a second deed.

Q When the tennis courts were
transferred by Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. back to Fields Development Company, Inc., did the Homeowners Association get anything for that?

A No. They also did not pay anything for it when they received it.

MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make that compilation of deeds the next exhibit. COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 34.
(Exhibit No. 34, filed.)
Q
Are you aware of any other deeds to Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. or from Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., other than the ones that we've looked at?

A I'm not aware of anything else. I did
a search on it when we were doing discovery. Although there was, in one of the filings there was another easement to Robert Newhall, the same similar situation it sounds like as Treibits, but it seems like if it had anything to do with the extra roadways that may have been the determining factor.

Q Next is an amended master deed. I wanted to ask you about one particular provision in it. You may or may not have seen it before. I don't think you signed this. No, your father signed it and your mother signed it and your husband signed it on behalf of Rae Alan Properties.

This amended master deed is dated the nd 22 day of May, 2020 -- excuse me, 2002, and it's by Fields Development, Paul Fields, Madeline Fields, Rae Alan Properties, Fields Real Estate, for themselves, their successors, and grantors -- grantees. And it says in the third paragraph down, whereas the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., developer and

Fields, Rae Alan Properties, LP and Fields Real Estate Company, Inc., together with the Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc., mutually agree and desire to have the real property underlying the condominium development created by the hereinabove referenced master deed, amended master deed titled in the name of Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc. What did the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association have to do with this? A I'm not aware of anything they had to do with it and it looks like it's referencing what we would call the first condos that were built. It says \(E\) and C. This is the same area as the other deed that we weren't sure what was going on with all of those entities. It looks like it's referencing -- this is that same section that we were saying was the one that withdrew from the condo regime. This looks like it's part of the same -- I don't know -- because it says, even though it says 2002 right there, it says on the last paragraph May of 2007.

Q And it appears to have been executed in May of 2007, at least that's what the notary shows.
A Okay.

Q And the signature on it shows.
A I think this other was -- this was also 2007. So whatever this was, this is linked to it.

Q When you say this, you mean what?
A So whatever -- what's the next -COURT REPORTER: That will be Exhibit 35.

THE WITNESS: So whatever 35 is, it's linked to this same section in Exhibit 34, the two deeds that reference the 470 Deerfield Landing, the condominium located at 470 Deerfield Landing. This seems to all be part of the same transaction.

This looks to be -- this looks to be the actual transfer of property. This looks to be amending the condominium master deed. So this would have just been a follow through. Q Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association is not even a party to this master deed. A That's correct.

Q But it's mentioned in the body. Do you think that's just probably a mistake?

A I would think so. It looks to me like the same attorney completed all of this. So unless it's just got something to do with the roadways, I'm not sure.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 35.
MR. HAWKINS: Is this 35?

MR. HURLEY: Yes.
(Exhibit No. 35, filed.)
Q I'm going to ask just a couple of other things and we'll finish for today, okay?

A Okay.
Q And then get you completely finished tomorrow. I do want to ask you about this. There's been some discussion about whether -- in this case about whether the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association has ever functioned or actually been in place to function as an entity.

I want to show you here a document dated December 8, 2010, a special meeting of the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association was called for the purpose of discussion of Deerfield Resort property and building restrictions. Restriction number 13 states that no building shall be located on any side line closer than ten feet. That's kind of what we call a setback. And on behalf of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., Madeline Fields signs as Vice President and Secretary. There's an indication that it was prepared by Robert, is that Newhall?

A Yes.
Q Is that an attorney?
A No, he's a homeowner.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Page 364} \\
\hline & Q & And then there is a place that appears \\
\hline 2 & to be notarized; i & is that Carol Troutman? \\
\hline 3 & A & Yes. \\
\hline 4 & Q & Is that the wife of one of the \\
\hline 5 & Troutman attorneys & \\
\hline 6 & & She was -- actually yes, Andy's wife. \\
\hline 7 & Q & Andy's wife? \\
\hline 8 & A & Uh-huh. \\
\hline 9 & & Have you seen this before? \\
\hline 10 & & In the court filings. \\
\hline 11 & & This was for 296 Little Buck Lane, \\
\hline 12 & correct? & \\
\hline 13 & A & Correct. \\
\hline 14 & Q & And then there was a separate one on \\
\hline 15 & that same day for & a separate parcel which was 310 Little \\
\hline 16 & Buck Lane; do you & see that? \\
\hline 17 & A & I do. \\
\hline 18 & Q & And have you seen that one in the \\
\hline 19 & litigation? & \\
\hline 20 & A & Yes. \\
\hline 21 & Q & They appear to have been recorded by \\
\hline 22 & the designations up & up at the top? \\
\hline 23 & A & Yes. \\
\hline 24 & Q & Do you remember anything about these \\
\hline 25 & transactions? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A Very vaguely. I just think they were neighbors and they had both built garage apartments and they were both too close to the road.

Q And variances were given to them?
A Yes.
Q Through the name of the Homeowners Association, Inc.?

A Yes.
Q And were you present when this
occurred?
A I do not believe I was present for this.

Q Do you remember discussing it with your mother or anyone else?

A I don't remember discussing this.
Q No reason though to doubt that it
happened?
A Correct. But this party is deceased, but his wife is still living and so she would know for sure.

MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make that the next collective exhibit, the two together.

COURT REPORTER: 36.
(Collective Exhibit No. 36, filed.)

Q Here's another one very similar, different property. I think Big Pine Point, that's different than what we just looked at, right?

A Yes, that's correct, this is different.

Q This is July 18, 2013, a special meeting of the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association was called for purpose of discussion of the building restrictions, again in reference to the ten foot setback side line requirement and indication that this property at 243 Big Pine Point, LaFollette, has the garage located less than ten feet from the line and a variance was granted; do you see that?

A I do.
Q Signed by your mother?
A Yes.
Q Now, this one I don't have any
indication that it was recorded. It may have been, but what I found here it does not have a recorded stamp on it; do you know if it was you recorded?

A I do not.
Q
Do you have any reason to question this variance and your mother's signature on it?

A That looks like her signature.
Q All right. Any recollection about it
```

    at all?
    ```

A No.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make that the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 37.
(Exhibit No. 37, filed.)
Q Did the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. ever own a liquor license?

A There was a -- the restaurant had a private club license when it was opened and I saw in one of the court filings that that was in the name of the Homeowners Association.

Q I'll show you a document and a membership card. The document appears to be over the signature of Paul. Does that look like your father's signature?

A Yes, it does.
Q
It says Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. intends to seek a license from the Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission to sell alcoholic beverages to its members and guests for consumption on the club's premises at the restaurant of Deerfield, 7893 Deerfield Way, LaFollette, Tennessee. In the event you receive a questionnaire from the Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission, please contact

1 the Deerfield office at, and it gives two telephone numbers, so that we may assist you in completing the questionnaire in compliance with the Federal Regulations Commission. Your cooperation is appreciated.

Now, do you have any knowledge about this communication?

A My recollection of this license was -this was, of course, back in the day when it was almost impossible to get a liquor license, especially in a rural county like Campbell County, and there was an extremely narrow definition of who would qualify. You could only be a homeowners association and you had to have, I think a golf course was one of the requirements. It was obviously clearly the legislation was tailor made for some other country club that wanted to get a license.

Q Like maybe one our legislators belonged to?

A Exactly. And so we were just trying to follow the very narrow requirements and we had enough of the infrastructure to qualify for it. And so we had no choice but to apply under the Deerfield Homeowners Association name.

Q So it was applied for under the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. name?

A Yes.
Q And it was obtained, the license was obtained in that name?

A Yes, it was.
Q And was held in that name for a period of time?

A Correct.
Q And was it held in that name until the restaurant closed?

A No. Dad sold the property to other owners and they obtained a liquor license. By that point you could do it by other means. And so they obtained one in their own name.

Q So about how long was the liquor license in the name of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc.?

A Ten years maybe, I'm not sure. I'm not sure what year he sold the restaurant to the other people.

Q And liquor was sold in the restaurant?
A Yes.

Q Generated revenue as a result of that?
A In theory it should have, but it did not.

Q Well, the restaurant may not have.

Usually when you sell liquor it generates revenue. A Well, it didn't.

Q Did he give it away?
A I think the bartenders did, yes.
Q Okay. Whatever revenue was generated, who was the recipient of that revenue?

A That would have been the restaurant at Deerfield.

Q And the restaurant at Deerfield was owned by whom?

A That would have been Paul and Madeline.

Q And have you ever seen financial statements or income tax returns related to the restaurant at Deerfield?

A I have not.
Q Do you know if they exist?
A I would not have any clue where to even start looking for that. I would think that those ceased in at least -- I noticed in one of the documents on the filing that Madeline had used 1233 as an address, so that was in 2008. So I am sure that this would be prior to that year.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Q Prior to 2008? } \\
\text { A } & \text { Yes. }
\end{array}
\]

Q
All right. The Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission is a state agency and to the extent that an application was made for a liquor license back in that time, or even today, that's where you go to submit your application.

A Okay.
Q And once an application is submitted they may conduct an investigation and typically do into the background of the proprietors or principals of the entity that's seeking the license. Did you have anything to do with any of that?

A I worked with Joe Coker to submit the application and he gave us guidance on how to fill it out. I don't remember any of the details at this point.

Q Did you have any understanding, by any means, back at that time that the Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission might reach out to those persons who were deemed to be members of the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. to make inquiry or questions about them about the purposes and intentions of seeking a liquor license?

A Just by reading this letter.
Q Okay. Did you help compose this letter?

A It doesn't look like I did. It was on
a typewriter. I would guess that Madeline probably put this together.

Q And if a homeowner of Deerfield was reached out to by the Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission, they might be asked questions and with two, three, four or five hundred homeowners at that point, I don't know what the number was, you might have some people that didn't have a clue of how to properly answer them. So I understand this communication basically saying to those homeowners, if you're contacted as a member of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. by the Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission, let us know and we'll assist you in getting the answers to them; is that kind of what this says?

A I'm not really clear on the question.
Q The purpose of the letter seems to be,
if you receive a questionnaire from the Tennessee Alcoholic Beverage Commission, contact the Deerfield office so that we may assist you in answering it, right?

A It sounds like yes, if they thought they needed help in completing it that they should contact them.

Q Then it says something that perplexes me a bit. It says in compliance with the Federal Regulations Commission. First of all, I'm not aware of
a Federal Regulations Commission. Secondly, typically the federal government doesn't play a role in the issuance of alcoholic beverage licenses. Do you know what caused that reference to Federal Regulations Commission?

A I do not. I don't know anything about that.

Q Or what it was that your father was seeking to have compliance with?

A I do not.
Q
Okay. And then behind that is, or with that is this sheet. It's got the membership card on it, and part of the deal at that time was that you had to be a member of the organization to be able to buy the liquor, correct?

A Yes.
Q And so here we have a membership card that was going to Dr. Timothy and Martha Lewis and it says that there is full membership in Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. Based on your involvement, was it your understanding that only members of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. would be able to buy liquor?

A No.
Q Anyone could come and buy liquor once

1 the license was obtained?

2

A They still had to become a member. They could buy a membership, and so a lot of local people did, guests for the weekend would. So it was much more than just Deerfield residents.

Q I got you. So if I lived in Knoxville and I was spending the weekend up there in somebody's home, or \(I\) was in Knoxville and just driving through for a visit and I decided I wanted a beverage of alcoholic nature, \(I\) would go by the restaurant, buy a membership, and then I could drink away?

A Exactly. But the card would look the same.

MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make that the next exhibit, please, and that can be collective with those two documents.

Do you want to stop at that point?
MR. HAWKINS: I think we should.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 38.
(Collective Exhibit No. 38, filed.)
VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off. The
time on the camera is 6:12.
FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
PAULA RAE LEJEUNE

Sworn to before me when
taken June 27, 2023.

\section*{Notary Public}

My Commission expires: 7-2-2024
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