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The continued deposition of PAULA RAE

LEJEUNE, called as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, for purposes of discovery, pursuant to the applicable sections of Tennessee Rules of Civil Procedure, taken by agreement on June 28, 2023, before Todd Humble, Licensed Court Reporter and Notary Public, at the law office of Lewis Thomason, 620 Market Street, Suite 5, Knoxville, Tennessee, pursuant to the stipulation of counsel.

## STIPULATIONS

It being agreed that Todd Humble,

Licensed Court Reporter and Notary Public, may swear the witness, report the deposition in machine shorthand, afterwards reducing the same to typewriting.

All objections except as to the form of the question are reserved to on or before the hearing.

It being further agreed that all
formalities as to notice, caption, certificate,
transmission, et cetera, including the reading of the
completed deposition by the witness and the signature of the witness, are expressly waived.

VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay, we're on the record. The time on the camera is 9:51. PAULA RAE LEJEUNE, called as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, having first been duly sworn, was examined and deposed as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. HURLEY:
Q Good morning.
A Good morning.
Q How are you today?
A Fine, thank you.
Q We had a day of depositions yesterday, and one of the things that I like to do when depositions run from one day to the next is to give you the opportunity, if you would like, or if you feel that you need to, was there any question that I asked yesterday, or answer that you gave to those questions yesterday, that as you had a chance to reflect on them last night, this morning, that the thoughts crossed your mind, well, I wish I had said, or I needed to have said, or I need to add this to clarify that? I'm not suggesting that there is. I'm just giving you the opportunity if that thought has crossed your mind.

A Sure. There's a lot of things I'd like
to say, but nothing in particularly specific to what we discussed yesterday.

Okay. Well, when we come to the end today, assuming that we've got time, and I'm going to try to finish with you and your brother both today --

A Okay.
Q -- I will do my best to give you a bit of time to address that.

A Okay.
Q I don't know how much time it will be, but we'll try our best to accommodate and let you say whatever you would like to say to us in that regard.

One of the things that we talked about yesterday was this account with First Volunteer Bank. You told me that the account with First Volunteer Bank that we were talking about was an account that was for the condo association actually.

A For Deer Lake Condominiums.
Q For Deer Lake Condominiums, okay. When we obtained the records to that account, the account name was Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association.

A Yes.
Q That was the name on the account. Let me hand you a copy of the account information form. It's a two page document from that bank and it bears various
signatures that were made on July the 8 , 2019, which $I$ think is about the time that that account was set up; have you ever seen this before?

A I'm sure I signed it, that's my signature.

Q
So on the first page there is a place for signature of Madeline Fields, Madeline C. Fields, and I think what the bank had intended was that she was to sign on the top line and put her office or position on the line where it says its, but she signed on that line, which is no problem. She has still signed it. And then you signed right below her, correct?

A Yes.
Q And then on the opposite side of those signatures on this account information form with First Volunteer Bank is a signature line for Raymond Scott Fields. That looks like to me some type of stamped signature, is it?

A Yes, it is.
Q And would you have been the person that placed the stamp signature on there?

A No, it was not me.
Q Would your mother have been the person that did that?

A Probably, I would assume it would have
been her.
Q Okay. And then right below that is a signature line for Marc Lejeune and does that appear to be his signature?

A Yes, it does.
Q
Do you recall you, your mother, and your husband being at the bank to sign this or did they send this form over and people signed it at different times?

A I think they sent it out and we signed it at the office.

Q Okay. Do you recall signing it together or do you recall all of you signed it at different times?

A $\quad$ I don't recall.
Q To the best of your knowledge, would it have been with the authority and with the consent of your brother that his stamp signature was placed on this form?

A Yes.
MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's make that
the next exhibit, please. What are we up to?
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 39.
(Exhibit No. 39, filed.)
Q Now that -- let me hand it back to her just one second. I should have asked you this while you
had it. That form shows under the account title and address, it says Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, this is up near the top, 1235 Deerfield Way, LaFollette, Tennessee 37766-6620, correct?

A Yes.
Q And then below that where it says business entity information, there it has the same name and the same address, Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association with the address below that, correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay.
A The one thing that doesn't make any sense to me is why this is in 2019 because I closed this account out in that timeframe, in '19 or ' 20 . So I don't understand, unless maybe just from the very beginning -it says resolution date. I don't understand the 2019, because I closed this account and opened up a different condo checking account. And so I do not understand the '19 date, unless from the beginning of the account maybe they just never got the signature cards for us and maybe, at that point, they just realized in their records they didn't have it.

Q I've actually got the checking records that were obtained from this bank and I think I've given a copy to counsel of those in the past. I don't think I

```
    brought them today. I don't know the answer to your
    question.
    A Okay.
    Q I can tell you, having represented
    banks in the past, sometimes they will discover during a
    state or federal banking examination --
```

    A Yes.
    Q -- that they don't have certain
    documents that they should have and they will scramble to
    get those while the examiners are there.
    A Okay.
    Q That's a possibility.
    A Okay.
    Q As to the dating, I don't recall. My
    recollection was that the records that we got, and I may
    be wrong, I'll let Preston know, but my recollection was
    that the records that we got showed that account being
    open somewhere in the '16 range.
    A Exactly.
    Q And running up to `19 or `20.
    A That would seem closer to my
    recollection when \(I\) closed it out.
    Q Now, I don't remember seeing anything
        in the records that we got that showed the account being
        closed.
    A Okay.
Q But that may have been just based on the timing of the subpoena that Mr. Pryor had served.

A Okay. I'm sure at some point it would have showed a zero balance in the records that you have because I don't recall doing anything in that account in 2020, but I would have to go back and look at the statements.

Q Sure, understood, okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Here's another copy over here, just an extra copy.

MR. HURLEY: Okay.
Q In conjunction with that document is the actual resolution $I$ believe that it makes reference to and we have that as well here. I have handed to you now a document titled Resolution Association or Organization. It's under a letterhead type designation up at the top, insignia of First Volunteer Bank, business entity, name, and address, Deerfield Condo Owners Association, d/b/a Deerfield Lake Condominiums. The date of this resolution is May 13, 2020, and it shows a checking account number and a tax I.D. number. Now this is different from the form that we just looked at, right?

A Well, it still says Deerfield Resort
Homeowners. So is this when -- is this maybe, this is
when I opened the new checking account and maybe that's why the date is -- this is when we transitioned from saying that it was -- because $I$ think it was causing us problems, that it said homeowners, not condos, and I think that's why we changed it. So that's -- I'm trying to find where you said it says condos.

Q Right under business entity name.
A Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Hold on a minute.
MR. HURLEY: Are they different?
MR. HAWKINS: Yeah, these are not the same document. MR. HURLEY: Okay, sorry. MR. HAWKINS: That's all right. MR. HURLEY: What I've probably done -MR. HAWKINS: The one that I've got -this is the one from 2020, that one is from 2019 .

MR. HURLEY: All right. Then let's do this. We'll go ahead and enter the one that I gave you, Preston, the one that's got the d/b/a Deer Lake Condominiums. MR. HAWKINS: Okay. MR. HURLEY: We're going to use that one and we'll make some extra copies here shortly.

I've just got a couple of questions for her. MR. HAWKINS: Okay, sure.

Q You see what I was talking about now?
A Yes.
All right. I think the one that you
had may have been the previous resolution back in '19. th
This one is dated May 13 , 2020, correct?
A Okay. Yes, it is.
Q And this would seem perhaps to conform
to the explanation that you were giving, that at some point you went back in and changed the account --

A Yes.
Q -- is my understanding, correct?
A Correct.
Q Because you were having some problems the way the account was originally set up?

A Right.
Q And the account as originally set up which simply said Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, that account had been used or operated with for a few years, correct?

A Repeat that question.
Q The account that was originally set up as Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, at least on the bank's documentation and on the checks --

A Yes.
Q -- had been used for a few years?
A Right, for the condos or -- at least I think around 2016.

Your testimony to me yesterday was
that, to the best of your knowledge, all of the
transactions in that account had actually been for condominium purposes.

A Correct.
Q And not for Deerfield Resort Homeowners
Association business.
A Correct.
Q All right. So this would suggest to you then that at least somewhere perhaps in the May 2020 timeframe, you went in and made that correction?

A That's correct.
Q Do you know if the account number -- it looks to me like the account number probably even changed at that time; is that your recollection?

A It seems like it did because I remember during discovery $I$ found the last -- the end of the bank statements for that number.

Q And on that last bank statement did the account show as actually zeroing out?

A The best I can remember, yes.
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MR. HURLEY: Okay, all right. Let's make that document the next exhibit and then we can make a couple of extra copies of that when we get a chance.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 40.
(Exhibit No. 40, filed.)
The next document, and because of what we just encountered I've only got one copy of it, is the 2019 Resolution on the original account. That's the one you were holding a few minutes ago, I believe.

A Okay.
Q All right. And that 2019 Resolution is in the original name, the way the account was set up, correct?

A Yes.
Q Does that one bear signatures of the officers of the corporation?

A Well, again, this would have been in reference to the fact that it was a condo because I'm not clearly the president of the Fields Development or the Deerfield Resort HOA. So they've had me sign this for the condominiums. And I think that maybe the bank was questioning that they were condo checks and that they must have needed me to come in and clarify that, because I would not have said I was president of anything to do
with Deerfield Resort, Fields Development, or the Deerfield HOA. I'm not -- even now I'm not, Scott is.

Q So the document you're holding in your hand now that we're about to make the next exhibit, which will be 41, is a document that technically was in error to be used?

A If I'm saying that I'm president of any, it would have to have been for the condos.

Q All right. Because you've never been president of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc.?

A Exactly.
Q Have you ever been president of Fields Development?

A Never.
Q Okay.
A $\quad$ Scott is now.
Q And has Scott been president of that entity, Fields Development Company, Inc. since your mother passed?

A Only since she passed.
Q Only since she passed?
A Yes.
Q Prior to that your mother was the president?

A And prior to that --
Q Your father was?
A Dad would have been, yes.
MR. HURLEY: Okay. So let's make the document that is the 2019 Resolution, the one that we think ultimately got corrected, the next, Exhibit 41.
(Exhibit No. 41, filed.)
Q A few things $I^{\prime \prime m}$ doing here are just to clean up some things that we talked about yesterday, okay?

A Okay.
Q I'm going to hand to you and your
counsel next a copy of a letter, a short one, from the Campbell County Highway Department. It's addressed to th the Property Assessor's Office. It's dated June the 7 , 2021. It's signed by Don Dilbeck, the Road Superintendent; do you know Ron?

A $\quad$ Not personally.
Q You know of him?
A I know of him.
Q It says, "Dear Mr. Partin: The Campbell
County Highway Department considers Deerfield Resort a private gated community and we do no maintenance and repair work to the roads in that community. If you
should need any additional information, please let me know. Sincerely, Ron Dilbeck" D-i-l-b-e-c-k. Have you seen this letter before?

A I have not.
Q Do you know the purpose or reason that Ron Dilbeck would have been sending this letter to Brandon Partin, $P-a-r-t-i-n$, of the Property Assessor's Office for Campbell County?

A No. I'm not aware of any discussion that would have prompted a letter like this.

Q With that being said, do you agree with the indication? It's referenced as a consideration. Do you agree that Deerfield Resort is a private gated community?

A Yes.
Q And do you agree that the Campbell
County Highway Department does no maintenance or repair work to the roads in the Deerfield community?

A Yes.
Q So the content of this letter you essentially agree with?

A I do.
Q You were just unaware of it?
A Correct.
MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that the
next exhibit.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 42.
(Exhibit No. 42, filed.)
Q One of the great things about living in
East Tennessee is we have four seasons. One of the bad things about living in East Tennessee can be that we have four seasons. And the changing of seasons can require significant changes in the temperature and in the amount of moisture that we get in the form of rain, or even sometimes snow, which can be hard on roads.

You told me yesterday that the last time, to your recollection, the roads were totally resurfaced or repaved you believed to be in 2013, correct?

A To the best that $I$ have found or seen or can remember.

Q Since then you indicated that there had been some patching, both in very specific areas, probably for potholes, but in some sections of the roadway that has occurred, correct?

A Correct.
Q What is the condition of the roads now as you would -- you drive it, how would you characterize the condition of the roads?

A I would say they definitely need
patching. I don't know whether or not they're to the point that they need repaving, but I would say there's definitely streets that need repair work done.

Q In light of that, do you have any idea the extent to which you think that repair work needs to be accomplished?

A I do not. That's not something I would've ever been involved with.

Q That would be more of a Scott question?
A Yes.
Q Okay. To the extent that we had, let's say coming up in 2023, ' 24 winter, a bad winter, and to the extent that that winter was hard on the roads and there was a need for a significant repaving or resurfacing, not maybe of the entire 18 miles of roads in Deerfield, but a significant portion of it; what would you do?

A At that point we would have a board and it would be the board's decision.

Q Well, we hope we will, right?
A Well, we hope so.
Q And it would be the board's decision of what to do?

A Correct.
Q And how to do it?

A That's correct.
Q And where to find the money to do it
with?
A That's correct.
Q Has there ever been a program in place at Deerfield, to your knowledge, that undergoes a periodic, maybe it's annual, maybe it's bi-annual, maybe it's every five years, analysis or survey or evaluation of the roads and their need for repair?

A To my knowledge there's been nothing formally done, but $I$ know that my father drove the roads every day and that would have been his assessment.

Q And whose assessment would it be now?
A At this point without a board, it would be Scott's.

Q Has he had any discussions with you about his, first of all, perceptions as to the need for any significant resurfacing or repair of the roads?

A Not for resurfacing. He is just
continually mentioning that he's trying to do the repair himself or he's trying to get the repair done, that he keeps the material with him to do the patch work. I'm aware of his ongoing attempt at maintenance of what we have.

Are you aware of any projection or plan
as to when resurfacing of the roads is anticipated?

A No, I am not.
Q When you say he keeps the material or the things necessary to do the work, he does that work himself or directs it to be done?

A Both. He would either do it himself or he would have some of the grounds crew do it.

Q Is there any process that has been used in the past to your knowledge where there is a program in place, a policy, a protocol that's in place for the periodic budgeting of money for road surface work?

A There's never been anything assessed in the security and maintenance that would have been designated for extra work. When she did -- from what I found, and I believe it was 2013, she just did an additional assessment or a request. She called it voluntary. She said again, this is voluntary, you don't have to do it, but we hope you will, and she just asked for people at that point to pitch in something extra, I think was her words.

Q Did people do that?
A Some people did. I don't -- I remember seeing the sheet of who didn't pay and, of course, all the usual names were on there. But I would say -- I couldn't even venture a guess about what percentage of
the homeowners chipped in.
Q Or how much was raised from the homeowners to do it with?

A Right. I guess the best information I saw was what you had yesterday we discussed that were on the --

Q On some of those exhibits?
A Exactly.
Q All right. And in that regard, when Scott does work -- and I'll ask him later today too, but I'm just looking at how it's done within the organizations.

A Okay.
Q When Scott does work on the roads for patching or resurfacing some section or portion, or a pothole, or whatever the case may be, how is that paid for?

A For the most part, I assume it's coming through -- I've seen invoices coming through the security and maintenance. I would also assume Scott has probably purchased quite a bit himself that he's never asked for reimbursement for.

Q Does he keep up with that?
A He does, but he also tends to just not ask for the money back.

Q
Does he keep up with it in an organized way? In other words, there's a journal or there is a computer entry, or something that regularly keeps up with what he contends that he's incurring as costs for those road projects.

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form. You can answer, if you know.

A I don't know his method.
Q Okay. Has he ever shown you any type of ongoing projection of the cost for the roads?

A He has not shown me that.
Q Or the maintenance of the roads?
A No.
Q Okay.
MR. HURLEY: We marked the Campbell
County letter, right?
COURT REPORTER: Yes.
Q Just as a matter of kind of
housekeeping and developing a full record here, I want to show you a copy of what has been obtained from the State of Tennessee, being the State of Tennessee Department of State Certificate approving the formation of Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc.

And then on the next page we find the Articles of Incorporation of Deerfield Resort Homeowners

Association, Inc. which continues on for about four pages. It bears, at the end of the document on the last page, the signature of Paul R. Fields; do you recognize his signature on that last page?

A Yes.

Q And there is also a notarization of
that signature there indicating that Andy Troutman notarized his signature; do you see that?

A $\quad$ I do.
Q And it indicates that his signature was
placed on the document. Now here you've got to go back to your dad's signature, the 1 day of March, 1985 --

A $\quad 86$.
st
The 1 day of March, 1985, have you seen this --
MR. HAWKINS: Six.
I'm sorry, '86, '86. Have you seen
this document before?
A Yes, I have.
Q Do you believe that this is in fact the Certificate and the original Articles of Incorporation?

A $\quad$ I do.
MR. HURLEY: Let's make that the next
exhibit, please.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 43.
(Exhibit No. 43, filed.)
Q Next I want to show you a document that's called a filing information form that we have obtained from the Tennessee Secretary of State regarding Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc. and, Ms. Lejeune, these forms typically are just a number of line items with dates and what happened. They don't go into any detail or narrative. They just say what forms were filed and when.

Have you seen a document like this before or have you seen something like this on the -- any pulling up of the State of Tennessee website?

A I don't recall seeing something like this.

Q All right. It shows on there back at the inception -- go back to the third page. Just as we saw a few minutes ago, it shows mail address, initial filing, 4/15/86; do you see that at the bottom of that --

A Yes, I do.
Q All right. Then it goes from there, there have been various times when the principal address has been changed. There have been I think one or two changes of the name of the registered agent for the corporation. And as you go forward, back then on the second page and work your way up from the bottom, it also
designates and shows each year that the annual report has been filed; do you see that?

A I do.
Q I note, in particular, that it appears
 there are some years that the forms appear to be filed and then from about ' 91 there is -- on the top of the third page there is an annual report update at the top of the third page, July 29, 1991. And then as you come to the next page what you see is an annual report update on nd
April 2 , 1997, and then it goes to '99 and then appears to be regular all the way up to the present from there as best I can see and read on this form; would you agree with that?

A Yes.
Q It shows a change in the name of the registered agent of the corporation and a change of the address of the registered agent occurring in 2021 when Mr. Reams was named to that position, correct?

A Correct.
Q And then it shows annual reports being filed in 2022 and 2023. Have you actually filed those reports or has Mr . Reams done so?

A He directed me to do those.
Q Okay. So you did them at his
instruction?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Actually $I$ think the court directed that.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
MR. HURLEY: Okay, that's fine.
Q Has one -- yes, one has been filed for 2023. So we're up to date on that as best you know?

A Correct.

Q All right. And I know you weren't necessarily involved in this on a day to day basis back in the 990 , but do you have any reason to disagree with anything on here? You have recognized certain things and certain dates. Do you have any -- do you see anything that you disagree with on this form?

A To thoroughly look at it, it might take me a few minutes, but so far just a review of it $I^{\prime} m$ not seeing anything that jumps out as incorrect.

Q There's nothing hidden about my
question. There's nothing that $I^{\prime} m$ trying --
A Okay.
Q -- to do. I'm just asking if this would appear to be, at least to the dates that you're aware of, accurate?

A Yes.
MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that as the next exhibit.

MR. HAWKINS: I think we need to make that for I.D. since she said she hadn't seen it before.

MR. HURLEY: No problem.
MR. HAWKINS: Okay, thank you.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 44 for
identification.
(Exhibit No. 44, filed for I.D.)
Q One of the other things that we found as we looked in the documentation up in Campbell County at the Register's Office was an easement declaration. st It's dated the 1 day of September 1988. It indicates that Fields Development, Inc. is the declarant of this easement and on page two it bears the signature line of Madeline Fields, Vice President of Fields Development Company, Inc., indicating that she has signed it on the day and year first above written, which again is September 1, 1988; do you know what this is?

A I do not.
Q Have you seen it before?
A No.
Q It indicates in the section on the
first page, and $I^{\prime} m$ going to try to kind of point to help orient you to what $I^{\prime} m$ about to ask about.

A Okay.
Q Where it says situated --
A Okay.
Q -- in District 2 of Campbell County and being a permanent nonexclusive easement for ingress and egress upon and over the road as depicted on the plat attached hereto as Exhibit A, said road providing access to the tract shown on the plat attached as Exhibit A hereto. And then it makes reference to a deed of 1985 from Edwin Chapman and wife to Fields Development, Inc., and appears to grant an easement. And when I look over at the third -- the fourth page there is a drawing, kind of a survey type depiction of some property there; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And when I look at that it appears that
there is a road that is referenced in it and it looks like to me that what it is doing there is granting an easement over another portion of property that runs kind of contiguous with the road, but the road is Deerfield Way main road?

A Yes.
Q Does that give you any reason to know
what this is about?

A This looks to me to be Antlers Way.
Q Okay.
A And I'm not sure what they' re saying in the easement, but $I$ do know that that road was going through individual lots. So $I^{\prime} m$ wondering if this is somehow granting themselves easement to have the main -one of the tributary roads a secondary road coming across other people's lots, but that's just an assumption.

Q Do you have any recollection of Fields Development acquiring property from Edwin Chapman and wife, Gertie, G-e-r-t-i-e, Chapman?

A Yes. That is what I referenced yesterday, but the original purchase of Deerfield from Sam Claiborne did not go all the way to the entrance gate. That was a separate parcel. So I don't know what the situation was, if Mr. Claiborne had an easement to get into his acreage, but $I$ do know that -- and apparently this was very early on, it's saying 1985. But they bought that additional section of acreage from Mr. Chapman and that's what we would consider from the entrance gate and the golf course parcel coming into Deerfield.

Q Now, we talked a little about that entrance area yesterday and just in very general terms
you told me that if $I^{\prime} m$ entering Deerfield on the left to the property off the roadway, but adjacent to the roadway is owned by someone else.

A Another individual.
Q An individual that's unaffiliated officially with you or with Fields Development or with Deerfield Resort?

A That's correct.
Q And that property -- I want to make sure. That property of that individual is not owned -that property owned by that individual is not part of Deerfield Resort?

A That is correct.
Q Does this have anything to do, as best you can tell in looking at this drawing, with that entrance area?

A No. This is further in, about a mile in.

Q That's what I thought. So are there any -- to your knowledge, are there any problems with the entrance area to the resort as far as Deerfield Resort or any of its entities, Fields Development, in particular, owning what is needed to be owned to get proper entrance to the resort?

A I'm not aware of any problems with it.

We have in the past asked that individual either -- for additional space. I believe my uncle actually tried to buy some from him and at the time he was unwilling, but it has been attempted in the past, just to have a little better access, a little turnaround space there a little easier, something like that.

Q Right.
A It's at a crossroads, so people do just back up and turn around in those crossroads.

Q So the discussions, to the extent that you've just referenced them, was perhaps not necessarily to acquire all of the property owned by the adjacent property owner, but to acquire some to give you a little bit of room to expand that entrance area?

A Exactly.
Q I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, but $I$ think I'm following what you're saying.

A Yes.
Q And the adjoining owner has not been agreeable to that to this point?

A That's correct. And I don't know what amount was discussed, if that was the issue. I'm not sure what the reason was. I just know he attempted it and it didn't.

In your view, and I'll ask your brother
later, would that be beneficial if it could be broadened or widened a little bit in that entrance area?

A It's a little narrow. It would definitely be helpful and it would probably, if in the future they wanted a gate, I would assume that would be helpful in that respect.

Q All right. Now, on the right side as you enter the property, right off the roadway is owned by whom?

A To my -- the best of my understanding is that is actually owned by the golf course.

Q By the golf course. Have there been any discussions at any time, to your knowledge, of either acquiring part of that ground right off the right side of the entrance from the golf course, or getting some type of easement or additional access to be able to widen the entrance area there at Deerfield?

A I'm not aware of any discussions concerning that directly.

Q Are you aware of any impediments or reasons that that acquisition of some additional space would be a problem for the golf course?

A Yes. I don't think there's any way we could go any further to the right. That's golf course, there's an actual golf course there. So I don't think we
could go any further in that direction.
Q So expansion or widening of that
entrance area, if it occurs, is most likely going to have to be on the left side as you enter?

A To the best of my understanding that's the only option.

Q Okay. What part of the golf course is on the right side? Why would it be difficult to expand anymore on the right side?

A You could ask Scott that question.
Q Okay. You don't know?
A I don't know. I just know it's all golf course.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that document for I.D. purposes the next exhibit, please.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 45 for identification.
(Exhibit No. 45, filed for I.D.)
Q We were talking yesterday about the condos, the villas, and things of that nature and we made a list, and I don't even know that I've got all of that list with me right now, but $I$ want to take you back through those for just one quick second. Any condo projects or villa projects and we can take them in
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whatever order you're comfortable in taking them, and my question is who owns the dirt that the condos or the villas or the tiny homes, or whatever you call them, sit on?

A It depends on the stage of development.
Q Okay.
A But if you look at any of what we would call true condominiums where they're multi-build, multiunits per building, those are all under a master deed and everything that has to do with the Deerfield Condo Owners Association, that is all fully owned at this point in time by the owners of the Association with the exception of two parcels.

Q All right. So let me get some clarification on that, okay?

A Okay.
Q So, first of all, we're talking about the property that would be the condos where we've got multiple units per building?

A Yes.
Q That's what you've referred to as true condos?

A Right.
Q And on that property how many condos are there there?

A
Q or --

A Deerfield Condo Owners Association.

Q Okay. And your indication to me is that the dirt that those buildings -- how many buildings are there?

A Well --
Q Approximately.
A Let's see, approximately 12.
Q
All right. The dirt that those buildings sit on, your indication to me is that that dirt is currently owned by the Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc.?

A For the completed condominiums.
Q For those that have been completed?
A Yes.
Q Now, you mentioned two parcels. You said except for two parcels.

A And actually that should be three.
Q Three parcels?
A Right. There is one parcel that's adjoining what we would call the $A$ and $B$ building. That's actually adjoining the map we just looked at on the previous exhibit.

Q Okay.
A And that is undeveloped. That is owned by Fields Development Company, but it has been submitted to the condo regime.

Q When you say submitted to the condo regime, what do you mean by that?

A It's on the master deed. It's connected to the master deed. So it has been submitted with an amendment. Actually that was the original filing. It was already in -- so that part was not an amendment and it's still owned and retained by Fields Development Company and it's projected that there's still an additional seven condominiums that would be constructed there.

Q Would that be actually an additional
building?
A Yes.
Q A new separate building?
A Correct.
Q That would have seven units in it?
A Yes.
Q But the plan as I'm understanding, if I'm understanding what you're telling me, the plan is that that building and the dirt that that building sits on would become part of the condo regime or, as we refer
to it, Deerfield Condo Owners Association, Inc.?
A Correct.
Q So once that building is completed and
has been sold, the various units, then the Association
would own that dirt and the building?
A Collectively. It would be all of the
owners owning it in kind. They would all own equal
portions. They would own their own unit, but all the
land itself would be in common.
Q And to further classify that, Fields
would cease to have any ownership in it?
A In that particular parcel --
Q Okay.
A -- once it's all conveyed.
Q So that's one of the three parcels?
A Yes.
Q Let's go to the other two.
A There is another parcel that is
immediately above what we call the Deer Lake Condominiums
that we've been talking about and I believe that's a
three acre parcel. I'm not quite sure, 2.56 acres maybe,
and that's also future condo development. That parcel is
owned by Rae Alan Properties.
Q And I believe from yesterday, if I
recall correctly, Rae Alan Properties is a Tennessee --

A LP.
Q -- limited partnership and the limited partners are you and your husband?

A And Lejeune Custom Homes, Inc. The limited partners are myself and Marc and the general partner is Lejeune Custom Homes.

Q Okay. And the plan there on that three acre parcel is for future condo development. Would the plan be the same there, that once the condominium building is built and the units have been sold, that it would be turned over to the regime?

A That's correct.
Q And at that point Rae Alan Properties would cease to have any ownership interest?

A With the last conveyance.
Q Okay. And then parcel three?
A That is the septic treatment plant, it's 3.81 acres. That services the Deer Lake section of condominiums and would also serve as this last parcel we were just discussing.

Q Which was the second of the parcels?
A Yes.
Q So you said it services Deer Lake --
A Condominiums.
Q -- Condominiums. That, since it is the
home of the septic treatment plant, would not subject to further development, any portion of the 3.18 acres?

A $\quad 3.81$.
Q 3.81, sorry. Correct?
A That is correct. It is filed lines and treatment equipment.

Q Next is a document titled Septic Easement Agreement dated June 27, 2020, by and between Robin F., is that Dubois?

A I think it's Dubuc. I'm not sure.
Q Okay. And that is a C, isn't it?
A Uh-huh.
Q Okay, Dubuc, D-u-b-u-c, individually and husband, David Malik --

A Malik.
Q Malik, and others, including a trust as parties of the first part, and Madeline Fields of Campbell County, Tennessee, indicated as party of the second part, and Deerfield Flying Association, Inc., a Tennessee corporation, as the third party; do you see that?

A I do.
Q Have you seen this agreement before?
A I haven't seen it, but I was aware there was a need to do this for someone. I wasn't sure
who.

Q Were you aware that it in fact was done?

A I just knew that someone needed that in order to get a bathroom in their hangar.

Q In their hangar?
A Yes.
Q Okay. This one is dated August the th 19 , 2020?

A Yes.

Q And on the signature page there is actually a signature for Robin, for David, and for Barbara on the first party side. There is a signature line for Madeline as the second party on the last page, and also Madeline as president of the Flying Association on the third page. This has nothing to do with the septic treatment plant that we were just talking about.

A That's correct.

Q This is a separate deal. It's up near the airstrip where the hangars are. It was specifically designed to address one hangar?

A Yes. This would only be for that one particular hangar.

Q Okay. Are each of those hangars on their own separate septic?

A They're supposed to be. My understanding is they would have their own allowance for whatever. Not everyone has a bathroom or water, only a few do.

Q But if they do have a bathroom or water, they would be on a separate septic system or plan per each unit that is so situated?

A Well, that's the way it is for the homes. So I assume that would be the same as for the hangars.

```
    Q You're not aware of any common septic
    system that's serving multiple of those hangars?
    A Not that I'm aware of.
    Q Okay.
    A If there's any difference, Scott may
```

know.

MR. HURLEY: I don't think we need to clutter the record with this one.

MR. HAWKINS: Okay.
MR. HURLEY: I just wanted to clarify and know what that was. Sorry about that.

MR. HAWKINS: No, no, no, that's okay.
MR. HURLEY: You're welcome to keep the copy, but $I^{\prime} m$ not going to make it an exhibit. Q The Register's Office of Campbell

County, Tennessee, when asked what plats are there for Deerfield provides a response to that. It's not a real immediate type response and it requires some work and obtaining documents from within the office, but I'm going to represent to you that we've asked for plats of Deerfield and what we have provided is what I'm handing to your counsel and to you.

I'm going to represent -- and I'm not going to ask you to count it, that's not important, but I think there's 52 separate plats.

A Okay.
Q And I'm providing you here a copy of what we have obtained. I am also going to represent to you that I believe some of these plats are actual recorded plats. Some of these that come from the Office of the Register of Deeds appear to me to be not recorded. They've got them up there at their office. They keep them in a place where they can go find them if they need them, but they don't appear to me to bear a stamp indicating that they've actually been recorded.

Some of these are -- a large number of them are of that type. They don't appear to be recorded. Some of them look to me to be pretty much completed in a way that you would expect to see, but for the absence of any stamps or approvals or recordings, and some of them
look to me to be fairly preliminarily, maybe not what you would need in order to fully record. In other words, some of the documents might need some more work to be done by a surveyor or the preparer of the documents in order to get them to a point that they could be recorded.

First of all, does my indication to you, and as best $I$ can tell these are all 52 separate. I might have missed something or there might be two of them numbered 12 and 38 that are duplicates and I'm just not catching that. That's my best estimate, okay?

A Okay.
Q Does it surprise you that there are 52 separate plats?

A I don't know that it would surprise me one way or the other, just more or less. Q Okay. And by no means am I representing to you -- I'm just telling you this is what we got.

A Okay.
Q Okay.
A All right.
Q There may be others up there that we've not seen or not been provided. This is what we got.

Secondly, let me ask you, would it surprise you that some of these plats that are in that
office are recorded and some of them are not?
A To my knowledge, I don't think that the plats were required to be recorded as long as they have gone -- they couldn't sell any property until it had gone in front of the Planning Commission, and it's my
understanding that's all that was required, was as long as it had Planning Commission approval and I did notice some of these have the signatures on there from that.

Yes, yes, some of them do. Have you ever attended Planning Commission meetings in conjunction with an effort to get some of these plats presented and approved?

A I don't believe I've ever been there for any Deerfield roads except for within the past year. We attended for another developer within Deerfield who was planning to subdivide.

Q Was that the Jollywood?
A Yes, it was.
Q So setting that one aside, you
typically have not attended Planning Commission meetings for the purpose of discussion of or an attempt to obtain approval of plats?

A Correct.
Q It's my understanding your brother Scott is on the Planning Commission?

A Yes.
Q How long has he been on the Planning Commission?

A I don't know.
Q Would it be fair to say that if there
is an issue related to Deerfield Resort that needs
someone from Fields to be present at a Planning
Commission meeting, that that would probably be Scott that would be present?

A Most likely. I mean I could be. I've just never needed to be.

Q Understood. Anyone else that would do it besides Scott?

A At this point, no. It would only be myself or Scott.

Q All right.
A But I would say this, oftentimes anything we need approval for, there is someone within the Crutchfield survey office who presents these maps and divisions or any changes to the Planning Commission. And I do recall that there have been several times he has just represented us and gone there for us, but it could be a different representative from their office.

Q And that would be, it's Tony Crutchfield, right?

A Tony Crutchfield's office.
Q And that's fairly common for the surveyor to go there in the place of the property owner because the surveyor can answer all the technical questions.

A Right.
Q Are you aware of any other surveyors that, at least on behalf of Fields Development or Deerfield Resort as it's referred to, that would have done surveyors and taken them to get them recorded or considered by the Planning Commission?

A In the very earliest days $I$ have seen plats or surveys that said Oxendene or Oxendine on them, maybe even the condo $A$ and $B$ that we were just
discussing. By the way, this is what we were just talking about that's in here that shows the seven unit condos that's on this plat. That's on this particular one, $O-x-e-d--O-x-e-n-d-i-n-e . \quad S o$ think in the very early stages of Deerfield he may have done some of the initial surveys.

Q Is his first name on that one?

A B.E.
Q As in initials?
A Yes, initial B, initial E.
Q B.E. Oxendine?

A Yes.

Q Is that person -- was that person from Campbell County?

A I don't know and I believe he's deceased and $I$ believe that's why they started going with Crutchfield.

Q Understood, thank you for that. As you
thumb through there, anything that you see that is catching your attention or do you --

A Yes, the Marina Ridge that is in here is not Deerfield.

Q Okay. Let's see, how many pages in is that one? I'm sorry $I$ don't have them numbered, but I didn't want to mark them up.

A One, two, three, four -- page ten.
Q Is that --
A It looks like this.
Q Yes. Is it the one that I'm looking at here?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And your indication to me is that that particular survey is not part of Deerfield?

A Yes. That would have been part of the other land to the left that was owned by Chapman.

Q Yes.

A This would have been a portion of that parcel.

Q So it's not part of Deerfield and it has never been part of Deerfield?

A Correct.
Q Any others that you see that would catch your attention as either not being part of Deerfield or of note to you?

While you're looking, this is not to distract you, but I probably should have said when I handed these to you, I think some of these surveys, or plats I should say, may indicate a subdivision of lots within Deerfield by someone other than Fields.

A Correct.
Q I'm not inferring that Fields did all
these plats.
A Okay, thank you for --
Q We just asked what plats do you have within Deerfield.

A $\quad I^{\prime} m$ just trying to identify anything that $I$ recognize that is definitely Deerfield.

Q Right, and that's fine.
A Okay. Some of these are maps that I've lost and wondered if I'd ever find another one again. So that's kind of handy.


A Okay.
Q Or write it anywhere, just as long as you're not writing over something, in any of that white space that you've got.

A (Witness complies with request.)
Q Thank you.
A Okay. This is one of the properties I

1 referenced yesterday, but I said I think they were on --

11 fine. two homes on one lot. This is showing that they did at least take it to the Planning Commission to divide it into two, but the tax records are showing it's one just for reference, but that's what we were talking about yesterday.

Q Okay. I don't know how you need to mark -- do you know the name of the people?

A It's Rick Swihart.
Q Okay. Just circle that and that's

A I'm going to say one or two lots.
Q Great.
THE WITNESS: Can I ask Scott a question?

MR. HURLEY: Sure. If it's okay with your attorney, it's okay with me.

THE WITNESS: H-I-R-S-H, that was the Lane Hall area, is that what they called their subdivision?

MR. FIELDS: I think so. If you will show it to me.

THE WITNESS: It looks like -- this is the side section of it and that looks like the rest of that land on the Lane Hall site.

MR. FIELDS: Yes.

THE WITNESS: This is not Deerfield. The second to the last page says Royal View Estates, Alder Springs Village.

Just going through that quickly I didn't identify anything else in there that wasn't Deerfield.

Q All right. And you made a comment, I wonder if those people, referring to the next to the last one that are not part of Deerfield Village, are being assessed at Deerfield rates. Deerfield rates of the assessments are actually less than the normal property in Campbell County because it is a private community, correct?

A My understanding is Deerfield is taxed at a much higher assessment rate. The percentage is the same for everyone --

Q Right.
A -- the tax rate, but the property values are much higher.

Q As in the appraised values are higher?
A Correct.
Q And is that -- that's interesting. Is that done as a result of a formula or a case by case basis?

A
I don't know what the property assessor uses. I don't know if they just strictly use the sales price of the homes, but there are -- every five years they do a reassessment --

Q Correct.
A -- and they will alter those. So I do not know what formulas they use.

Q But it's your belief, based on what you know or have heard or learned, that a property within Deerfield will be appraised at the higher number than that same property would be if it was outside of Deerfield?

A That's my assumption, based on even adjoining property such as Alder Springs Village. Their property values wouldn't be as high as Deerfield's.

Q What is the rationale for that; do you know?

A Some of it would be sales price, some of it I would assume would be for the amenities that makes it more of a true resort that a lot of these places don't have. It could be also that we're private. The security gated community seems to add to property values from their perspective as well.

And the amenities that you're referring to are the things that are generally referred to as
common. That would be the swimming pool, the playground, the tennis courts --

A The golf course.
Q -- the golf course. Although it's under discussion as to whether it's part of Deerfield, it's there.

A It's an amenity.
Q Right. And I think we talked about this. If we did, $I^{\prime} m$ sorry, but $I^{\prime}$ ve talked to a lot of people and it all runs together after a while. If I'm a property owner at Deerfield, am I considered a member of the golf course?

A No.
Q Do I get any discount in becoming a member of the golf course?

A I don't believe so. I think there is -- I wouldn't even call it a membership. I think you can buy a yearly package with unlimited play, but I don't believe they even sell memberships, but $I^{\prime} m$ not sure about that.

Q And when we say selling memberships, there are some golf courses across the country that are private and you have to belong as a member of the club to get to play or be brought in as a guest. That golf course is a public course, isn't it?

A Oh, Scott's gone.
Q He stepped out.
A Anyone can play. You do not have to be a member to play.

Q I can go play. If $I^{\prime} v e$ got the clubs and $I^{\prime} m$ willing to step out there and the gentleman seated to your left is an avid golfer could go up there, if he's not a member or --

A Correct.
Q -- hasn't bought a package, he could still go play if he makes his time and shows up and hands a credit card over, right?

A Correct, as long as you pay.
Q But as far as you know, folks that live in Deerfield as a resident of Deerfield, you're not aware of any discount or privilege or break that they get related to their play on the course?

A I'm not aware of any. That doesn't mean there may not be something like that. I've never asked, $I^{\prime}$ ve never heard it discussed.

Q What you're telling me is consistent with what $I^{\prime}$ ve heard from other places.

A Okay.
Q So the amenity aspect of that would simply be that it's there and it's very convenient?

commercial properties within Deerfield.
A Okay.
Q And so that you and $I$ can kind of be on the same page, I want to talk about properties that are not residential properties.

A Okay.
Q And I'm not referring to just vacant lots.

A Okay.
Q I'm referring to a lot that has
something on it that's being used that is not residential, okay?

A Okay.
Q So at this time, as we sit here now, what non-residential properties do we have within Deerfield?

A We would have the office, the main office.

Q Now that's the office that Deerfield Resort uses. It used to be a restaurant.

A Correct.
Q It's now been converted. The restaurant is closed and it's no longer functioning whatsoever.

A Correct

|  | Q | And in that same building is now an |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| office. |  |  |
|  | A | That's correct. |
|  | Q | And operating out of that office is |
| Deerfield | Re |  |
|  | A | Yes. |
|  | Q | Fields Development Company, Inc. |
|  | A | Yes. |
|  | Q | Fields Real Estate, Inc. |
|  | A | Yes. |
|  | Q | And what else? |
|  | A | The Deerfield Water System. |
|  |  | The water system. What's the name of |
| that again? |  |  |
|  |  | Scott -- Deerfield Water System, LLC. |
| He also operates a lot of that out of his own home. So I |  |  |
| couldn't say he's a hundred percent operating -- |  |  |
|  |  | And I'll ask him about that. So that's |
| four essentially, and I guess Fields Development Company |  |  |
| and Deerfield Resort are kind of one in the same, but |  |  |
| they may be some different things there. So we've got |  |  |
| four different functions coming out of that building at |  |  |
| this point and I believe there's also a mail and |  |  |
| receiving room? |  |  |
|  | A | That's correct, a package room. |

Q You call it the package room?

A Yes.
Q And that's where, if $I^{\prime} m$ having a FedEx delivery or UPS delivery, that's the place that it can go to or what?

A That's the current dispute, but in general anyone that is not home to receive their package, the packages can be left there.

Q Okay.

A The Post Office can leave packages there, FedEx, UPS. I've seen a DHL truck. I didn't know they were still operating, but any packages.

Q All right. Anything else? We've got Deerfield, Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, Deerfield Water System, and the package room. Anything else operating out of that building?

A That's all that $I$ can think of.

Q Okay. Are all, other than the package room, I assume it doesn't contribute to any cost related to the building, but are all the others contributing to the cost of the building and the equipment and operations that are occurring within?

A In some form or fashion, yes.
Q And when you say in some form or fashion, what do you mean by that?

A For example, we've never charged
Deerfield anything to be in that building, even though its primary function is customer service and taking care of the owners. They may pay a portion of the fees that it cost to operate it. Sometimes they may pay the power, sometimes they may pay part of the phone.

From what $I^{\prime}$ ve seen from looking through the records though, it looks like the actual building costs, there's been no rent charged to Deerfield that $I$ found. The building costs, the insurance, as far as I've seen, is all paid by either Paul and Madeline Fields or Fields Real Estate.

There is in the basement, it's not currently being utilized that much, but there's workout equipment that's intended for the use of the owners if they wanted to.

Q Who owns that building?
A I believe it's Paul and Madeline
Fields.

Q When the building closed as a restaurant, at that time who owned it?

A Before it closed as a restaurant Paul and Madeline sold it to other people who ran it as a restaurant for awhile. Ken Arendt and Jack Schafer, they operated as a restaurant and $I^{\prime} m$ not sure for how many
years, and then they had also at the same time built a restaurant down at the marina called the Tiki Bar. And for a short while they ran both, and then after a while they just moved all operations down to the Tiki Bar. They closed that restaurant down sometime in that timeframe. Afterwards then Paul and Madeline bought the building back from him.

Q All right. When they bought the building back did they have any debt on the building, Paul and Madeline, did they take a mortgage out on the building, to your knowledge?

A I don't know.
Q Are you aware of any debt on the building now?

A No.
Q There's not a payment being made regularly to any bank on a deed of trust?

A No.
Q Does Fields Development pay any rent on the building?

A No rent.
Q Does Fields Real Estate pay any rent on the building?

A I have seen -- through Jack, I've heard but I haven't seen it myself, I guess I should say, I
know there's some rent being paid from some entity and I believe it's Fields Real Estate to Paul and Madeline.

Q Does Deerfield Water System, LLC pay any rent on the building?

A Not that $I^{\prime} m$ aware of.
Q The folks that come in and use the workout equipment, is there any type of membership or cost related to that?

A We just restrict it to Deerfield home owners. We do not open it up to renters. We try to not even allow guests of owners. We try to just let it be owners only.

Q Are owners charged?
A No.
Q Is the estate of Madeline Fields incurring and paying any expenses related to the building or are all those expenses being taken care by one or more of the tenants?

A I'm aware of -- I would have to go back and look at the exact records, but I believe that the bulk of the expense as far as the insurance and the maintenance would be paid for by Madeline, the estate.

Q The estate would be writing the checks for that now?

A
Correct.

Q And incurring those expenses?
A That's correct.
Q Is there any written lease agreement between the estate and any of the parties that are occupying that building now, to your knowledge?

A I would have to go back and search for it. I do know there was some discussion between Jack, the CPA, and Madeline to that effect, but I'm not sure what they discussed or what they did.

Q Who is the personal representative or the personal representatives of the estate of your mother?

A That would be myself and Scott.
Q Joint, co?

A Yes. Correct.
Q All right. So that's the office
building?
A Yes.
Q Other buildings that would be nonresidential or uses would be nonresidential within Deerfield?

A In the same area as the main office is the Deerfield rental office.

Q Is it on a separate parcel?
A Yes, it is.

Q Who owns that parcel?
A Her name is Marjorie Duvall,
D-u-v-a-l-l, but $I$ don't know if she owns it in her name or if she owns it in an LLC or corporate name.

Q One building?
A Yes.
Q What is it used for?
A Rentals, property management in
Deerfield.
So, if I'm from away from here and I am
looking for a place to rent for a week, or a month, or weekend, and my eyes settle in on the Deerfield area and I decide to rent up there, there are homes within Deerfield that are on the rental program?

A There are some on the rental program and then some are rented privately or some are rented maybe through other property management companies.

So the Deerfield rental office, is that the one that handles property owners who are not renting direct but have reached an agreement for their properties to be rented through this rental office?

A Correct.
Q And who operates that rental program?
A That would be -- her name is Margie Duvall, but $I$ don't know if she has a legal company name.

Q So she manages those rentals for those that ask her to do so?

A That's correct.
Q And I would assume she charges for her service in doing that?

A I would assume so.
Q And then remits to the property owners whatever the net is after her charge comes out?

A Correct.
Q Other nonresidential uses?
A Officially there's, of course, the
Deerfield Marina that we were just discussing. That is owned currently by, I believe it's Brian Costello and, I don't know Joe's last name.

MR. FIELDS: Whited.
THE WITNESS: Whited, W-h-i-t-e-d.
Q Joe?
A Whited.
Q Spell that one more time.
A $W-h-i-t-e-d$. And then again $w e^{\prime} v e$ discussed we're not sure if the golf course is Deerfield or not.

Q That would be owned by your Uncle Jim and his wife?

A
Correct.

| That |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| the golf course and also the dirt, the real estate, that it sits on? |  |
|  |  |
| A | Yes. |
| Q | And he operates under the name The |
| Greens? |  |
| A | The Greens at Deerfield. |
| Q | At Deerfield. |
| A | And I believe that's an LLC. |
| Q | Okay. |
| A | And then there would be another |
| commercial dock in Deerfield is the Deer Hill Marina. |  |
| Q | Who owns it? |
| A | It's jointly owned by PARC Properties |
| and Vince and Pamela Karsteter. |  |
|  | COURT REPORTER: Can you spell that |
| last name? |  |
|  | THE WITNESS: K-a-r-s-t-e-t-e-r. |
| Q | And what were their first names? |
| A | Vince and Pamela. |
| Q | Do they live in Deerfield? |
| A | They have property in Deerfield. |
| Q | Where do they actually reside? |
| A | Indiana. |
| Q | And, of course, there can be a marina |

business and there can be the underlying dirt and TVA can come into play in that. I take it that Deer Hill Marina business is owned jointly by PARC Properties and the Karsteters?

A Yes.
Q Is the dirt that the marina sits on and may actually sit over some water, but is it -- who owns that?

A It sits in front of the Deer Hill Village that we looked at the picture of it yesterday, but the dirt that is owned that the permit is licensed to is owned by PARC Properties.

Q You said it's licensed to PARC Properties by TVA?

A By TVA.
Q But that license is held by PARC
Properties?
A I believe the license is officially the Deer Hill Marina.

Q Is that an entity?
A Yes.
Q LLC or --
A It's an LLC.
Q Okay. And I take it that Deer Hill
Marina, LLC is what is jointly owned by PARC Properties
and the Karsteters?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And I believe we said yesterday or discussed yesterday that PARC Properties is owned by you and your husband?

A Yes, that is correct.
And the two of your are 50/50?
A Yes.
Q All right. Other non-residentials?
A
The parcel that we looked at, the photo
yesterday that you were asking if it was common land, that was another set of boat slips in Deer Hill, in the Deer Hill section. That is confusing, but it is not the same as the Deer Hill Marina. Those are -- I don't know if you would classify that as commercial or not. The permit for that is residential and it is owned just in the estate of Madeline Fields and that is only for the residents of the Deer Hill section and homes that are in that area.

Q Let me make sure I've got that right. The boat slips at Deer Hill, we looked at a photo yesterday which showed a strip of property kind of coming down and then kind of fans out at the water and there's some slips there --

A Yes.

Q -- that are under cover.
A Yes.
Q Though that land, that dirt, is owned by the estate of your mother?

A Yes.
Q
And it is permitted as a residential
property?
A That's correct. The other two marinas are permitted as commercial.

Q Right. And only the residents of Deer Hill have access or use to those slips?

A Technically. I can't say that one or two don't ever slip in or out, but it's only for Deer Hill.

Q Okay. And how many residents are there at Deer Hill?

A I don't know.
Q How many units at Deer Hill approximately?

A I would have to look at a map. I would say 30,25 to 30.

Q How many slips?
A Twenty-eight.
Q Twenty-eight. So almost enough to take care of the population, right?

A Uh-huh.

Q Other properties?
A There is the Georgia storage area that's owned by Regents, I believe, properties. That is dry storage, trailer parking.

Q How large is that area or that parcel?
A I don't recall.
Q Okay. Do you know how many units there are on it?

A I do not.
Q Next, any other properties?
A That's all that's coming to mind. I'm not saying $I$ haven't forgotten something, just going by memory.

Q Is there a commercial building or structure that is in progress or under construction now?

A Not that $I$ recall.
Q If you think of any other commercial structures, can you let me know?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I was talking to you earlier today about condos and the condo buildings sits on dirt and who owns the dirt; did we cover all of them?

A We never got to -- there's another condominium in there that is not part of the Deerfield

Condo Association. They have their own association. It's a six unit condominium building.

Q Six units?
A Yes.
Q Who owns it?
A Just the individual condominium owners and $I$ don't know the name of their association.

Q Do you know what they call it?
A I don't, but Scott probably will.
Q Okay. To your knowledge the owners of the condos collectively own the dirt that the condos sit on?

A Yes.
Q Do you know if they've got a condo regime, an association?

A I believe that they do. I believe they have their own association.

Q You're not affiliated with that in any way?

A I am not.
Q Fields Development isn't either?
A No.
Q Any other condos?
A There's a section on Deerwood Drive.
There's a section of 12 homes that have an association.

Q Are these freestanding and separate?
A These are freestanding and separate, but there's been some back and forth over whether they were patio homes with common land, or whether they are individual homes with some common area on the lake, and I believe currently they are individually deeded homes owning the dirt underneath their homes but that the lake section is common area.

Q What section?
A Down on the lake where --
Q The lake section.
A -- they have a common slip for everyone.

Q Do you know what that's called, is it just Deerwood Drive or --

A Deerwood Villas.
Q Deerwood Villas. Who owns the dirt under the tiny homes?

A I believe that each individual owner owns the dirt under their homes.

Q So there is, in essence, a regime for that?

A Are you talking about what $I$ was just talking about on Deerwood Villas or are we switching to a different, when you say tiny homes?

Q The ones that we looked at yesterday in the photos.

A Okay. So that's a separate regime than what we're talking about --

Q Right.
A -- here with the Deerwood Villas. That is Deer Hill Village. Those are -- they were intended to be PUDs but they're technically condos because there's a master deed. So the land underneath is just like a condominium regime where that's held in common with the current owners.

Q So the dirt that Deer Hill Village sits on would be collectively owned by the unit owners there?

A Except for the undeveloped parcels that haven't been conveyed.

Q Right.
A And that's still owned by PARC

```
Properties.
```

Q So the developed parcels would be owned by the unit owners?

A Yes.
Q And the undeveloped would be PARC
Properties?
A Correct.
Q Any others?

A There may be some areas in the resort that they may have their own association for upkeep, but I'm not sure. There's been some discussion about the Buckeye Landing section. That was originally supposed to have its own association where they took care of their own mowing, but $I$ don't know if that's functioning or operating to that capacity.

Q Okay.
A And I did want to revisit on the commercial property.

Q Yes.
A I only mentioned the ones that are officially approved in Deerfield. It's our understanding that one of the plaintiffs, Dan Valle, is operating a commercial business out of his hangar that is unapproved and that is, to the best of my understanding for mechanical work so we would consider that commercial, but it has not been approved.

Q So the understanding is, is that Dan's operating a mechanic's business for airplanes?

A Yes.
Q And that he's not sought approval or obtained approval to do that?

A That's correct.
Q So that leads me to ask, what process
is there and by what means does it occur that one who is within Deerfield with a property that wants to operate a commercial business seeks an approval to do so, who do you seek that approval from?

A The restrictions say that it's by the developer.

Q So the restrictions say by the developer and what does one do?

A They would just submit a request to the developer and the developer would review, I assume, I've not been part of that process. The commercial buildings that exist in Deerfield all currently started with the developer and then they were sold off to --

Q To someone else.
A -- individuals. So that would be an implicit approval, I suppose, if the developer started it and then he sold it off.

Q Has anybody protested or contested, either formally with a lawsuit or informally with a letter or claim or complaint or gripe about the commercial business that exists now within Deerfield?

A When we were applying for the permit for the commercial dock at the Deer Hill Village that's owned by Deer Hill Marina, there was a review period for public comment. It was heavily disputed and protested,
but it was approved by TVA.
Any of the other businesses, the rental business, the Deerfield Marina, although it does seem to be in the lawsuit filing, there's some contesting about the existence of the Deerfield Marina and the Tiki Bar, but other than that I'm not aware of any other protests.

Q All right. Have you had complaints about Dan?

A Yes.
Q Who?
A Most of the Deerfield residents contacted us repeatedly. It's actually been on Facebook. Some of the owners at the hangars, they're bringing in people that are not Deerfield residents are landing on the airstrip is my understanding.

Q To have work done on their planes?
A Work done on their planes.
Q Have you informed Dan of the complaints?

A We have discussed it with counsel and at this time we have been advised not to pursue it during -- at this moment in time.

Q So the answer then would be no, you have not, correct?

A True.
Q Do y
knowledge as to the ex
that he's doing there?

A Just hearsay.
Q Is it every day?
A $\quad I^{\prime} m$ not aware.
Q And I know how we value hearsay in the courtroom, but I also know how we value it out of the courtroom. What is the hearsay as to how often he's doing it or the volume of business that he's doing?

A I haven't heard specifics. I just know that people have seen business cards also outside of Deerfield for marketing purposes to bring people in from other areas, and there have been witnesses who have seen other planes land there that are not Deerfield planes.

Q Okay. Any other commercial properties?
A Nothing that $I$ would say is considered commercial. There is a company in Deerfield that provides lawn service. They keep -- they store their mowers and their equipment in one of the airplane hangars and they mow grass in Deerfield. They live in their home. I would say you could say they operate out of their home, but people do not come to their home for that purpose.
Q Typically they go to you, right?

A Yes.
Q Any others?
A Nothing that's coming to mind.
Q Have you seen this before? Let me clarify what $I$ mean by this, okay. First of all, I would indicate to you that this is a map and it's a map that $I$ believe is intended to depict parts or all of Deerfield. Secondly, I would indicate to you that shown here on this map, other than just the Deerfield area, is some breakdown of lots and parcels and roadways within Deerfield that have been labeled in some instances.

Third, there are general
classifications made on this sheet that indicate, for example, Deerfield condominium, Deerfield office, redivision of lots 10 and 11 , road variance. In other words, some comments that have been added to this map. And then I would indicate to you that there's been an effort made to set forth some of the subdivision plats. Those notations are made within those particular areas with the date that the plat shows for those areas.

A Okay.
Q Now, with that rambling description, have you seen this before?

A I have not. Is this from the property

```
assessor's office?
```

Q Well, parts of it, but parts of it have been added, okay.

A Okay.
Q First of all, in looking at the map, and this is a very broad general question, okay, does this appear to depict the area that you would call Deerfield?

A Yes.
Q And does it look like to you to have --
let's go up toward the top. Right at the very top there's a yellow arrow that says gate and guard house; do you see that?

A $\quad$ I do.
Q Does that look like about where the gate and guard house would be located?

A Approximately, yes.
Q And then as you go from there, there is a little Clubhouse Drive right off of that Deerfield Way; do you see that, go to the arrow?

A Okay. Yes, I see it.
Q All right. And that takes us to the golf course clubhouse; does it not?

A It does.
Q And it indicates there The Greens at

Deerfield, and then going back to the guard house area, if you follow that road down that's Deerfield Way, correct?

A Correct.
Q And it winds around and goes deep into the project. Does this appear, generally speaking, I'm not asking real specifics, but generally speaking to depict the road systems in Deerfield?

A Yes.
Q And from a location standpoint, does it
appear, for example, if you go to the right side, close to the top, there's a purple area there; do you see that?

A Here?
Q Yes.
A Okay.
Q That shows that as being Deer Hill section one?

A Yes.
Q Is that accurate?
A It appears to be accurate, yes.
Q Then as you wind on around that peninsula there, it appears to be Deer Hill section two and that's in more of a greenish type color; do you see that?

A Yes.

```
    Q Between those two, but a little above
    them, is Deer Hill section one; do you see that?
    A Is that the yellowish?
    Q Yes.
    A Yeah, it looks like it says Deer Hill
    section two also.
    Q Oh, it does, it does, you're correct.
    A I wonder if the green area is three. I
    don't know, it's hard to --
    Q Could be, but those lines get small,
    don't they?
    A They do.
    Q All right. In any event, there are
    three sections of Deer Hill?
    A Correct.
    Q And it would be -- if they're
    misnumbered here, we would need to correct that, but does
    that appear to be in general the three sections?
    A Yes, but for our purposes, we've never
    sectioned them off like that.
    Q Okay.
    A So this must just be a tax map.
    Q All right.
    MR. HAWKINS: I think it's fair to ask
    where did you get this document?
```

MR. HURLEY: This is a combination of taking a plat or a drawing of the project and then going onto it, and we've added the verbiage on here.

MR. HAWKINS: Okay. So this is your document?

MR. HURLEY: Yes, yes.
MR. HAWKINS: Okay, all right.
MR. HURLEY: Yeah, I didn't get this from -- yeah, I didn't get this from the register's office or the tax assessor's office.

MR. HAWKINS: Okay. I just wanted to clarify. Thank you.

Q And then if you go back up to the gate, the guard house depiction up at the top and you work your way to the left of that and come down, you see Championship Way?

A Yes.
Q And Deerfield Landing?
A Yes.
Q And then there's an area there with a long strip that's black; do you see that?

A I do.
Q What is that?
A That is the airstrip.

Q So it's the location of the airstrip. And then, if you come all the way down to the bottom of the airstrip, and continue on below that is what is indicated as being Hirsch Vista?

A Yeah. I mean that's not -- I mean that general area was subdivided, but that's not the entire area that's marked there. But, in general, that is Deerfield property there.

Q Okay. And then right below that there is a depiction of Deer Ridge; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Does that appear to be accurate?
A Yes.
MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's mark this
for I.D. purposes --
THE WITNESS: Well, I do see some things
that are not accurate.
Q Tell me what they are.
A So I don't know if you're trying to say
this is what we discussed about on the plats, but if you go back up to the guard house and then you come down to Deer Hill Lane, the area marked as Marina Ridge in red is not Deerfield. The area to the left of that, Royal View Estates in Brown, that is not Deerfield.

Q Okay. Let's go back to the blue ink
thing here, okay?

A Okay.
Q The ones that are on there that are not part of Deerfield, if you would just draw an arrow to those. And you're drawing an arrow and putting not Deerfield, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you've done that two places so far?
A Two places. Now, as far as actual
property division, this section five that looks sort of pink --

Q Over on the left upper part of the page?

A Yes, that is incorrect. About where the five is $I$ would draw a line. What is pink is private owner.

Q Who is that private owner?
A Wisecup and there's just a very small section that is one small condominium building and that would be condo building F.

Q Okay.
A Then generally we use gray to say areas that are not Deerfield. There's a large section of property down here around Whitetail that's also gray that is still Deerfield. So I'm not sure if you're dividing
that out or --
Q I don't think we're using gray to say
that it's not part of Deerfield.

A Okay.
Q I think that's just a color thing. But your indication would be that that area that is depicted by the road that's in the middle of it, Whitetail --

A Yes.
Q -- that is in fact part of Deerfield?
A That is all Deerfield.
Q Okay. I think we understood that to be the case. We just used the wrong color there.

A Okay. And can I ask what the purpose of this map will be so I know how thorough I need to be with reviewing it?

Q Well, I don't know. First of all, this map, since you've shown me a couple of things that are not part of Deerfield, it won't exist in the form that you see it right now. This was just for me to ask you some general questions.

A Okay.
Q There's no trick or specific purpose for this map other than for me to develop an understanding as to what is --

A Okay.

Q -- and what is not Deerfield.
A Okay.
Q And then, once I get beyond that, as to where just in general the various specific parts of Deerfield are in relation to each other.

A Okay. Because I mean I couldn't say that $I^{\prime} m$ saying this map is correct.

Q I'm not asking you to.
A Okay, all right.
Q And I do appreciate you showing me the parts that you believe to be incorrect. Any other areas that you believe to be incorrect?

A I'm not seeing anything in particular that is looking completely incorrect. It just looks like the golf course is definitely in Deerfield.

Q Well, and I understand that based on what you told me yesterday, it's my understanding that whether the golf course is within or part of Deerfield is a fact that's still under some discussion and consideration, correct?

A That's correct.
Q There are a number of factors that come in perhaps in favor and some not in favor of it being part of Deerfield, but it's something that's just an open question right now?

A That's correct.
Q From your perspective?
A Yes.
Q All right. And I understand that.
A Okay.
MR. HURLEY: So let's mark that, the exhibit as an I.D.

COURT REPORTER: 47.
(Exhibit No. 47, filed for I.D.)
Q Now, Ms. Lejeune, I'm giving your
counsel a copy -- I've handed him a copy and giving him a copy of that as well. Obviously you feel free to look at it. If there are other things that you see that you think are incorrect or inaccurate, you let us know through counsel and we'll be glad to consider that.

The purposes of this map is just to help the receiver and the court, if we end up going to a trial, to know what Deerfield is like, if you look down on a map of it and where these general parts or portions of the project are in configuration with each other.

A Okay. If I could just add a comment, I think it would be safer to use the digital maps that we've submitted that came directly from a surveyor rather than trying to recreate something new.

Sure. Let me ask you next, and you may
not, I may end up having to ask your brother, do you recognize that photograph, where it's at or what it is? I'll represent to you it's some construction that I understand is going on within Deerfield now.

A Okay.
Q Do you know what it is or what it is intended to be?

A I'm not able to identify it from this photo.

Q Are you familiar with the construction?
I'm going to represent to you that what $I^{\prime} m$ looking at here, there's a truck obviously.

A Okay.
Q There's some foliage and stuff in the background. There appears to be a structure in the background. There is some what appears to be gravel with some broken up concrete. There is some walls that are at least partially constructed. There's no roof on this structure yet.

A Okay.
Q But in looking at this you don't know what that represents?

A I'm sure Scott could help me understand what $I^{\prime} m$ looking at, but $I^{\prime} m$ not identifying it.

Q Fair enough, I'll ask him.

A Okay.
MR. HURLEY: Do you want to take a break?

MR. HAWKINS: How much time do you have left with her?

MR. HURLEY: I'm going to have a little bit because I'm actually going pretty rapidly through stuff that I would have otherwise asked him.

MR. HAWKINS: Okay.
MR. HURLEY: So we're achieving a purpose here, and his is going to be significantly way shorter and I'll finish him easily today.

MR. HAWKINS: Okay. Yeah, let's take a short break then.

VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record. The time on the camera is 11:36.
(Short break.)
VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record. The time on the camera is 11:50.

Q Ms. Lejeune, here is a letter that I wrote to your counsel and to Mr. Reams back on September th 16 , 2022.

A Yes.

Q This followed the submission of a property owners list is what I'm calling it. It may have been called something else by you all, but we referenced it basically yesterday and I think it was put together as of August 2022 and was submitted. And I believe since then there's probably been some changes, some properties sold, the identities of some of the property owners have probably changed.

I wrote this letter after receiving that list and having reviewed that list and I raised a question. That list, as I recall, and I don't think I brought it with me today, but $I$ think that list had 49 villas under construction for PARC Properties at that time. Time has passed. Are those units now constructed and sold?

A A few of them have been.
Q Okay. Do you know roughly how many PARC Properties owns now?

A I would have to go back and look at the list to count it up again to see where we're at in the transfer of property.

Q Probably less than 49?
A I would say so.
Q And under your interpretation of what we've been discussing as properties, lots, owners, votes,
would PARC be entitled to vote whatever number of units it has under construction or just one vote for all of the unconstructed, unfinished, and unsold units?

A The reason we counted those as actual units is they have either been platted or there have been amendments filed legally with the courthouse to recognize them as individual structures. The same would apply to number two, the twenty villas in building $N$, and also number five, the seven villas in building $O$.

That also lines up with the condo
documentation that grants votes for any future construction once they're recognized, with amendments. And then, in particular with the PARC Properties, the majority of those have either currently now or at one time been under contract. So there's actually physical contracts for the majority of these units or, again, about ten of those have -- I'm just guessing ten have probably been conveyed.

So that I can understand your position on this and that's all we're discussing right now, okay, is just your position on it. If out of those 49 units that were under construction, out of those 49 units that were in existence at that time or being referred to at that time on that property owner sheet --

A Yes. are 40 left, maybe nine have been finished and sold --

A Yes.
Q -- but let's say there's 40 unsold, how many votes would PARC get?

A Forty.
Q Even though they've not been sold?
A Well, they're under contract.
Q Are they all under contract?
A I would say there may be three or four of them that are not currently under contract that maybe have been under contract in the past.

Q If they have been under contract but they're not now, would the votes still be cast?

A Yes.
Q And that would be the same for Rae Alan on number two?

A Correct.
Q And what about Jollywood?
A Well, that has been approved by the Planning Commission. Those have been definitely platted off and three -- and I believe it was ten, not nine --

Q Okay.
A -- and I think at least three to four of those have already been sold and conveyed. So that
would leave him remaining probably four or five.
Q So that developer of Jollywood would sell those -- would vote those?

A That's correct.
Q And by the way, we've referred to Jollywood, and I think a reference was made to a developer of Jollywood earlier. Has anything ever been recorded, documented, filed with the register's office indicating any developer within Deerfield other than Fields Development?

A There have been -- I don't think anything has been officially filed. It has been a consistent practice, since the beginning of Deerfield, that other property owners might buy acreage parcels and then develop them themselves rather than Fields Development doing it.

Q But there's never been any amendment to the existing documents, the existing Deerfield documents, that are recorded to recognize officially any other developer other than Fields Development, to your knowledge?

A Concerning Deerfield itself in the condominium documents that recognized both Fields Development and Rae Alan Properties as developers of that section only.

Q All right. Any others?
A Not that's coming to mind.
Q All right. And you're saying that that recognition of Rae Alan occurred in documents that were filed as amendments to the master deed?

A Yes.
Q Of the Condo Association?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Then on number six we raised a question as to whether Deerfield Cove Marina, Deerfield Flying Association, Deerfield administrative office, and Deerfield golf course were properly set forth as owners within Deerfield; what is your position on each of those?

A These are commercial properties. I noticed that you did leave off the two properties that were commercial that Georgia Sergent had been affiliated with which would be her dry storage, and the rental office that she sold to Margie Duvall -- there was someone in between. Those would also be classified in this same category of discussion.

All $I$ can say is that when Preston and I were having early discussions about bylaws, there were some drafts of that that included commercial properties. So when I submitted the list for voting, I just included every commercial property that we recognized, including

Georgia's properties.
Q Well, let me clarify just a little bit so that it will help you know where we were coming from. Number six was not designed to reference commercial businesses --

A Okay.
Q -- so much as it was raising a question about whether the names within number six are actually owners of property. For example, we thought we saw on that list Deerfield administrative office. Well, that's just a name, that's not an entity or an individual.

A Okay.
Q So that's one of the reasons I've been asking you who owns the dirt that these buildings sit on.

A Okay.
Q And we were just raising a question about who -- you know, we understand that there's a Deerfield administrative office.

A Okay.
Q But that's neither a person nor an entity.

A Okay.
Q So who owns the dirt that that office sits on and who is going to be casting that vote, that's really what we were trying to get at.

A Okay. So this is not whether a commercial property gets a vote or not?

Q No, no. I think we recognize that any property owner gets a vote.

A Okay.
Q
But we were raising questions about these fairly general characterizations of names on that list and we're looking for who is the owner that's going to cast the vote.

A Okay. And for the Deerfield Cove
Marina, $I^{\prime \prime m}$ not sure what the current legal name is of the ownership of that particular marina.

Q Okay. Does Deerfield Cove Marina get one vote, whoever it is?

A Yes.
Q Deerfield Flying Association, is it an
Inc.?
A Yes.
Q Is it active?
A As far as $I$ know it is.
Q Do you know who the officers are?
A Scott and Paula.
Q Did it get one vote?
A Yes.
Q Does it actually own real estate?

A The airstrip itself, the actual
airstrip.
Q Is owned by Deerfield Flying
Association?
A Correct.
Q Deerfield administrative office is not an entity.

A
Correct.
Q
And I believe you told me that the owner of the dirt that that building sits on is. . .

A Paul and Madeline Fields.
Q So the estate of your mother would get one vote?

| A | Correct. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Q And then Deerfield golf course, we've |  |
| talked about it? |  |

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A You didn't ask, but the answer to
question four would be the same as question three and those have been approved by the Planning Commission.

Q Being the hangar lots?
A The hangar lots, yes.
Q Okay.
A And the majority of those have been
conveyed, the rest of them are under contract.
Q Okay. Do you intend to update that property owners list?

A Yes. There have been a significant amount of property transfers since it was last submitted. I don't expect any additional numbers to the total, but we've had -- and the addresses change daily, so that would need to be updated as well.

Okay. For purposes, and this probably is a discussion that $I$ can have with Preston and $I$ will, I want to talk to you -- I wanted to ask about making sure that Mr. Reams has an updated and accurate address list, to the best of your ability. I know this is fluid, and probably also, since we're going to be trying to do some electronic voting, Mr. Reams is going to need all the e-mail addresses that at least you have access to.

A Yes.
Q And you understand that?
THE WITNESS: Is that agreed on?
MR. HAWKINS: I think that will have to
be the case.
THE WITNESS: Because he wants to do
some of it digitally, correct?
MR. HURLEY: Yes. I think because so
many people live elsewhere that we feel that to
give everybody -- I think we've all discussed this.

MR. HAWKINS: For sure.
MR. HURLEY: That we need to have an electronic voting mechanism and that ElectionBuddy is very set up to do that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. HURLEY: So you understand that?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's mark this as the next exhibit just for I.D. purposes.

MR. HAWKINS: You don't have to, she's seen it.

MR. HURLEY: Okay.
MR. HAWKINS: Let's just make that one just 48.
(Exhibit No. 48, filed.)
Q
Regarding those entities that have multiple units and those things, I take it that will be updated as well?

A Correct.
Q So that we can take a look at that and evaluate our position on it?

A And then we can get the new number.
Q Great, okay. All right, thank you. I
want to give you next a document that is actually a pleading in this case and it actually pre-dates my involvement, Ms. Lejeune, but I've just got a question for you about it.

MR. HAWKINS: I would just suggest that that is -- this document is not from this case. Q I get it, I get it, it is not. This is in response to the demand letter that was made by, was it Mr. Pryor?

MR. HAWKINS: Uh-huh.
Q For information, and this is a response to that demand letter that was made in case 2020-CV-55, In Re: Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association, Inc., and then by Daniel Valle and others that are listed there; have you seen this before? This is a response that was made by counsel to a notice demand letter that had been provided to you previously.

A I saw it in draft form before it was filed. I'm not sure $I^{\prime}$ ve seen the actual official filing.

Q Okay. To the best of your knowledge, was it accurate?

A Yes.
MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 49.
(Exhibit No. 49, filed.)
Q What I'm handing to you now is a document that $I^{\prime}$ ve made reference to in one of the hearings that was conducted in this case and it basically is pulled off of the internet. It was a site or a place that you could go to read information about the lawsuit and I think this one was -- I'm trying to remember. We've been to so many places in this case. I'm trying to remember which courtroom we were in. I think it was in Campbell County.

But, in any event, it is, as I
understand it, and from your response to the Judge during that hearing, $I$ think this is a printing of certain information that's on the internet regarding this lawsuit and some of the issues related to this lawsuit and I presented this during that hearing that day. Have you had a chance to look at this before from what $I$ presented that day?

A I had seen the website early on when it was posted.

Q Okay. Who keeps that website stocked, for lack of a better term, with information?

A It was originally built by one of our homeowners and $I$ don't know that it's been updated at all
since it's inception. So I'm not sure if there's any ongoing updates to it at all.

Q Do you know who the homeowners was?
A Shannon Compton, $C-o-m-p-t-o-n$.
Q There's a lot of information on that website regarding the lawsuit, about various pleadings that have been filed. There are links and other things that you can go to on that website that include specifically some of the pleadings, letters between the parties, references to documents that have been filed.

Part of the website you have to have some type of password or special access to get to it and read. I didn't get to all of it because I don't qualify for that at this point in time. But, to your knowledge, is this website still up?

A To my knowledge it is still operating.
Q But as you indicated to me just a moment ago, you're not aware of whether or not it has been updated since it was first formed?

A No, I'm not.
Q Have you had any involvement in putting anything on this website?

A I reviewed it in the beginning, but it was already posted when $I$ reviewed it.

Q Who asked you to review it?

```
    A Shannon.
    Q Compton?
    A Yes.
    Q And when Shannon Compton asked you to
review it, it already existed?
    A Correct.
    Q Do you know how it was that Shannon
    Compton came to possess all of the information that's on
    this website?
    A Let me see, I'd have to look at the
    tabs. It looks like most of this is court filings. The
    financials would have been submitted by Kelly. I believe
    everything else looks to me to be public filings.
    Q So when Shannon Compton, as best you
    know, put together and constructed this website, did
    Shannon approach you and say, hey, can you give me
    information?
    A I didn't give her any information.
    Q You did not give --
    A I don't recall her asking me for any
    information.
    Q So you did not give Shannon any
    information?
    A I don't recall giving her anything for
    this.
```

Q You believe that Kelly gave her financial information?

A Yes.
Q Because there is financial information referenced here, correct?

A Correct.
Q And did you give or did Kelly give Shannon any of the other information that is in here, other than financial information?

A The only thing I see that Kelly would have had access to, other than anything that was a public filing would have just been the financial records.

Q For example, and I think it's the third page, $I$ believe it is, there's a section called legal fees; do you see that?

A No. I'm looking for that.
Q Third page.
A Okay.
Q Fairly specific information, at the current -- at the current date the Fields family has spent over $\$ 160,000$ in legal fees. How would Ms. Compton have gained that information?

A She probably would have asked one of us how much we had and it would have just been a general ballpark number.

Q One of us would include you --
A Myself or Scott.
Q -- or Scott. Would Kelly have known that information?

A I don't think she did. I don't think she had access to that information.

Q Would there be anybody that would have known the amount of legal fees that you had spent at any given time other than you or Scott and, of course, counsel?

A No.
Q Did you furnish documents or access to documents to Kelly to your recollection?

A Some of this financial information would have come up as Kelly was helping me research information. So we would have all been tied into the same information jointly.

I believe that there is -- some of this
information in the attachments went back to 2013, Bob Hilty letter?

A Yes, that was submitted by a homeowner.
Q By a homeowner?
A Yes.
Q Who was the homeowner?
A I believe that was the Carolyn Ray
letter.
Q Carolyn Ray?
A Yes.
Q Is that $R-a-e$ or $R-a-y$ ?
A $\quad R-a-y$.
Q And then the August 2022 Valle water
complaint.
A That may have come from Scott, I don't
know. That may have been just on the TDEC side as well.
Q
Did you ever have any communications or
conversations of any kind, written, electronic, or
otherwise, to encourage Shannon to set up this website?
A She did it all on her own.
Q So would your answer be no?
A My answer would be no.
MR. HURLEY: For I.D. purposes let's
make this the next exhibit.
COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 50.
(Exhibit No. 50, filed for I.D.)
Q Next is a document that I will purport
to you I think has come off of a Facebook page. I told
you earlier I don't do Facebook, but I am constantly in
contact with people that do in all kinds of situations,
and this case is one of them. This is a posting that
appears to have been made by a Mike Rees, $R-e-e-s ; ~ d o ~ y o u ~$
know Mike?
A Not personally, but I just -- I know who he is in the community.

Q Does Scott know him personally?
A I think so.
Q
All right. And Mike posts on here, Way to go Deerfielders. We are already 170 strong on the HOA collaborative site in less than five days. Let's keep it going. The larger and more coordinated we are, the more likely we are to end the insanity with the POA. The longer this drags on the more money it will ultimately cost the entire community. Let's inundate Scott Reams with e-mails asking to get the HOA established as soon as possible. And it gives Scott Reams e-mail address and it says, also, we will be having another HOA group zoom call tomorrow at 5:00 p.m. Please join HOA collaborative group to get the info." Have you seen this before?

A I saw the post on Facebook.
Q Was this on the Deerfield Facebook or was this somewhere else?

A They have their own Facebook site, but he posted this on the Deerfield Facebook site.

Q When you say they, are you referring to the HOA collaborative site?

A Yes. I think they've changed the name
from that, but that would be the site that Mike Rees started.

Q All right. Did you have any encouragement role in getting the $H O A$ collaborative site set up?

A None.
Q Did Scott?
A No.
Q So this is just something that Mike
Rees has taken on himself?
A Several of our homeowners have decided they're taking their own action separate and apart from us.

Q When you saw the inundate Reams
language, did they cause you any concern?
A I knew it wouldn't be well received, but I couldn't control what they did.

Q Did you try to control it?
A No. I didn't feel like it was my place to tell them not to.

Q Did you give any consideration to contacting Mike and say, hey, appreciate your support and your effort, but inundating the receiver might not be a good a idea?

A I don't think that $I$ can control what
they do or say. They feel like they are homeowners and that they have a voice and they are not being heard.

Q And I understand what you -- you know, that you think what you think, but my question was did you contact him to say this might not be a good idea?

A I did not.
Q Did Scott contact him to that effect? MR. HAWKINS: Objection to the form.

You can answer if you know.
A I don't know. MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that as the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 51.
(Exhibit No. 51, filed.)
Q Next is an e-mail that actually came from Scott Reams to myself and your counsel and it came rd to us on June 23, 2023, and he was forwarding to us an e-mail that had been sent by Kelly Sjogren whom we have spoken earlier many times in this deposition, where she nd wrote him an e-mail on Thursday, June 22 , at approximately 4:14 p.m.; have you seen this e-mail?

A Kelly sent us a copy after she had already sent it.

Q Did she tell you she was going to send it to Mr. Reams?

A Not before she did.
Q And did she tell you that she was going to address certain issues with Mr. Reams whether she said it was going to be in an e-mail or not?

A No.
Q After she sent it, did you discuss with her the wisdom of sending this e-mail?

A She just sent me the letter and asked me -- let me know that she had sent it. She didn't ask me what $I$ thought. She didn't ask me for feedback. And I didn't really have any comment on it because she's a homeowner as well. I don't know if it comes through in this letter or not, but because she works with the condos, she just feels very strongly that the original charter is not being followed in the election process. So that's I think what's driving her concern.

Q Do you feel that way?
A Well, I just think that several court rulings have modified or overrode the charter and I feel like at this point the court is deciding what we're to follow. Sometimes we seem to follow the charter and sometimes it seems to -- like changing the number of Board of Directors.

Q When Mr. Reams sent this e-mail to me and to Preston, your attorney, he said, "See below. FYI,

I do not intend to respond. Apparently the three of us are dumbasses and the Judge is biased and I seriously doubt that anything I say can change her mind"; do you see that?

A Yes.
MR. HAWKINS: I would like to just
insert for the record that $I$ am not a dumbass.
MR. HURLEY: I would like to insert that
I apparently am.
Q With that said, have you discussed with
-- I mean her e-mail, you've read it, right?
A I have.
Q You read it before today?
A Yes.
Q It's very strongly worded and expresses a lot of very strong opinions about the process and the court and the lawyers, and that type of thing. Have you admonished Kelly in any way for having sent this to Mr . Reams?

A I have not. There are definitely
things in there $I$ wouldn't have said myself, but again, she's a homeowner and I feel like she has just as much right as anyone else, if she wants to express that.

Q Did you give any consideration to asking her -- because she says in April of 2021, I took a
position working with Deerfield Resort, the Fields family, the Deer Lake Condos within the Deerfield property, and Deer Hill Village within the Deerfield property. The primary purpose of my role for Deerfield Resort is to provide accountability and transparency of expenses, invoicing of fees for each entity, improving operating efficiency, and communication with homeowners. Basically assessed with the responsibilities of Mrs. Madeline Fields after her passing. And that's all true, isn't it? She was brought on board to do those things.

A That's correct.
Q She was brought on board after Mrs. Fields had passed and she's been tasked with doing the things that she says that she's doing here, correct?

A That is correct.
Q That needed to be done, didn't they?
A Yes.
Q In light of the fact that she's
fulfilling so many roles within your organizations, and I'm speaking specifically of Deerfield Resort, Deerfield Lake Condos, Deer Hill Village and, of course, the developer itself, Fields Development, have you asked her, hey, you know, you're a homeowner and you can send Mr. Reams whatever you want, but please let him know that you're speaking as a homeowner and not in any official
capacity on our behalf as the Fields family; did you ask her that?

A I hadn't considered that, but that is definitely something $I$ could say, that if she is sending communications as a homeowner that she just makes it clear that that's not in her capacity as an employee that she's doing this.

Q I ask you that for a reason, and obviously everybody in this case has their feelings.

A Yes.
Q And everybody in this case has their opinions. But I'm going to show you something else here that causes a concern from our side and I want to ask you about it, okay?

A Okay.
Q Let me just give it to you. This is a court pleading that came from Judge Boniface in this case.

COURT REPORTER: Scott, did you want to mark that as 52?

MR. HURLEY: Yes, I do. Thank you, Todd. I appreciate that.

Actually, if you'll unclip those, I'm going to take one at a time, I'm sorry.

MR. HAWKINS: That's all right.
(Exhibit No. 52, filed.)
Q There's one here that is called Notice of Filing and on the first one, $I$ believe it is July the th 25 , 2022, and that date comes out where I've got my index finger right here at the bottom.

A July 25 , okay.
Q So this was the first one that I recall
that we got from the Judge and what the Judge is doing here, Ms. Lejeune, is she's letting us know that somebody has written her and contacted her direct and independent of the normal filing process. It could be a telephone call, it could be some type of e-mail, it could be a letter, which in this instance it was letters that were written by a number of people, Marilyn Zobrist, Ronald Dubin, $D-u-b-i-n, ~ M D, ~ B r e n d a ~ D o s s e t t ~ a n d ~ J a m e s ~ D o s s e t t, ~$ Gregory and Bryna, B-r-y-n-a, Miller, Dan and Mary Beth Miller, Jim and Petey, P-e-t-e-y, Revell, R-e-v-e-l-l.

The letters were all $I$ believe written either on the same day or back to back days. They were all received by the court at or about the same time. Let me say this. There's different information about dating here. Some of them have an envelope that's got a postal date on it. Some of the letters show -- all of the letters show the date they were received by the Clerk or the Chancery Court for Campbell County and I think they
were all received either the same day or back to back days in July of 2022.

Interestingly to us, all the letters spoke very strongly in favor of your family and the entities of your family. More interesting to us is that all of these letters were sent at the timeframe where there was a lot of attention being given by the court as to the organization of this HOA and some of the legal issues that we've got. Of even more interest to us was the fact that each of these letters contain sections or portions that are virtually identical to the comma. The likelihood of these six or seven people getting together and composing phrases that were identical struck us being unlikely.

So my question is this. Did you or anyone at your direction have a role in urging these people to write these letters?

A I did not.
Q
Did you or anyone under your direction give these people specific phrases to put in the letters to send to Judge Boniface?

A I did not.
Q Did you or anyone under your control organize the effort to make a communication to Judge Boniface?

A I did not.

Q
Did you or anyone under your control provide talking points or any other information that was to be done or put in these communications?

A I did not.
Q Do you know who did?
A I do not.
Q Were you aware that this had happened?
A I read the letters when Preston sent them to me from the filing.

Q Did it surprise you?
A I thought it was very nice. I didn't expect that and it was very heartwarming.

Q "We feel confident supporters of the POA," you're referring to my clients, "are less than ten percent of our community, and one for sure isn't a stellar caring person. He's known for shooting the geese surrounding the lake with a BB gun."

A I didn't know he did that.

Q I didn't either. "Not a pretty sight to witness, especially for children."

MR. HAWKINS: What's the question?
Q The question is, is there an organized effort on the part of the Fields and your companies and your officers and your directors to have people contact
the receiver and have people contact the Court, apart and aside from the normal legal processes in this case to try to sway this case in your direction?

A There is none.
Q You've had no role in organizing these efforts whatsoever?

A I have had no role in organizing any of these efforts.

MR. HURLEY: Let's mark this one for
I.D. purposes as the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 53 for
identification.
MR. HAWKINS: It doesn't have to be I.D.
I mean she's seen it. That's fine, just make it --

MR. HURLEY: That's better.
MR. HAWKINS: Wait a minute, are you going to cover the next one too.

MR. HURLEY: Yes. We'll cover them separately.
(Exhibit No. 53, filed.)
Q The next one is a Notice of Filing of August 15 , 2022. So this came about a month later. Judge Boniface sent to all of the lawyers in this case a letter that she had received from Nelson Lare, L-a-r-e?

A Lare.
Q Lare, L-a-r-e, and this one is stamped at least by the Chancery Court as August the 25 , 2022 and this one is a little more positive in overall nature, mentioning your family and your brother in specific. Did you have any role in this one?

A I did not.
Q Have you seen it before?
A I think Preston sent this one to me as well.

Q Okay. But you had no effort in encouraging, initiating this person to have contact with the court?

A I did not.
Q Do you know how these people decided to come to the point of sending these communications to Judge Boniface?

A I do not know how they decided to do that. I don't even know how they got her address.

Q Okay.
A I don't have it.
MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that as the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 54.
(Exhibit No. 54, filed.) the date of the notice issued by the court. She sent to us a communication that apparently was sent by e-mail from Mary Beth Miller to Jane McMinn. Now, Jane McMinn, I'll represent to you, is the assistant to Judge Boniface and is the person that counsel has been directed to send our pleadings to if we're getting a hearing ready to go, whether it's a motion or a response or a brief, Jane is the one that we send it to and then Jane assists us in scheduling those things, works with everybody. Do you know how Mary Beth got Jane's e-mail address?

A The only thing I can think of is when there's a zoom link we'll forward that zoom link and so it's probably been left on there because of all the information that's included in her e-mail.

Q Do you know Mary Beth?
A I don't think $I$ know her personally, and I have not seen this letter and I was not aware this had been sent.

Q You're not aware of this one?
A No.
Q The complaints in this one are somewhat similar to the complaints -- they're not as lengthy, but they're somewhat consistent with the complaints that Kelly made. Do you know if Kelly knows Mary Beth Miller?

A I don't know. I don't know who she has contact with in the community.

Q Has Kelly given you any indication that she's had communications with Mary Beth Miller?

A I don't recall her ever mentioning Mary
Beth's name to me. But I will say that the former Facebook group that we looked at from Mike Rees, that group has coalesced separate from us primarily because one of the homeowners in the community was spreading a rumor that the bylaws that were being submitted to Scott Reams came from you or the POA. And the homeowners were getting very upset that they were not being represented and that all of these decisions were being made without any of their input.

One of the things that Preston and I consulted about continually in the bylaws was that the board would be able to change or amend anything after the election, and I know that Preston lobbied very hard to make sure language like that was included. And anyone who expressed to me that they were concerned about this, I let them know that I had worked very hard to make sure that the new board would not be bound and tied to whatever is presented in theory for only to get the election going.

No matter how much I tried to reassure
everyone, they just didn't believe me, for whatever reason $I$ can't say. And so they just felt like they were not being represented, that they were going to be -- and I'm just speaking on what $I$ heard or things that have been said back to me, and I think they felt like they are being, as exactly as she said in this letter, very few are represented. They feel like the litigation is dictating everything for them and that they will have no voice or say. I don't personally see it that way.

Q
Is it your understanding that anybody has ever resisted the ability of the board going forward to be able to amend its own bylaws?

A In one of the original drafts it said only by majority vote or something along those lines, and it didn't give the board that authority. So I just asked that it be specifically stated that they could so it couldn't be misinterpreted that they had that option.

Q Okay. I just wanted to make sure. You're not saying that it's your understanding that somebody has resisted the board having the ability to amend the bylaws?

A Oh no, not that I'm aware of.
Q Okay.
A No. I think they want that to be the
case.

MR. HAWKINS: Just off the record for a minute.
(Off the record discussion.)
VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.
MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that as the next exhibit, please.

MR. HAWKINS: And that can be for I.D. because she hasn't seen that.

MR. HURLEY: She had not seen it, I agree.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 55 for identification.
(Exhibit No. 55, filed for I.D.)
Q I'm probably -- I had brought this, but
I'm probably not going to get into it other than to ask you a question. There was a dues payment record I believe that was submitted to Mr. Reams at some point where there's an indication of those who have and who have not paid dues in 2023, correct?

A Correct. And that would be outdated.
Q And it's outdated now. Is it your intention to update that so that Mr. Reams will have current information once we get to that point?

A Yes. At some point in time Preston
said to me that there will be a cutoff date and that everything will be submitted up to that point, new addresses, payments. And once we reach that point, even if a new owner buys, after that point they may not be able to vote in this first election.

Q Okay. Those are all issues still being worked out and will be worked out?

MR. HAWKINS: Correct, correct.
Q Okay. And to the extent that there's disagreement, those will be brought to the court in the appropriate way; is that your understanding?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I want to ask you about Home Federal Bank for just a moment. Home Federal Bank, as I understand it, based on your testimony yesterday, is the bank that has the Deerfield Resort account. It was Home Federal and now it's Hearthside?

A Correct.
Q That has the account that is titled

Deerfield Resort and that is the account that has been used to deposit checks that come from the homeowners as a result of getting invoices or fee statements or whatever? A Correct.

Q And they send their amount in and those amounts when received have been deposited into that Home

Federal, now Hearthside account?
A Correct.
Q That's the only account that's been used for that purpose?

A That is correct.
Q No other bank accounts have been utilized for the purpose of putting those property owner payments into an account other than that one?

A If you're asking are there multiple accounts where any other homeowners' fees would go, there are no other accounts. That is the only account for the Deerfield homeowners' fees.

Q The only one now and, to the best of your knowledge, the only one that has existed?

A No. I think, and this is what I alluded to yesterday, I don't think that was the original account that she had. That was when I mentioned I had seen a check in the past, many years past, that said Deerfield maintenance. So I believe that in the early days she had a different checking account, but there's never been more than one at one time.

Q All right. That Home Federal Bank account, there are various people at Home Federal that I would assume that you have worked with in the past. Do you know Steve Ward?

A Yes.
Q Is Steve a person that's still at that bank which would now be Hearthside?

A Yes.
Q Is he a truthful person?
A Yes.
Q Do you know Mike Cannon?
A Yes.
Q Is he still at that bank?
A Yes.
Q Is he a truthful person?
A I don't know him. I mean $I$ know who he is, but $I$ don't know him.

Q All right. Keebler Williams, do you know Keebler Williams?

A Yes.
Q Is he still at that bank?
A Not -- technically he's under the umbrella, but he's in a commercial division. So he's not physically located at that bank any longer.

Q Do you have any dealings with him now?
A I do for a separate loan that is not part of this proceedings.

Q Okay. Is he a truthful person?
A I'm under oath?

Q Yes.
A I can't say.
Q All right. Does that mean you don't have an opinion? You can tell me you don't have an opinion or you can tell me -- well, you can tell me whatever you want to tell me. I'm not going to push you on it.

attempted to obtain from the tax assessor's office is a
list, just an informational list, not a document, we constructed this document, but of all the parcels at Deerfield that would have a tax card in the name of Fields Development.

A Okay.
Q And what I have been able to put together from the information that $I$ was given is this,
and I'd like to ask you to just briefly look at it. I can't tell you that it's accurate. What I can tell you is we asked for information, we got information, and we've tried to record it here. So there may be errors in this, okay? I'm not representing to you that I've got it right. That's the reason I'm showing it to you and I want to ask you about it.

There are various parcel numbers here that are indicated and I don't expect you to recognize all those parcel numbers. There are in the column titled at the top where it says where, I've tried to have an indication there as to the location as best $I$ can tell for that parcel number. And then the acreage is just based on what we could obtain that gives some indication to us, and I've even got some questions myself about that. And then there's an amount as to the taxes that would normally be due each year for those various parcels.

I want to ask you, first of all, I think we've got here, let me count, about 23 parcels, give or take one or two.

A Okay.
Q Do you recognize these parcels as being parcels that would be in the name of Fields Development?

A Let me say first, this looks to me like
what I submitted in discovery. This looks to me like her spreadsheet, but I'm not sure that it is without seeing it in context. This just looks to me like her other spreadsheets that have been submitted.

All right.
A
But I'm not a hundred percent sure it's hers originally, but it looks exactly like what I submitted in discovery.

Okay, all right. I want to ask you specifically about the fourth item down. It's regarding receipt number 8735 and the where, it just says mineral and the acreage 658.7. Has, to your knowledge, Fields Development retained the mineral rights to properties that have been sold?

A No. My understanding is they don't have mineral rights and when $I$ have paid the property taxes for the last three years, there has been no mineral part that $I^{\prime} v e ~ p a i d, ~ t h a t ~ I ' v e ~ s e e n ~ a n y ~ i n v o i c e ~ f o r ~ f r o m ~$ the assessor's office.

Q Do you know if there was one previous to that?

A I always heard them say that they did not own the mineral rights to Deerfield.

Q Okay. Would you know then what that -well, you're telling me you've not received a card
billing you for mineral rights?
A No. And they have gone through every list they have with me to see if there's anything outstanding that $I^{\prime}$ ve missed, that $I$ may not have paid, and nothing like that has come up.

Q All right. Do you see anything on here that appears to you to be in error other than that?

A This is 2017. Nothing is jumping out at me. This is maybe not the descriptions I've used for the recent bills I've paid for some of these, but for the most part these all look like they would be legitimate.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. Let's make that --
THE WITNESS: Except for the mineral.
Q Let me say, it's possible that as I see that 2017, it's possible that I've pulled this. We've tried to construct one too and I can't swear to you which it is right now. This may have come from your discovery.

A Okay.
MR. HURLEY: We've got a lot of lists in
this case. So let's make that the next Exhibit No. 56.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 56.
(Exhibit No. 56, filed.)
Q I'm going to hand you two documents next. One is an affidavit of Michael Parr, P-a-r-r?

A He was a previous owner in Deerfield.
Q Yes. I'm going to hand to you an
affidavit by him and a portion of the Tennessee
Residential Property Condition Disclosure form that was referenced by his affidavit. First of all, have you seen this affidavit before?

A I don't recall seeing it. It's not seeming familiar.

I'll represent to you I believe it's been filed in the litigation.

A Okay.
Q But I don't know if you've seen it or not.

A Okay.
Q He's simply -- and my purpose for putting this in, he simply says in his affidavit that when his home was sold that the selling documentation was handled by Fields Real Estate, Madeline Fields, and that on the Residential Property Condition Disclosure form that she, Madeline Fields, added the name of the HOA as Deerfield Resort Homeowners, the telephone number of 423-562-3282. By the way, is that the number there at the office?

A That is the office number.
Q And the phrase yearly dues and entered
the amount $\$ 500$, and he goes on to say in his statement -- it shows on the closing disclosure information I was charged $\$ 116.44$ based on a proration for 85 days that they lived in Deerfield for that particular year, being January 1 through March the 27 , March the 27 .

What I wanted to ask you, if you'll go over to the second page of the disclosure there is some handwriting up there that says Deerfield Resort Homeowners, there's a telephone number, there are some marks where it says -- well, it's hard to tell for sure which lines, but there's one for special assessments. There is another mark below that that may apply to a couple of lines, I don't know, and then there is on the right side, it says monthly dues and monthly has been marked through and the letters $Y R$ have been entered above the word dues and then the number five hundred has been written in. Do you recognize that writing there in that portion of the disclosure form?

A It does look like her handwriting.
Q Okay. And would $\$ 500$ have been the annual dues amount as of 2019?

A Security and maintenance, yes.
Q All right. So you don't recall if you've ever seen this affidavit before?

A I don't remember seeing it. I'm
missing some of the exhibits on some of the filings. So it's possible it was in one of the filings that $I$ don't have the exhibits for.

Q Do you remember seeing the Tennessee Residential Property Condition Disclosure form from Mr. Parr in the past?

A Not for him, I do not.
Q Okay. But what you can say is that on the second page of that those notations in item 17 appear to be the handwriting of your mother?

A Yes.
Q And would you also recognize that on the bottom, it does list her name where it says this form is copyrighted and may only be used in real estate transactions in which, there's a blank there and Madeline Fields has been entered there?

A Yes.
Q In which Madeline Fields is involved as
a $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}$ authorized user?
A Correct.
MR. HURLEY: Let's mark that. We can
just mark it for I.D. since she's not seen it before, but she has identified the handwriting.

MR. HAWKINS: Both of them as Collective
57 for I.D.?

MR. HURLEY: Yes.
MR. HAWKINS: Okay.
(Exhibit No. 57 filed for I.D.)
Q These run together sometimes. Did you tell me yesterday that your brother would be more likely to be the one that deals with Lamar as far as billboard advertisements?

A He wouldn't be the one to pay it, but he would be the one to work with the graphics.

Q What about the selection of billboard sites?

A That was determined years ago by mainly
Paul. I think Paul would have selected the sites.
Q What about the content of the
billboard, what the billboards say?
A Well, when mom and dad were alive they would have determined it, but at this point it would be Scott.

Q I'm going to leave that to ask him.
I'll ask him about that too. Actually it's better for him.

Also in looking through the various records up in Campbell County, another thing that we located that $I$ want to ask you about, I don't think it applies, but $I$ just want to make sure, there is a Notice
of Lien in Campbell County for the amount of $\$ 152,745$.
It was filed by Rembco, R-e-m-b-c-o, Geotechnical Contractors, based in Powell, Tennessee. The owner against whom the lien is asserted is PARC, $P-a-r-c$, Properties, LLC, and the prime contractor is indicated as being Lejeune Homes, LLC. Do you know anything about this lien?

A I do.
Q Does it still exist?
A It has been released.
Q It has been released, okay. We had gotten this earlier. Are there any liens, to your knowledge, in existence now that are not paid, satisfied, or released that pertain to PARC Properties or Lejeune Homes, LLC, both of them, right?

A Right.
Q That would serve as a lien on any property that those two entities, PARC Properties and Lejeune Homes, own within Deerfield?

A Could you repeat the question?
Q Are you aware of any liens that exist now that have not been paid or released that would affect properties owned by PARC Properties, LLC or Lejeune Homes, LLC within Deerfield?

A I'm not aware of any.

Q Had you seen this lien before?
A
Yes.
MR. HURLEY: All right. Let's mark that as the next exhibit.

COURT REPORTER: Exhibit 58.
(Exhibit No. 58, filed.)
Q In looking around from time to time I have seen various ads. Here is one, Norris Lake to do list, check out McCloud Mountain and the skywalk, fine dining, lodging, amazing view above it all, great steaks, photo ops. And then it says see what all the buzz is about, golf course, great homes, lake lots, condos and villas, rentals, amenities, affordable, Deerfield Resort, and it's got a telephone number. I think it's the same telephone number that we just referenced a few minutes ago, 423-562-3282; is that that number at the office?

A Yes, it is.
Q And it says McCloud Mountain -- excuse me, McCloud.com, Deerfieldresort.org. Are you familiar with that ad?

A I have seen a similar ad to that in the past.

Q It comes just in a -- it's kind of like a magazine. It's kind of a glossy type thing. There are other ads in here. I think a few that mention things at

Deerfield. My question is this. This appears to be what I would call a joint marketing ad. Sometimes businesses that are somehow affiliated by their location or by their ownership, or otherwise, pitch in together and it allows them to control half a page or a page, a double page, or whatever the case may be, and they coordinate their ad campaign and it allows them to have a bigger pop on the same page by paying a certain amount of money.

This looks like to me a joint ad and there was affiliation or some connection between the ownership of McCloud Mountain and Fields Development Company, right?

A The same owners, different companies.
Q Same owners, different companies. Was there advertising that was jointly coordinated in situations such as what we see here?

A To my knowledge, it would have only been for that Norris Life magazine that came out -- it comes out three times a year.

Q Okay. You're not aware of joint advertising occurring in other instances?

A When they do the Cincinnati boat show, I think just this past year for the first time, $I$ think they had a separate McCloud Mountain booth adjoining the Deerfield booth.
Q And would each entity pay its fair
share of that ad?

A Correct.
Q Was there ever any situation, to your knowledge, where Deerfield Homeowners Resort -Homeowners Association, Inc. was the party that carried the load or paid the cost of this type of joint advertising?

A I would just have to look for the specific invoice to see how each one was paid.

Q So the answer is you don't know?
A $\quad$ I don't know.
Q Have you see this before? And I want to tell you I didn't mean for there to be, but there's some highlighting on it which $I$ think has some specific reference to our case, but I'm not intending really to focus on the highlights; have you seen this before?

A It's not particularly ringing a bell, but it looks like something they might have put in one of the sales brochures.

Q Okay. It's got a lot of information about Deerfield Resort, doesn't it?

A It does.
Q You don't know though who would have composed this?

A Scott may. I don't know who did it.
Q Okay. Let me just ask him about it.
A Okay.
Q You can keep your copy. I'm not going to make it an exhibit yet until I ask him. I'll ask him that too, since he's the realtor.

You've testified that Marina Ridge, is that right, is that the right name, is not part of Deerfield?

A Correct.
Q But how do you get to Marina Ridge?
A You do have to come through the Deerfield gate.

Q If Marina Ridge is not part of Deerfield, then how do the folks at Marina Ridge have the right to come through the private road to get access to their property; is there other access to Marina Ridge?

A That I don't know. I don't know if they can access it from any other location, $I^{\prime} m$ not sure.

Q But they certainly can through the Deerfield entrance?

A They do. I don't know if they have an easement. I don't know how that works.

Q So the means by which and the legal right by which they come through Deerfield and use

```
    Deerfield roads to get to Marina Ridge you're not
    familiar with?
```

    A I'm not sure.
    Q Okay. Was Marina Ridge ever a part of
    Deerfield?
A Never.
Q Was Marina Ridge there when Deerfield
was started?
A No, it was just farmland.
Q Do you know who owns Marina Ridge?
A It's had about four different owners to
develop it out. So I don't know if any of the last
developers still own any land there. The last I heard,
Home Federal had it, and then maybe they sold it off to
someone.
Q Do you know how many living units,
residences, condos, villas, or whatever they've got
there, how many separate units there would be roughly?
A I think there are three cabins and one
duplex.
Q So it's not a lot?
A No.
Q But whatever there is, based on your
observations, they come through Deerfield's entrance --
A Correct.

Q -- to get there?
A Yes.
Q The Woodson property is not part of
Deerfield $I$ believe you said earlier, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Is that accessed through Deerfield?
A If they come through the gate, yes, but they actually -- I believe they access that behind the marina.

Q But they access through Deerfield
roads?

A They come through Deerfield roads.
Q By what means do they do that?
A Through the gate.
Q But by what legal means do they do
that?
A I would assume, I don't know this for a fact, but $I$ would assume they have some form of easement that's attached to their deed, but $I$ don't know that.

Q Royal View Estates, is that part of Deerfield?

A No, and I don't believe they come through the gate. Looking at the map that you had, it looked to me like they go through Alder Springs Village, unless there's been a name change, but none of that rang
a bell to me at all. I don't remember hearing the name Royal View Estates. And it looked like that was part of the Chapman land inside of Deerfield, but I'm not familiar at all with that.

Q Okay. We looked yesterday at the 2018 restriction and without pulling it back out, it talks of developer control. That was one of the topics, topic headings, and it makes fairly clear that the developer has control over roads and common areas and those types of things.

Just if you know, why at that time was the developer asserting control over those things and now the developer is, as $I$ understand it, willing to give control of the roads to the Homeowners Association? Do you know the reason for the change in position on that?

A Well, it's court ordered, so we're cooperating with the court.

Okay. Do you view, I'm just talking to you now.

A Okay.
Q And I'll ask your brother as well. Do you view the roads as a likely large liability going forward?

A As far as the maintenance and upkeep goes, it will have ongoing expenses, but $I$ don't believe
there's ever going to be any tax liability for that.
Q In other words, the roads, to your
knowledge, are not taxed?
A I don't believe they're taxable. I
believe that was a decision that was made.
Q Right. I want to ask you for just a
moment a couple of safety issues about Deerfield just so
that I can have a better understanding. There are fire
hydrants at Deerfield; are there not?
A Yes.
Q Do you know how many there are?
A I do not.
Q Are they operational?
A To my knowledge they are operational.
Q To the best of your knowledge, are they
all operational?
A To the best of my knowledge, they are.
Q And would the water that comes from
those fire hydrants, in the event that I've got a place
up there and it catches on fire and the fire hydrant has
access to get water to my place, where does that water
come from?
A From each hydrant?
Q Yes.
A I assume, and this would a Scott
question, but they would come from the closest well that would be in that section.

Q You're not aware of any of those fire hydrants that is not operational?

A I'm not aware of any.
Q But those are all better questions for him?

A Correct.
Q Have you removed any Facebook postings made on the Deerfield Facebook page that were derogatory or personally derogatory against any of the plaintiffs?

A I have not removed any Facebook post of any kind at anytime.

Q Do you know if -- who right now is in control of that Facebook page that if a post is made on it that's deemed to be inflammatory or improperly derogatory can hit whatever button or do whatever is necessary to remove it, who does that?

A Scott would know for sure who all are admins. The only one I'm aware of are Scott and Kelly, myself. I don't know if Tyler is an admin or not.

Q Those would be the only ones that you're aware of?

A Those are the only ones I'm aware of.
Q Who mows the property at the airport,
who does the mowing there?
A My understanding is it's a collection of the hangar pilots that are up there. I don't know which ones.

Q Do you know physically who they have that does the actual mowing?

A I do not, but Scott might.
Q Do you know if they pay for that?
A I think there was a collection I've heard they've taken up, but I don't know. I know that -I think Scott would -- anything $I$ say is just a guess.

Q Have hangar units been sold to nonpilots?

A Yes.
Q And are hangars used for purposes other than airplanes?

A People will store things in there other than airplanes. There's no restrictions against that.

Q Then just as a matter of curiosity, if there's an airplane hangar, why are they used for purposes other than airplanes?

A I think most people that are doing it are just looking for additional storage, like a big garage.

Are you aware -- this is probably a
better question for Scott. I'll just ask him. You don't really participate on the real estate side for Fields Real Estate in the preparation of closing statements or anything like that?

A Not really. I mean every now and then a title company will happen to reach out to me to just ask me what the fees that are due. So occasionally I might, but then $I$ would just reach out to Kelly to see what's the current status, if they've paid or not, and then just pass that information along.

Q You're not really physically handling those transactions or the documentation?

A No. I might just communicate to a title agent.

Q Is your husband, Mark Lejeune, a licensed architect?

A He is not an architect.

Q Has he ever been an architect?

A Not a licensed architect. He graduated from the architecture school, but he did not sit for his license.

Q All right. So he doesn't prepare for folks that come to Deerfield and want a plan and maybe they want a custom plan for their home, he doesn't draw those plans?

A Sure, he can design plans.
Q He designs plans, but he's not a licensed architect to put an architect stamp on the plans?

A To stamp it, that's correct.
Q Okay. Does he actually put himself out as a drawer or preparer of plans for pay?

A No. He would prefer not to do it, but if it's a custom home that he's building, then he might draw the plans for them, but he would rather just work from their plans.

Q And if he does do plans, does he charge for the plans?

A I'm not saying that he never has, but typically, if he is doing the plans, it's for a home he's building the majority of the time.

Is there a lot or parcel that's used as a fire pit for a fire?

A Well, there's an area below the airstrip that is used for storage of some vehicles and, at one point in time, there was a hole there that they would burn things in, but that's been shut down.

Q It's been shut down?
A Yeah, they don't allow homeowners to burn anything in there.

Q Is there any place now within Deerfield where burning is allowed?

A There's no place like that where you could take your brush. I think people are having to either haul it to town, $I$ don't know if they can burn it themselves on their own lots, but there's no localized place for that.

Q A centralized local place that they can take it within Deerfield to do a burning?

A That's correct.
Q But there was in the past?
A $\quad$ There was in the past and it had been shut down for many years and people still dumped, people still came in. We had one fire set by someone that was not authorized. So according to us it's not allowed.

Q We had some discussion yesterday about the villa HOA. We were talking about the Becker situation. Is there an actual real organized HOA for that --

A For Deer Hill Village just like the condominium association.

Q Okay. And that's the Deer Hill Village Association, right?

A Correct, that's right.
Q Is there any other litigation in
existence now that you're aware of related to Deerfield, or any of the condo or villa associations within Deerfield, where there are questions about getting access to records, access to information, access to data, is there any other litigation, other than the lawsuit that we're sitting here about right now, to your knowledge, in that regard?

A There's a recently filed lawsuit with Deer Hill Village from one of the owners who did not want to pay her dues and those are dues.

Q Do you know her name?
A Jenene Lamothe, and then --
Q $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}$ ?
A $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{e}$.
Q Okay.
A And then I don't know if he's her husband or partner, his last name is Davenport. I'm not sure of his first name.

Q Is that jointly filed?
A Yes, one property, two names. She contends it's voluntary and she doesn't have to pay and she doesn't have to pay for her marina slip.

Q Any other lawsuits that you're aware of seeking records or information, or anything like that?

A That's the only one I'm aware of.
this may be a Scott Fields question, but let me ask you.
Is there any disclosure that you are aware of now that's
being made to people who come to buy a piece of property
at Deerfield and they're buying it through Fields Real
Estate, Inc.? Is there any disclosure that's made in
those transactions where Fields Real Estate, Inc. is
handling the transaction to inform newly purchasing
property owners that there is this litigation going on?
A I think that would be a Scott question.
Q Okay. The answer is you don't know?
A I don't know.
Q All right, fair enough. The last
thing, and then I'm going to, I believe, conclude your
part of this deposition process. We talked yesterday
about the fact that into that Deerfield Resort Homeowners
payment -- the payments are being made by the homeowners,
those payments are going into the Deerfield Resort
account that was at Home Federal that's now Hearthside
Bank.

And you told me yesterday that, based on your understanding as I heard you answer it, and correct me if I'm wrong, that it has always been the practice to put those monies into that account, other than the one reference you made seeing one check, but for
the years that we're concerned about, 2016 and after we've been talking about, the money was put into that account. If it came from Dan Valle, if it came from Steve Dehler, if it came from anyone else that was a property owner, it got put into that account, right?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. Other than 2023 has Fields
Development Company paid dues for its properties?
A I believe that starting after the Judge ruled I believe in '21 and `22, that Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, Madeline Fields, that we've all paid as if the first property was paid.

Q The Judge that I think the ruling you're making reference to is the Judge's order on a motion for partial summary judgment, that there would be a HOA?

A An HOA.
Q And so you're -- and I think that occurred in ${ }^{\prime} 21$.

A Yes.
Q So your indication, and I think it occurred like in May or June of 2021, it wasn't early in the year. It was on $u p$ in the year.

A I don't recall, but $I$ think it was the middle, maybe July or something.
 Fields, but yes.

Q Okay. But those lots that are in her name are being paid for now?

A Well, her house.
Q Okay. What about other properties that are --

A Well, that would be additional properties, so they would not be billed once the first one is paid.

Q So she's only paying for the one?

A Correct.
Q And then that, based on your
interpretation, qualifies -- the rest of her properties come under the payment for that one?

A The way all of the other properties are billed.

Q
All right. And then we talked about that if other money came in, like the sale of that one lot for $\$ 45,000$, that money went into that account?

A During the discovery time, yes.
Q All right. And there were other
instances where you indicated that Fields Development or Fields Real Estate had to put money into the account to pay things?

A Correct.
Q So, in essence, what was happening is there was the homeowner money that was coming in and going into that account and from time to time there was other money coming into that account?

A Yes.
Q And those funds were combined and bills get paid, correct?

A Correct.
Q Did you ever -- I asked you yesterday, did you ever discuss with your mother that perhaps the
money coming from the property owners should be segregated and kept separate, and I believe you told me that you did not recall ever having that conversation with her.

A That's correct.
Q If you had that conversation with her, and that's a hypothetical, okay, would she have segregated the money?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form. You can answer, if you know.

A I can't say what she would have done. I don't know. I'm sure that she was doing nothing wrong and thought she was doing nothing wrong. So I don't know that the conversation would have even happened or what her reaction would have been.

Q What we do know is a conversation did not occur?

A Correct.
Q And you knew before your mother passed that the money was being handled in that way, that the money coming from the homeowners was going into that account and, from time to time, money coming from Deerfield Real Estate, Deerfield Development, perhaps other sources, was going into that account?

MR. HAWKINS: Object to the form, you
can answer.
A I can't say that I had an awareness that that was going on because there was no reason for me to even pursue details like that. That just wasn't something I would have been cognizant of.

Q Were you on the signature cards for
those accounts?
A Yes.
Q For that account?
A Yes.
Q But you're saying you don't know if you were aware that those monies were being combined --

A Correct.
Q -- from time to time?
A I wasn't aware of how she did her bookkeeping.

Q Did she ever tell you, Paula, I'm having to put money into the Deerfield Homeowners -- the Deerfield Resort account to help pay some of these homeowner expenses?

A Constantly. She would always say that -- she wouldn't say the homeowners account. She would say I'm having to put money into Deerfield again. That was a frequent conversation.

Q And you took that to mean the Deerfield

Resort account?

A Yes. That she was once again having to help pay for the upkeep.

Q But you're not able to say if you knew that the monies were actually being combined into a single account?

A Correct.
Q But once she passed away and she wasn't there to do it anymore, you did it, correct?

A Because of the ongoing lawsuit and because of the questions that were raised, at a certain point in time, and $I$ don't remember how recent it was, I opened up a separate Fields Development checking account just to make sure there was no overlap of any monies, that they would all be kept separate.

Q When did that occur?
A I would have to look at the original checkbook. I don't recall if it was '21. It was probably in the year of '21. I don't think it was as recent as ' 22 , but $I$ think it was not long after her death.

Q So have any monies other than monies coming from the homeowners gone into the Deerfield Resort account since your mother died?

A Yes.

Q And were you aware of that?
A We put the money in. That's the promissory note from Fields Real Estate.

Q And there is a promissory note for that money?

A Yes.
Q Who signed the promissory note payable to Fields?

A I believe I did and maybe Scott did. I would have to go back and look at the note again.

Q And do you know in what capacity you signed it?

A Not from memory, but it was how we were advised by counsel, not Preston, but by counsel to do it.

Q I'm not asking you what counsel has told you or not told you. I'm not entitled to that and don't want that, don't even want to have to argue about that, but what I am interested in, obviously because I represent these folks, is to see the promissory note, or any written evidence that you have, that there's monies owing from Deerfield Resort or the Homeowners Association, Inc., or however you want to refer to it -A Sure.

Q -- back to Fields Development or whoever it's owed to.

MR. HURLEY: So there's not a real late filed exhibit thing.

MR. HAWKINS: I'll give it to you.
MR. HURLEY: Okay. I'd like to have --
MR. HAWKINS: And it doesn't need to be a late filed exhibit. I'll just give it to you.

MR. HURLEY: Okay. And can we have an agreement that any documentation that you've got, if there's more than one note, that -MR. HAWKINS: Sure.

MR. HURLEY: Some people --
MR. HAWKINS: And I don't think that there is. Is there?

THE WITNESS: I don't think so.
MR. HAWKINS: Okay.
Q Some people -- you know, we lawyers refer to promissory notes. Some people do IOU's, some people make a note on a napkin. What I'm interested in is any writings that show that there is money owed to any of your entities, Fields Development, Fields Real Estate, Lejeune, PARC, by what will be this Homeowners Association, or Deerfield Resort as it's been referred to. So are you good with understanding what I'm asking for, you think you --

A Yes, that's the sheet I referenced yesterday that Jack, the CPA, has compiled. He seemed to have a list of all of the entities that have contributed to Deerfield.

Q And we talked about that a little yesterday and you said it might be in the tax stuff that he provided to me and I'm going to go back and look at that.

A Okay.
Q But I'd like to separately ask you all

```
to do that and provide it to me so we've got it all --
```

    A I will continue --
    Q -- on the table and we all know.
    A Yes.
    Q No surprises about that.
    A Okay.
                            MR. HURLEY: Okay, all right. With
    that, do you want to take a lunch break?
    MR. HAWKINS: I have a few questions.
    MR. HURLEY: Sure.
    EXAMINATION
    BY MR. HAWKINS:
    Q So I'm just going to ask a few follow-
        up questions about one of the exhibits and it's Exhibit
        49. This is the response to the notice demand letter
    that Mr. Hurley asked you about earlier and I think that -- I just wanted to ask a few follow-up questions.

First of all, the date of this on page th three that bears my signature, it says April the 14 of 2020. Do you recall whether you would have helped me prepare this or would that have been your mother?

A I'm thinking at this point it would have been my mother because $I$ think she was answering all of your questions at that point.

Q Okay. And I don't want to go -- the reason that I'm following up on this is I believe that Mr. Hurley asked you if everything in this document was correct, and I believe you testified that it was, but I did want to follow up.

With respect to number four, read that, which says the association charter or restated charter and all amendments to it currently in effect -- this was a request for $I$ guess the production of that type of document. And our response was the association does not maintain and is not in possession of any records responsive to this request. Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.
Q But that actually is not correct, is it?

A There is a charter.
related to the Deerfield Resort Homeowners Association,
Inc.?

A Yes.
Q And those documents are a part of this
lawsuit; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Why don't you take just a minute and look through this.

A Okay.
Q And tell me if you see anything other than number four that you believe to be incorrect, and I'm not trying to suggest that there is. I just want you to have an opportunity to look at it --

A Okay.
Q -- so that you can state whether there was anything else that might be incorrect about the response.

A Can I also just say that when I did
look at this, until you pointed out the date, I thought this was one of our discovery responses. I wasn't reading it correctly. I would just say that some of the exhibits that we have looked at that I was not aware existed might fall into one of these categories, some of the easements, but I'm not sure which one.
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Q Okay. Anything else?
A Nothing else that I'm seeing.
MR. HAWKINS: Okay. I've got no further
questions then. Do you need to follow up on that at all?

MR. HURLEY: No.
MR. HAWKINS: Okay, thanks a lot.
VIDEOGRAPHER: You're ready to go off?
MR. HURLEY: Yes.
VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay, we're going off.
The time is 1:20 p.m.
FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
PAULA RAE LEJEUNE
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